

Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to Runway (RWY) 35 and an amended Nondirectional Radio Beacon (NDB) SIAP to RWY 35 at Pauls Valley Municipal Airport has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for aircraft executing the GPS and NDB SIAP to RWY 35 at Pauls Valley, OK.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before August 19, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to Manager, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Docket No. 96-ASW-09, Fort Worth, TX 76193-0530.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, TX, between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, TX.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donald J. Day, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Fort Worth, TX 76193-0530; telephone: (817) 222-5593.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed under the caption **ADDRESSES**. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit, with those comments, a self-addressed, stamped, postcard containing the following statement: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-ASW-09." The postcard will be date and time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before

the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Boulevard, Fort Worth, TX, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Fort Worth, TX 76193-0530. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A that describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to revise the Class E airspace, controlled airspace extending upward from 700 feet AGL, at Pauls Valley Municipal Airport, Pauls Valley, OK. A new GPS and an amended NDB SIAP's to RWY 35 have made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate Class E airspace for aircraft executing the GPS and NDB SIAP to Rwy 35 at Pauls Valley, OK.

The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83. Designated Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above ground level are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations that need frequent and routine amendments to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3)

does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

    Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

**PART 71—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 17 continues to read as follows:

    Authority: 49 U.S.C. 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 14 CFR 11.69.

**§ 71.1 [Amended]**

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, *Airspace Designations and Reporting Points*, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

ASW OK E5 Pauls Valley, OK [Revised]

Pauls Valley Municipal Airport, OK  
(Lat. 34°42'45" N., long. 97°13'31" W.)

Pauls Valley NDB  
(Lat. 34°42'55" N., long. 97°13'44" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.6-mile radius of Pauls Valley Municipal Airport and within 2.6 miles each side of the 169° bearing from the Pauls Valley NDB extending from the 6.6-mile radius to 7.6 miles south of the airport.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Fort Worth, TX on June 11, 1996.

Albert L. Viselli,  
*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Southwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-15425 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement****30 CFR Part 946**

[VA-108-FOR]

**Virginia Regulatory Program**

**AGENCY:** Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; public comment period and opportunity for public hearing.

**SUMMARY:** OSM is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Virginia regulatory program (hereinafter referred to as the Virginia program) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of regulatory changes to implement the remining standards of the Federal Energy Policy act of 1992. The amendment is intended to revise the State program to be consistent with the Federal regulations as amended on November 27, 1995 (60 FR 58480).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., on July 19, 1996. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on July 15, 1996. Requests to speak at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., on July 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be mailed or hand delivered to Mr. Robert A. Penn, Director, Big Stone Gap Field Office at the First address listed below.

Copies of the Virginia program, the proposed amendment, a listing of any scheduled public hearings, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the addresses listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requestor may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM's Big Stone Gap Field Office.

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Big Stone Gap Field Office, 1941 Neeley Road, Suite 201 Compartment 116, Big Stone Gap, Virginia 24219, Telephone: (703) 523-4303

Virginia Division of Mined Land Reclamation, P.O. Drawer 900, Big Stone Gap, Virginia 24219, Telephone: (703) 523-8100.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Robert A. Penn, Director, Big Stone Gap Field Office, Telephone: (703) 523-4303.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****1. Background on the Virginia Program**

On December 15, 1981, the Secretary of the Interior conditionally approved the Virginia program. Background information on the Virginia program, including the Secretary's findings, the disposition of comments, and the conditions of approval can be found in the December 15, 1981, Federal Register (46 FR 61085-61115). Subsequent actions concerning the conditions of approval and program amendments can be found at 30 CFR 946.12, 946.13, 946.15, and 946.16.

**II. Discussion of the Proposed Amendment**

By letter dated May 28, 1996 (Administrative Record No. VA-885), Virginia submitted amendments to the Virginia program concerning remining. The amendments are intended to make the Virginia program consistent with the Federal regulations as amended on November 27, 1995 (60 FR 58480). Virginia stated that the proposed amendments implement the remining standards of the Federal Energy Policy Act of 1992.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

**1. § 480-03-19.700.5 Definitions**

(a) "Lands eligible for remining" has been added to mean those lands that would otherwise be eligible for expenditures under section 404 or under section 402(g)(4) of the Federal Act.

(b) "Unanticipated event or condition" has been added to mean (as used in § 480-03-19.773.15), an event or condition related to prior mining activity which arises from a surface coal mining and reclamation operation on lands eligible for remining and was not contemplated by the applicable permit.

**2. § 480-03-19.773.15 Review of Permit Applications**

(a) New subsection (b)(4) has been added to provide, at (b)(4)(I) that subsequent to October 24, 1992, the prohibitions of paragraph (b) of this section regarding issuance of a new permit shall not apply to any violation that: Occurs after that date; is unabated; and results from an unanticipated event or condition that arises from a surface coal mining and reclamation operation on lands that are eligible for remining under a permit—issued before September 30, 2004, or any renewals thereof, and held by the person making application for the new permit.

New subsection (b)(4)(ii) provides that for permits issued under § 480-03-

19.785.25 of this chapter, an event or condition shall be presumed to be unanticipated for the purpose of this paragraph if it: arose after permit issuance; was related to prior mining; and was not identified in the permit.

(b) New subsection (c)(14) has been added to provide that for permits to be issued under § 480-03-19.785.25 of this chapter, the permit application must contain: lands eligible for remining; an identification of the potential environmental and safety problems related to prior mining activity which could reasonably be anticipated to occur at the site; and mitigation plans to sufficiently address these potential environmental and safety problems so that reclamation as required by the applicable requirements of this chapter can be accomplished.

**3. § 480-03-19.785.25 Lands Eligible for Remining**

This new section contains permitting requirements to implement § 480-03-19.773.15(b)(4), and provides that: (a) Any persons who submits a permit application to conduct a surface coal mining operation on lands eligible for remining must comply with this section. (b) any application for a permit under this section shall be made according to all requirements of this subchapter applicable to surface coal mining and reclamation operations. In addition, the application shall—(1) to the extent not otherwise addressed in the permit application, identify potential environmental and safety problems related to prior mining activity at the site and that could be reasonably anticipated to occur. This identification shall be based on a due diligence investigation which shall include visual observations at the site, a record review of past mining at the site, and environmental sampling tailored to current site conditions. (2) with regard to potential environmental and safety problems referred to in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, described the mitigative measures that will be taken to ensure that the applicable reclamation requirements of this chapter can be met. (c) The requirements of this section shall not apply after September 30, 1004.

**4. § 480-03-19.816/817.116 Revegetation: Standards for success**

Subsections (c)(2)(I) have been amended by adding the phrase "except as provided in paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section" to the first sentence. This modification was made in response to the new language added at subsection (c)(2)(ii), and that is identified below.

New subsections (c)(2)(ii) provide that the responsibility period shall be two full years for lands eligible for re-mining included in permits issued before September 30, 2004, or any renewals thereof. To the extent that the success standards are established by paragraph (b)(5) of this section, the lands shall equal or exceed the standards during the growing season of the last year of the responsibility period.

### III. Public Comment Procedures

In accordance with the provisions of 30 CFR 732.17(h), OSM is now seeking comments on whether the amendments proposed by Virginia satisfy the applicable program approval criteria of 30 CFR 732.15. If the amendments are deemed adequate, they will become part of the Virginia program.

#### Written Comments

Written comments should be specific, pertain only to the issues proposed in this rulemaking, and include explanations in support of the commenter's recommendations. Comments received after the time indicated under **DATES** or at locations other than the Big Stone Gap Field Office will not necessarily be considered in the final rulemaking or included in the Administrative Record.

#### Public Hearing

Persons wishing to comment at the public hearing should contact the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by close of business on July 5, 1996. If no one requests an opportunity to comment at a public hearing, the hearing will not be held.

Filing of a written statement at the time of the hearing is requested as it will greatly assist the transcriber. Submission of written statements in advance of the hearing will allow OSM officials to prepare adequate responses and appropriate questions.

The public hearing will continue on the specified date until all persons scheduled to comment have been heard. Persons in the audience who have not been scheduled to comment, and who wish to do so, will be heard following those scheduled. The hearing will end after all persons scheduled to comment and persons present in the audience who wish to comment have been heard.

#### Public Meeting

If only one person requests an opportunity to comment at a hearing, a public meeting, rather than a public hearing, may be held. Persons wishing to meet with OSM representatives to discuss the proposed amendments may

request a meeting at the Big Stone Gap Field Office by contacting the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. All such meetings will be open to the public and, if possible, notices of meetings will be posted in advance at the locations listed under **ADDRESSES**. A written summary of each public meeting will be made part of the Administrative Record.

Any disabled individual who has need for a special accommodation to attend a public hearing should contact the individual listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

### VI. Procedural Determinations

#### *Executive Order 12866*

This rule is exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Executive Order 12866 (Regulatory Planning and Review).

#### *Executive Order 12988*

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews by section 3 of Executive Order 12778 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that, to the extent allowed by law, this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCRA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15 and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCRA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

#### *National Environmental Policy Act*

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCRA [30 U.S.C. 1292(d)] provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

#### *Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 *et seq.*)

#### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). The State submittal which is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

#### *Unfunded Mandates*

This rule will not impose a cost of \$100 million or more in any given year on any governmental entity or the private sector.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 946

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: June 7, 1996.

Allen D. Klein,

*Regional Director, Appalachian Regional Coordinating Center.*

[FR Doc. 96-15622 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Fiscal Service

#### 31 CFR Part 356

#### Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Markets; Amendments to the Uniform Offering Circular for the Sale and Issue of Marketable Book-Entry Treasury Bills, Notes and Bonds

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Markets, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time for submission of comments.

**SUMMARY:** This document extends until July 3, 1996, the deadline for the submission of comments on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking soliciting comments on the design details, terms and conditions, and other features of a new type of marketable book-entry security the Treasury intends to issue. This security, an inflation-protection note or bond,