

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Oswald Stender, 808-523-6203, or Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213-894-3437 (TDD 213-894-3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, June 7, 1996.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.  
[FR Doc. 96-15428 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

### Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the New Jersey Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the New Jersey Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 1:00 p.m. on Monday, July 1, 1996, at the New Jersey State House, Room 319, West State Street, Trenton, New Jersey 08625. The purpose of the meeting is to plan future program activities.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Ki-Taek Chun, Director of the Eastern Regional Office, 202-376-7533 (TDD 202-376-8116). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, June 7, 1996.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.  
[FR Doc. 96-15429 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-427-098]

#### Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate From France; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 8, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate (ASM) from France (61 FR 9425). The review covers Rhone Poulenc Chimie de Base (Rhone Poulenc), a manufacturer/exporter of ASM, and shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period from January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994. The Department gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. No comments were received. Therefore, the final results are the same as the preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 18, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Ross or Richard Rimlinger, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

##### Background

The Department initiated the January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994 administrative review for Rhone Poulenc on February 15, 1995 (60 FR 8629) at the request of the petitioner, the PQ Corporation. On March 8, 1996, the Department issued the preliminary

results for this administrative review (61 FR 9425).

##### Scope of Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of ASM, a crystallized silicate (Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>) which is alkaline and readily soluble in water. Applications include waste paper de-inking, ore-flotation, bleach stabilization, clay processing, medium or heavy duty cleaning, and compounding into other detergent formulations. This merchandise is classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedules (HTS) item numbers 2839.11.00 and 2839.19.00. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

##### Final Results of Review

The Department gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on its preliminary results. The Department did not receive any comments. Accordingly, for reasons discussed in the preliminary results, the Department has, pursuant to section 776 of the Act, used facts available. As discussed in the preliminary results, the Department used as facts available the 60-percent margin calculated in the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation using information provided by Rhone Poulenc. For a discussion of the reasons for application of facts available, see *Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate from France: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 9425 (March 8, 1995).

The Department will determine, and the Customs Service will assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Rhone Poulenc will be 60 percent; (2) for companies not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or the original LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review or the original investigation, the