control liabilities/vulnerabilities
—Technical
— Policy
III. Identify/Recommend steps to maximize positive and reduce negative impacts of main storage options

(D) Disposition Options for Surplus Plutonium

I. Description and analysis of four groups of alternatives (See Figure 1 for factors to be considered)
(a) Reactor Options (US and/or European MOX fabrication)
Evolutionary LWRs
Partially Completed LWRs
Existing LWRs
Candu Reactor in Canada
(b) Immobilization
Vitrification
Ceramic Immobilization
Electrometalurgical Treatment
(c) Deep Borehole Review
Direct Disposition
Immobilized Disposition
(d) No Action
II. Nonproliferation analysis of three main categories of options (See Figure I).
(a) Nonproliferation and arms control benefits
Technical
Policy
(b) Nonproliferation and arms control liabilities/vulnerabilities
Technical
Policy
III. Identify/Recommend steps to maximize benefits and reduce any negative nonproliferation impacts of options

(E) General Recommendations/ Conclusions

(The Assessment will not rank the alternatives based on nonproliferation criteria, but will recommend possible ways to maximize benefits or mitigate any negative nonproliferation implications associated with particular alternatives.)

FIGURE 1.—TECHNICAL/POLICY FACTORS AND MITIGATING STEPS

Technical factors	Policy factors	Mitigating steps
TIME LINES —Time to Start —Time to Finish RISK OF DIVERSION IN PROCESS —Material form and attractiveness —Material security and accounting —Transport security —Process through-put —Other process issues —Material inventories —Number of facilities and sites —Bilateral and international monitoring —Political and security conditions in countries involved RISK OF RE-USE IN WEAPONS —Final material form and attractiveness —Physical access to material —Cost, time and observability of recovery —Bilateral and international monitoring.	Impacts on foreign programs and activities Impact on current and future U.S. policy Impacts on nonproliferation agreements and regimes Political implementability Impacts on current and future fissile material related negotiations Impact on future dismantlement activities	Safeguards and Security International monitoring and access Implementation variations Stated justifications Bilateral or multilateral agreements or PAGE arrangements

[FR Doc. 96–16679 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96–282–000]

Algonquin Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 20, 1996, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, with a proposed effective date of July 20, 1996:

Twenty-eighth Revised Sheet No. 20A
Original Sheet No. 93C
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 700
Third Revised Sheet No. 701
Second Revised Sheet No. 702
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 703

Algonquin states that the purpose of this filing is to flow through $177,225.78 of take-or-pay charges billed to Algonquin by National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation under the revised allocation methodology. Algonquin requests that the Commission grant any waiver that may be necessary to place these tariff sheets into effect on the date requested.

Algonquin states that copies of this filing were mailed to all customers of Algonquin and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in § 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16631 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP90–95–012]

Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 21, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), tendered for filing a semiannual compliance filing consisting of work papers detailing accrued interest payments made by CIG to its affected customers related to the unused portion

of transportation credits in the above referenced docket.

CIG states that this is the final report and the appropriate refund has been made to all affected customers.

CIG states that copies of the filing were served upon all of the parties to this proceeding and affected state commissions and affected parties.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before July 2, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestant parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16629 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. OR96–14–000]

Exxon Company, U.S.A., a division of Exxon Corporation, v. Amerada Hess Pipeline Company, et al.; Notice of Complaint June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 19, 1996, Exxon Company, U.S.A. (EUSA), a division of Exxon Corporation, filed a complaint against the seven Trans Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) owners, Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Transportation Alaska, Inc., BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company (TAPS Carriers), pursuant to Sections 9, 13(1) and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App. Sections 9, 13(1), 15(1), and Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures.

EUSA alleges that the TAPS Carriers have violated sections 1(5), 3(1) and 6(7) of the ICA, 49 U.S.C. App. Sections 1(5), 3(1), 6(1) and 6(7), by imposing certain TAPS Quality Bank charges which are unjust and unreasonable by unjustifiably giving a preference to shippers of heavier petroleum, thereby unduly discriminating against shippers of lighter petroleum, and by failing to adhere to the TAPS Quality Banks tariffs.

EUSA requests that the Commission (1) Consolidate this proceeding with the Quality Bank proceedings in Docket Nos. OR89–2–009, *et al.;* (2) find that the current Quality Bank methodology violates sections 1(5), 3(1), 6(1), and 6(7) of the ICA; (3) award damages to EUSA; (4) prescribe as appropriate Quality Bank methodology; and (5) amend the TAPS Quality Bank tariffs to provide expressly for EUSA and other shippers to audit administration of the Quality Bank methodology.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the instant complaint should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before July 19, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before July 19, 1996.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16628 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. MG96–15–000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Filing

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch) submitted revised standards of conduct under Order Nos. 497 *et al.*[1] and Order Nos. 566 *et seq.*[2]

Koch states that the changes are the result of its contracting with its marketing affiliate, Koch Gas Services Company, to act as its agent for Koch's merchant services.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before July 10, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–16627 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96–573–000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Application

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation

---

[1] Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497–A, *order on rehearing*, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497–B, *order extending sunset date*, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497–C, *order extending sunset date*, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); Tenneco Gas v. FERC (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F. 2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992), Order No. 497–D, *order on remand and extending sunset date*, III FERC Stats. & Regs. Preambles ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497–E, *order on rehearing and extending sunset date*, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993), Order No. 497–F (*order denying rehearing and granting clarification*), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994).

[2] Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566–A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566–B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707, (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994).