

inclusion in the PCS open formulary; and, ensure that PCS accepts all discounts, rebates or other concessions offered by Eli Lilly's competitors for drugs that are accepted for listing on the open formulary, and to accurately reflect such discounts in ranking the drugs on the formulary. Pursuant to the modification of the proposed consent agreement, Eli Lilly would only need to obtain prior approval for an exclusive distribution agreement with McKesson Corporation. In addition, the consent order prohibits PCS and Eli Lilly from sharing proprietary or other non-public information, such as price data, obtained from Eli Lilly competitors whose drugs may be placed on a PCS formulary.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued July 28, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael McNeely, FTC/S-3231, Washington, D.C. 20580 (202) 326-2904.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Monday, November 28, 1994, there was published in the Federal Register, 59 FR 60815, a proposed consent agreement with analysis in the Matter of Eli Lilly and Company, Inc., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

Comments were filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as modified, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 7, 38 Stat. 731, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 18) Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-15486 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Docket No. 4433]

Food Service Equipment Industry Inc., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Set aside order.

SUMMARY: This order reopens a 1941 consent order—which prohibited the

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and statements from the Commission and Commissioner Azcuenaga are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

Food Service from selling certain equipment through anyone other than recognized dealers, and from selling equipment directly to buyers—and sets aside the consent order, as to respondent Food Service Equipment Distributors Association, pursuant to the Commission's Sunset Policy Statement, under which the Commission presumes that the public interest requires terminating competition orders that are more than 20 years old.

DATES: Consent order issued October 15, 1941. Set aside order issued September 21, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roberta Baruch, FTC/S-2115, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-2861.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Matter of Food Service Equipment Industry Inc., et al. The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions are removed as indicated.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-15487 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Docket No. C-3639]

Genetus Alexandria, Inc., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order prohibits, among other things, the Virginia-based corporations and their officers from misrepresenting the nature of extent of a physician's participation in any treatment procedure, the safety or efficacy of any treatment procedure, and the extent to which a treatment is covered by a patient's medical insurance. The consent order requires the respondents to pay \$250,000 in consumer redress to the Commission.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued February 12, 1996.¹

¹ Copies of the Consent Order and Set Aside Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

¹ Copies of the Complaint and Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sondra Mills or Eric Bash, FTC/H-200, Washington, D.C. 20580. (202) 326-2673 or 326-2892.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Tuesday, September 26, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 49605, a proposed consent agreement with analysis in the Matter of Genetus Alexandria, Inc., et al., for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

Comments were filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45, 52)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-15488 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Docket No. 5698]

Harley-Davidson Motor Co.; Prohibited Trade Practices and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Set aside order.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission has set aside a 1954 consent order with Harley-Davidson Motor Co. pursuant to the Commission's Sunset Policy, under which the Commission presumes, in the context of petitions to reopen and modify orders, that the public interest requires terminating orders that have been in effect for more than 20 years.

DATES: Consent order issued June 29, 1954. Set aside order issued July 11, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Ducore, FTC/S-2115, Washington, DC 20580. (202) 326-2526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Matter of Harley-Davidson Motor Co. The prohibited trade practices and/or corrective actions are removed as indicated.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 3, 38 Stat. 731; 15 U.S.C. 14)

In the matter of: Harley-Davidson Motor Co., a corporation; Docket No. 5698

Order Reopening Proceeding and Setting Aside Order

On February 8, 1995, Harley-Davidson Motor Company ("Harley-Davidson"), the respondent subject to the order issued by the Commission on June 29, 1954, in Docket No. 5698, *In the Matter of Harley-Davidson Co.*, 50 F.T.C. 1047 (1954) ("Order"), filed a Petition to Reopen Proceedings and Set Aside Cease and Desist Order ("Petition"). Among other things, Harley-Davidson requests that the Commission set aside the order in this matter pursuant to Section 2.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 C.F.R. § 2.51, and the Statement of Policy With Respect to Duration of Competition Orders and Statement of Intention to Solicit Public Comment With Respect to Duration of Consumer Protection Orders, issued on July 22, 1994, and published at 59 Fed. Reg. 45,286-92 (Sept. 1, 1994) ("Sunset Policy Statement"). In the Petition, Harley-Davidson affirmatively states that it has not engaged in any conduct violating the terms of the order. The Petition was placed on the public record, and close to 200 comments were received.¹

The Commission in its July 22, 1994, Sunset Policy Statement said, in relevant part, that "effective immediately, the Commission will presume, in the context of petitions to reopen and modify existing orders, that the public interest requires setting aside orders in effect for more than twenty years."² The Commission's order in Docket No. 5698 was issued on June 29, 1954, and has been in effect for over twenty years. Consistent with the Sunset Policy Statement, the presumption is that the order should be terminated. Nothing to overcome the presumption having been presented, the Commission has determined to reopen the proceeding and set aside the order in Docket 5698.

In light of some of the commenters' belief that granting Harley-Davidson's Petition would be commensurate with allowing it to engage in conduct that may violate the antitrust laws, and their concern that Harley-Davidson may use certain marketing practices to engage in unlawful conduct in the event the Commission sets aside the order in Docket No. 5698, the Commission notes that Harley-Davidson's conduct would continue to be subject to a case-by-case, rule of reason analysis under the

antitrust laws. Harley-Davidson's conduct would also continue to be subject to state motor vehicle dealer protection laws.

Accordingly, *it is ordered* that this matter be, and it hereby is, reopened; *It is further ordered* that the Commission's order in Docket No. 5698 be, and it hereby is, set aside, as of the effective date of this order.

By the Commission.
Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96-15489 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Docket No. C-3640]

Frank A. Latronica, Jr., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.
ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order requires, among other things, the distributor and the manufacturer of the Duram Emergency Escape Mask to possess competent and reliable scientific evidence to substantiate claims that their mask will absorb, filter out, or otherwise protect the user from any hazardous gas or fumes associated with fires, and for claims that the mask is appropriate for use in mines. In addition, the consent order requires the respondents to provide a disclosure statement on all package labels and inserts for the mask, or any substantially similar product.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued February 12, 1996.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan E. Krause, Chicago Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 55 East Monroe Street, Suite 1437, Chicago, Illinois 60603. (312) 353-4441.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Tuesday, June 6, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 29850, a proposed consent agreement with analysis *In the Matter of Frank A. Latronica, Jr., et al.*, for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

Comments were filed and considered by the Commission. The Commission

has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96-15490 Filed 6-18-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

[Docket No. C-3618]

Local Health System, Inc., et al.; Prohibited Trade Practices, and Affirmative Corrective Actions

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.
ACTION: Consent order.

SUMMARY: In settlement of alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition, this consent order, among other things, prohibits the merger of the two largest hospitals in St. Clair County, Michigan, and requires the respondents, for three years, to notify the Commission or obtain Commission approval before acquiring certain hospital assets in the Port of Huron area.

DATES: Complaint and Order issued October 3, 1995.¹

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phillip Broyles, Cleveland Regional Office, Federal Trade Commission, 668 Euclid Ave., Suite 520-A, Cleveland, OH 44114. (216) 522-4207.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On Thursday, August 3, 1995, there was published in the Federal Register, 60 FR 39747, a proposed consent agreement with analysis *In the Matter of Local Health System, Inc., et al.*, for the purpose of soliciting public comment. Interested parties were given sixty (60) days in which to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed form of the order.

No comments having been received, the Commission has ordered the issuance of the complaint in the form contemplated by the agreement, made its jurisdictional findings and entered an order to cease and desist, as set forth in the proposed consent agreement, in disposition of this proceeding.

¹ Copies of the Complaint, the Decision and Order, and Commissioner Azcuenaga's statement are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.

¹ To accommodate numerous requests to provide additional time to prepare and submit written comments concerning Harley-Davidson's Petition, the Commission extended the initial public comment period in this matter by thirty days.

² Sunset Policy Statement, (59 FR 45289).

¹ Copies of the Complaint and the Decision and Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, H-130, 6th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580.