The EPA proposes to grant the one (1) year attainment date extension for the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA) carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area submitted by the State of Alaska on March 26, 1996. In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's extension as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Scott M. Martin, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet, Department for Environmental Protection, Division for Air Quality, 803 Schenkel Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-1403.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Scott M. Martin, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is (404)347-3555 ext. 4216.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: March 12, 1996.

Phyllis Harris,
Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-16155 Filed 6-27-96; 8:45 am]
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Clean Air Act Attainment Extension for the Municipality of Anchorage Area Carbon Monoxide Nonattainment Area: Alaska

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed Rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to grant the one (1) year attainment date extension for the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA) carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area submitted by the State of Alaska on March 26, 1996. In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State’s extension as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Montel Livingston, Environmental Protection Specialist (OAQ-107), Office of Air Quality, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101.

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 410 Willoughby, Suite 105, Juneau, Alaska 99801-1795.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tamara Langton, Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-2709.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: June 3, 1996.

Jane S. Moore,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-16157 Filed 6-27-96; 8:45 am]
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World Trade Organization (WTO) Decision on Gasoline Rule (Reformulated and Conventional Gasoline)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Invitation for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: EPA is initiating a process to identify and evaluate any and all options available to meet U.S. international obligations, in response to a recent decision by the World Trade Organization (WTO). The WTO decision concerns one aspect of rules issued under the Clean Air Act for conventional and reformulated gasoline. In particular it relates to the baseline information provided in the Direct Final rule, no further activity is contemplated. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before September 26, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit written comments (in triplicate if possible) for EPA consideration. The comments are to be addressed to: EPA Air and Radiation Docket, Attention: Docket No. A-96-33, Room M-1500, Mailcode 6102, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. The docket is open for public inspection from 8:00 a.m. until 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, except on governmental holidays. As provided in 40 CFR Part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket materials. Should a commenter wish to provide confidential business information to EPA, such information should not be included with the information sent to the docket. Materials sent to the docket should, however, indicate that confidential business information was provided to EPA.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The World Trade Organization recently adopted the report of its Appellate Body concerning one aspect of rules issued under the Clean Air Act for conventional and reformulated gasoline. The dispute initiated by Venezuela and Brazil involves the baseline used to set the emission requirements in these programs for imported gasoline. The WTO concluded that EPA’s rules in this matter were inconsistent with U.S. obligations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) because they unjustifiably discriminated against imported gasoline. It is important to note that the Clean Air Act was not at issue in this dispute.

The U.S. Government is disappointed with the results of this decision, but is gratified that it fully recognized a country’s right to adopt appropriate measures to protect public health and the environment. In addition, the WTO decision clearly recognizes that clean air is an exhaustible natural resource, and that conservation of this resource is covered by the provisions of Article XX(g) of the GATT.

EPA is initiating a process to identify and evaluate all options available to the agency in responding to this decision. EPA’s goal is to identify any and all feasible options consistent with EPA’s commitment to fully protect public health and the environment, and at the same time consistent with the obligations of the United States under the WTO. Before deciding what course to take, EPA intends to fully evaluate all options identified in this public process. This invitation for public comment is designed to inform EPA’s evaluation of the options.

The following description of the relevant regulatory provisions and related issues is provided to help the public in preparing comments. As noted above, the conventional gasoline program contains emissions requirements designed to ensure that gasoline does not degrade in quality from 1990 levels in ways that would adversely affect the levels of air pollution from motor vehicles. The Clean Air Act calls for conventional gasoline produced or imported by a refiner or importer to stay as clean as it was in 1990. See section 211(k)(8) of the Act. To meet this requirement, EPA regulations require that domestic refiners establish a baseline that reflects the quality of the gasoline they produced in 1990. The emission requirements for conventional gasoline are keyed to these individual baselines. For the reformulated gasoline program, see 40 CFR 80.90 – 93, 80.101(b). Individual baselines play a limited role in the reformulated gasoline program. From 1995 through 1997, certain of the emission requirements for reformulated gasoline are expressed in terms of individual baselines. After that date, individual baselines are not used in the RFG program. See 40 CFR 80.41(h), (j).

Based on the limited ability of importers and domestic blenders to determine the quality of the gasoline they produced or imported in 1990, in almost all cases they are assigned the statutory baseline instead of an individual baseline. The statutory baseline was designed to approximate the national average for 1990 gasoline quality. There is no provision in the regulations under which a foreign refiner may establish an individual baseline, nor are they assigned the statutory baseline. Imported gasoline is regulated through the importer, not the foreign refiner, and foreign refiner modelling information/data may not be used by an importer to establish an importer baseline.

The rulemaking record for the conventional and reformulated gasoline program contains information regarding the environmental, cost, verification and enforcement issues associated with setting the baseline rules for domestic and imported gasoline. For further discussion of these matters, see 59 FR 7716 (February 16, 1994); 59 FR 22800 (May 3, 1994).

One baseline issue considered during the rulemakings noted above involves allowing foreign refiners to petition EPA for approval of an individual baseline for a foreign refinery. This issue was also raised during the WTO dispute settlement proceedings. In this respect, the Appellate Body identified two omissions of the United States: (1) the United States had not sufficiently explored ways of overcoming its administrative concerns with respect to imported gasoline and (2) the United States had considered the costs of compliance with the statutory baseline for domestic refiners but had not adequately considered them for foreign refiners. It is important to note that EPA is inviting comment on all feasible options that the agency should consider. Commenters should not limit themselves to consideration of individual baselines for foreign refiners. EPA is interested in evaluating any alternative approach that would achieve the environmental benefits associated with these gasoline programs while treating domestic and imported gasoline in a manner consistent with U.S. obligations under the WTO.

Some of the issues that are relevant to individual baselines and may also be relevant to other options include the following:

How would EPA be able to accurately establish a reliable and verifiable individual baseline for a foreign refiner? This would include consideration of the technical problems associated with determining the quality and volume for gasoline imported into the U.S. from a foreign refinery in 1990, determining the refinery of origin for gasoline imported in 1990, and consideration of the role of independent verification in establishing an accurate baseline. How would EPA be able to accurately monitor compliance and enforce any baseline requirements? This would include consideration of the ability to audit and inspect both foreign and domestic facilities, and the ability to enforce against foreign refiners and importers.

How would EPA be able to effectively determine the refinery of origin of imported gasoline, so as to determine the appropriate baseline to apply to the imported product? This would include consideration of the kind of tracking and segregation needed to ensure effective determination of refinery of origin.

Commenters should address these issues to the extent relevant to the option(s) they are addressing. Commenters should identify the potential environmental impacts from implementation of any suggested option. For example, for those commenters that might propose individual baselines, this would include consideration of the number of foreign refiners that could seek and be able to establish an individual baseline, the individual baseline levels that could be established, the volume of imported gasoline that could be subject to such a baseline, the areas of the country in which this gasoline would be used, the length of time that a foreign refiner could use an individual baseline, and the regulatory programs in which such a baseline was allowed, e.g. conventional or reformulated gasoline.

In addition, EPA invites any other comments relevant to the two issues raised by the appellate body in its report as omissions on the part of the United States—exploring adequately the means of mitigating the administrative problems identified in EPA’s earlier...
rulemaking, and considering the costs for foreign refiners that might result from the use of the statutory baseline for imports.

A key criterion in evaluating any options presented in response to this notice will be fully protecting the public health and the environment. The reformulated and conventional gasoline programs are important components in the strategy for achieving that goal. EPA invites comment that would allow EPA to better quantify or characterize potential environmental impacts of any options proposed by commenters, as well as feasible options to address any such potential impacts.

As noted above, EPA’s goal in inviting public comment is to obtain information that will help the agency identify any and all feasible options consistent with EPA’s commitment to fully protect public health and the environment, and at the same time consistent with the obligations of the United States under the WTO. EPA requests that commenters provide information and analysis on the public health and environmental impact associated with any option presented for consideration. Commenters should also identify the economic and other impacts associated with any suggested option, and discuss the relationship of the option to the United States’ obligations under the WTO.

Dated: June 20, 1996.

Mary D. Nichols,
Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.