

<p>control liabilities/vulnerabilities —Technical —Policy</p> <p>III. Identify/Recommend steps to maximize positive and reduce negative impacts of main storage options</p> <p><i>(D) Disposition Options for Surplus Plutonium</i></p> <p>I. Description and analysis of four groups of alternatives (See Figure 1 for factors to be considered)</p> <p>(a) Reactor Options (US and/or European MOX fabrication) Evolutionary LWRs Partially Completed LWRs Existing LWRs</p>	<p>Candu Reactor in Canada</p> <p>(b) Immobilization Vitrification Ceramic Immobilization Electrometallurgical Treatment</p> <p>(c) Deep Borehole Review Direct Disposition Immobilized Disposition</p> <p>(d) No Action</p> <p>II. Nonproliferation analysis of three main categories of options (See Figure I).</p> <p>(a) Nonproliferation and arms control benefits Technical Policy</p> <p>(b) Nonproliferation and arms control liabilities/vulnerabilities</p>	<p>Technical Policy</p> <p>III. Identify/Recommend steps to maximize benefits and reduce any negative nonproliferation impacts of options</p> <p><i>(E) General Recommendations/Conclusions</i></p> <p>(The Assessment will not rank the alternatives based on nonproliferation criteria, but will recommend possible ways to maximize benefits or mitigate any negative nonproliferation implications associated with particular alternatives.)</p>
--	---	--

FIGURE 1.—TECHNICAL/POLICY FACTORS AND MITIGATING STEPS

Technical factors	Policy factors	Mitigating steps
<p>TIME LINES</p> <p>—Time to Start</p> <p>—Time to Finish</p> <p>RISK OF DIVERSION IN PROCESS</p> <p>—Material form and attractiveness</p> <p>—Material security and accounting</p> <p>—Transport security</p> <p>—Process through-put</p> <p>—Other process issues</p> <p>—Material inventories</p> <p>—Number of facilities and sites</p> <p>—Bilateral and international monitoring</p> <p>—Political and security conditions in countries involved</p> <p>RISK OF RE-USE IN WEAPONS</p> <p>—Final material form and attractiveness</p> <p>—Physical access to material</p> <p>—Cost, time and observability of recovery</p> <p>—Bilateral and international monitoring.</p>	<p>Impacts on foreign programs and activities</p> <p>Impact on current and future U.S. policy</p> <p>Impacts on nonproliferation agreements and regimes</p> <p>Political implementability</p> <p>Impacts on current and future fissile material related negotiations</p> <p>Impact on future dismantlement activities</p>	<p>Safeguards and Security</p> <p>International monitoring and access</p> <p>Implementation variations</p> <p>Stated justifications</p> <p>Bilateral or multilateral agreements or PAGE arrangements</p>

[FR Doc. 96-16679 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. RP96-282-000]

**Algonquin Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff**

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 20, 1996, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, with a proposed effective date of July 20, 1996:

- Twenty-eighth Revised Sheet No. 20A
- Original Sheet No. 93C
- Fourth Revised Sheet No. 700
- Third Revised Sheet No. 701
- Second Revised Sheet No. 702
- Fourth Revised Sheet No. 703

Algonquin states that the purpose of this filing is to flow through \$177,225.78 of take-or-pay charges billed to Algonquin by National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation under the revised allocation methodology. Algonquin requests that the Commission grant any waiver that may be necessary to place these tariff sheets into effect on the date requested.

Algonquin states that copies of this filing were mailed to all customers of Algonquin and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in § 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will

not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16631 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP90-95-012]

**Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing**

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 21, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), tendered for filing a semiannual compliance filing consisting of work papers detailing accrued interest payments made by CIG to its affected customers related to the unused portion