characteristics of the labor force. To obtain its estimates, Census divides the total annual income due to wages reported by households by 52 to derive a weekly figure. The data are then divided by the reported number of hours worked during the census week to derive a wage value. The resulting estimate is not precise. Therefore, the BLS manufacturing wage data are used in computing the allotments under the block grants. The appropriate Congressional committees were informed of this approach.

C. Population Estimates for Territories

For both the mental health and the substance abuse block grants the law provides that the Secretary shall estimate the civilian population of a territory current if data on the civilian population of the territory does not exist. These estimates are developed by modifying the population estimates for the territories for which recent data do not exist by the average increase or decrease in the population of all territories for which there are recent data.

Data are available from the 1990 census for American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, Palau, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. For the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands the latest data on population are from 1980. The Census Bureau no longer has responsibility for collecting data from these two territories, which signed Compacts of Free Association with the United States in 1988. The 1990 population estimates for the Federated States of Micronesia and the Republic of the Marshall Islands were derived by applying the average percent change between 1980 and 1990 for the other territories to their 1980 populations. This determination was made as follows:

TERRITORY POPULATIONS FOR WHICH THE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS—COLLECTED DATA IN 1980 AND 1990 AND PERCENT CHANGE 1980–1990

Territory	1980 Population	1990 Population	Percent change
American Samoa	32,297	46,773	+44.8
Guam	105,979	133,152	+25.6
Northern Mariana Islands	16,780	43,345	+158.3
Palau	12,116	15,122	+24.8
Puerto Rico	3,196,520	3,522,037	+10.2
Virgin Islands	96,569	101,809	+5.4
Average Increase			+44.9

1990 ESTIMATED POPULATIONS OF TERRITORIES FOR WHICH THE BUREAU OF THE CENSUS NO LONGER COLLECTS DATA

Territory	1980 Population as enumerated	1990 Estimated population (using 44.9 percent average territory population increase from above table)
Federated States of Micronesia	73,087	105,903
Republic of the Marshall Islands	30,873	44,735

The Bureau of the Census has made post-1990 decennial census estimates only for Puerto Rico. With post-1990 estimates available only for Puerto Rico, the only way to adjust the population estimates for the other territories is to assume that the percentage change in the population of each is similar to the percentage change in Puerto Rico. Since the distribution of funding for each territory is proportional to its contribution to the total population of the territories, any adjustment based only on the change for Puerto Rico would not alter the allocation of funds. Therefore, the territory population data and estimates for 1990 continue to be used for allocation purposes.

D. Population in Urbanized Areas for Substance Abuse Block Grant

The formula for the SAPT block grant adjusts for the population at risk for substance abuse using the State population between 18–24 years of age living in urbanized areas and the total U.S. population between 18–24 years living in urbanized areas. The Bureau of the Census does not make inter-censal estimates of the population living in urbanized areas. Therefore, the estimates of this population group are derived from the 1990 census.

E. Indian Tribes Receiving Direct Allotments Under the Substance Abuse Block Grant

Section 1933(d) of the Act provides for separate grants for substance abuse prevention and treatment to Indian tribes or tribal organizations. Several categorical grant programs for which a number of tribes had been direct recipients were folded into the former ADMS block grant when it was established in 1981. The Red Lake Band of the Chippewa Indians in Minnesota was the only tribe or tribal organization still receiving ADMS block grant funds at the time the SAPT Block Grant was established in 1992 and is therefore the only Indian tribe currently eligible for direct receipt of funds. This group continues to receive a direct allotment under the SAPT Block Grant. The funding level for the Red Lake Indians, as determined by SAMSHA based on FY 1991 funding levels, is 0.0240535 of the total amount of the Minnesota annual allocation.

Dated: June 7, 1996.

Richard Kopanda,

Executive Officer, SAMHSA.

[FR Doc. 96–15010 Filed 6–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–3917–N–94]

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner; Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comments due: August 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Oliver Walker, Housing, Department of Housing & Urban Development, 451–7th Street, SW, Room 9116, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kerry J. Mulholland, Telephone number (202) 708–0614, Ext. 2649 (this is not a toll-free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

The Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Calculation of Tenant Rents at Title VI Preservation Projects—HUD–90012

OMB Control Number, if applicable: 2502–0489

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: The Department requests extension of information collection required to implement Title II of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987 and Title VI of the National Affordable Housing Act of 1990 (The Statutes). The Statutes instruct the Department on how to implement rents resulting from a successful Plan of Action to maintain a project's affordability. This rent structure was implemented by regulation on September 21, 1990, and April 8, 1992 at CFR Part 248. The Title VI project rent structure portion was modified by appropriations action found in Public Law 103–327, dated September 18, 1994, which provided for incorporating provisions that necessitate inclusion of a tenant payment standard for Lower Income Residents receiving Section 8 assistance during FY 1995.

The interim rule includes one case of information collection. The form will be used by owners to assist in calculating annual rent payments made by each tenant residing in the property. The FY 1995 Appropriations changes to Lower Income Tenant rent payments remain in effect as along as the Department funds under FY 1995 conditions. Owners are currently required to recertify tenants annually using Form HUD–50059. Form HUD–90012 amends the current recertification process to meet program guidelines. Information on the form will also be used by HUD field offices to monitor the owner's accurate calculation of these rent payments.

Agency form number, if applicable: HUD–90012

Members of affected public: Approximately 161 owners of LIHPRHA projects and approximately 54 ELIPHA projects located throughout the Continental United States.

An estimation of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information collection is 1,862, the number of respondents is 161, frequency of response is 1, and the hours of response is 8.66.

Status of the proposed information collection: Extension with change.

Authority: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: June 10, 1996.

Nicolas P. Retsinas,

Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 96–15226 Filed 6–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–01–M

[Docket No. FR–3917–N–93]

Government National Mortgage Association; Notice of Proposed Information Collection for Public Comment

AGENCY: Government National Mortgage Association, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: Comments due: August 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Sonya K. Suarez, Government National Mortgage Association, Office of Program, Policy, Procedure, and Risk Management, Department of Housing & Urban Development, 451–7th Street, SW, Room *6222*, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sonya K. Suarez, on (202) 708–2884 (this is not a toll-free number) for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department will submit the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended).

The Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or