

Authority: This advance notice of proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority in 26 U.S.C. 7805.

Signed: May 17, 1996.

Bradley A. Buckles,
Acting Director.

Approved: May 24, 1996.

John P. Simpson.

Deputy Assistant Secretary (Regulatory, Tariff and Trade Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 96-14852 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IN59-1-7217b; FRL-5510-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On August 29, 1995, the State of Indiana submitted a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request to the EPA for rule changes specific to Allison Engine Company (Allison) plants 5 and 8 located in Marion County, Indiana. The EPA proposes to approve Indiana's request. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this notice should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before July 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and the EPA's analysis of it are available for

inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3299.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: May 15, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-14962 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[VA010-5545b; FRL-5514-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Virginia; Proposed Approval of Alternative Compliance Plans for the Reynolds Metals Graphic Arts Plants

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Virginia for the purpose of establishing alternative compliance plans for the Reynolds Metals—Bellwood and South Plants located in Richmond, Virginia. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by July 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Air Programs, Mailcode 3AT00, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality, 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marcia L. Spink, (215) 566-2104.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: May 17, 1996.

W. Michael McCabe,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 96-14966 Filed 6-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[IN61-1-7230b; FRL-5509-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request submitted by the State of Indiana on September 19, 1995, and November 8, 1995, which establishes regulations for suppliers and users of automobile/mobile equipment refinishing coatings in Clark, Floyd, Lake, and Porter Counties. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. Any parties interested in