

of transportation credits in the above referenced docket.

CIG states that this is the final report and the appropriate refund has been made to all affected customers.

CIG states that copies of the filing were served upon all of the parties to this proceeding and affected state commissions and affected parties.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before July 2, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestant parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16629 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. OR96-14-000]

Exxon Company, U.S.A., a division of Exxon Corporation, v. Amerada Hess Pipeline Company, et al.; Notice of Complaint June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 19, 1996, Exxon Company, U.S.A. (EUSA), a division of Exxon Corporation, filed a complaint against the seven Trans Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) owners, Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Transportation Alaska, Inc., BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company (TAPS Carriers), pursuant to Sections 9, 13(1) and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. App. Sections 9, 13(1), 15(1), and Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures.

EUSA alleges that the TAPS Carriers have violated sections 1(5), 3(1) and 6(7) of the ICA, 49 U.S.C. App. Sections 1(5), 3(1), 6(1) and 6(7), by imposing certain TAPS Quality Bank charges which are unjust and unreasonable by unjustifiably giving a preference to shippers of heavier petroleum, thereby unduly discriminating against shippers of lighter petroleum, and by failing to adhere to the TAPS Quality Banks tariffs.

EUSA requests that the Commission (1) Consolidate this proceeding with the

Quality Bank proceedings in Docket Nos. OR89-2-009, *et al.*; (2) find that the current Quality Bank methodology violates sections 1(5), 3(1), 6(1), and 6(7) of the ICA; (3) award damages to EUSA; (4) prescribe as appropriate Quality Bank methodology; and (5) amend the TAPS Quality Bank tariffs to provide expressly for EUSA and other shippers to audit administration of the Quality Bank methodology.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest the instant complaint should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before July 19, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before July 19, 1996.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16628 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. MG96-15-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Filing

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch) submitted revised standards of

conduct under Order Nos. 497 *et al.*¹ and Order Nos. 566 *et seq.*²

Koch states that the changes are the result of its contracting with its marketing affiliate, Koch Gas Services Company, to act as its agent for Koch's merchant services.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before July 10, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-16627 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP96-573-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Application

June 25, 1996.

Take notice that on June 17, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation

¹ Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, *order on rehearing*, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, *order extending sunset date*, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497-C, *order extending sunset date*, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); Tenneco Gas v. FERC (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F. 2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992), Order No. 497-D, *order on remand and extending sunset date*, III FERC Stats. & Regs. Preambles ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, *order on rehearing and extending sunset date*, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993), Order No. 497-F (*order denying rehearing and granting clarification*), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994).

² Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566-A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566-B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707, (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994).