

improving the Pipeline Safety Program are invited. Please submit comments before July 18, 1996. Please address written comments to the RSPA Dockets Unit, attention Verdell Simpkins, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room 8421, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590-0001. Comments should reference Docket No. PS-139.

**DATE/ADDRESS:** The public meeting will be held on June 27, 1996, from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm, at the Henry VIII Hotel, 4690 N. Lindbergh Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eben M. Wyman, Transportation Specialist, (202) 366-0918 regarding the subject matter of this notice; or the Dockets Unit (202) 366-4900; RSPA, Department of Transportation, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 4, 1995, President Clinton issued a memorandum to heads of departments and agencies calling for a review of all agency regulations and elimination or revision of those that are outdated or in need of reform. The President also directed that front line regulators “\* \* \* get out of Washington and create grassroots partnerships” with people affected by agency regulations.

RSPA has reviewed the pipeline safety regulations in response to the President's directive, and has prepared four regulatory actions, now in the final rule stage, that are scheduled to be published in the Federal Register by early June. These rules will lessen unnecessary burdens on the pipeline industry by revisions or updates in areas including the gas pipeline and liquefied natural gas safety standards, administrative practices, industry consensus standards incorporated by reference, and general updates and corrections to the pipeline safety regulations. The four rules “reinvent” 52 pages of the pipeline safety regulations.

In April and May of 1995, RSPA held three similar outreach meetings in Dallas, Denver, and Houston in response to the RRI directive. RSPA received many comments from participants at the meetings as well as written comments to Docket No. PS-139. The public offered support on some issues, and pointed out areas where RSPA needs improvement in its service to customers. Issues discussed at the meetings included RSPA's pipeline risk management initiatives, the present scope of RSPA's outreach efforts, the pipeline safety regulations and the regulatory process, compliance, enforcement, and jurisdictional issues. These issues were

also addressed in written comments to the docket. Commenters expressed views on other issues such as the status of One-Call legislation, the varying needs of small and large pipeline operators, and the role of the pipeline safety advisory committees. Finally, specific comments on numerous regulations were presented. RSPA has summarized the comments discussed at the previous outreach meetings, as well as the written comments submitted by mail or fax. These documents are available in Docket No. PS-139.

RSPA has taken all comments into consideration in its page-by-page review of the pipeline safety regulations. However, RSPA continues efforts to increase outreach projects and to promote partnerships with the pipeline industry. To enhance partnerships with the regulated community and all parties affected by RSPA regulatory actions, RSPA believes that public outreach meetings offer an effective forum to obtain input from the public on its pipeline safety program and regulations.

#### Conduct of the Meeting

The meeting will be informal and is intended to produce an open dialogue between agency personnel and persons affected by the pipeline safety program. RSPA's goal is to receive input from all interested parties, so no formal agenda will be prepared. Depending on the number of attendees, RSPA reserves the right to limit the time allocated to each speaker to ensure that all participants have an opportunity to speak. Conversely, the meeting may conclude before the scheduled time if all persons have been heard. RSPA invites participation by all interested parties on the status of the pipeline safety program, regulatory reform and customer service efforts, regulations and procedures needing improvement, or any other issues.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 12, 1996.

Richard B. Felder,

*Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety.*

[FR Doc. 96-15353 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-60-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Fiscal Service

#### Senior Executive Service; Financial Management Service Performance Review Board

**AGENCY:** Financial Management Service, Fiscal Service, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the appointment of members to the Financial Management Service (FMS) Performance Review Board (PRB).

**DATES:** This notice is effective on June 18, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael T. Smokovich, Deputy Commissioner, Financial Management Service, 401 14th St., SW., Washington, DC 20027; telephone (202) 874-7000.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), notice is given of the appointment of individuals to serve as members of the FMS PRB. The PRB reviews the performance appraisals of career senior executives below the Assistant Commissioner level and makes recommendations regarding ratings, bonuses, and other personnel actions. Three voting members constitute a quorum. The names and titles of the FMS PRB members are as follows:

#### Primary Members

Michael T. Smokovich, Deputy Commissioner

Bland T. Brockenborough, Assistant Commissioner, Regional Operations

Constance E. Craig, Assistant Commissioner, Information Resources

Michael A. Levine, Assistant Commissioner, Management

#### Alternate Members

Larry D. Stout, Assistant Commissioner, Federal Finance

Walter L. Jordan, Assistant Commissioner, Agency Services

Virginia B. Harter, Associate Deputy Commissioner for Re-Engineering.

Diane E. Clark, Assistant Commissioner, Financial Information

Dated: June 11, 1996.

Russell D. Morris,  
*Commissioner.*

[FR Doc. 96-15293 Filed 6-17-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-35-M**

## Internal Revenue Service

[Delegation Order No. 77 (Rev. 28)]

### Delegation of Authority

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Delegation of authority.

**SUMMARY:** The authority to issue or execute agreements to rescind notices of deficiency is given to the appropriate officials at the local level to whom authority should be delegated. These individuals may then redelegate authority to those with actual responsibility for signing or rescinding