

Dated: May 31, 1996.

Mary D. Nichols,

Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

References

McClellan, R.O. (1989) Letter from Chairman of Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee to the EPA Administrator concerning "closure" on the Ozone Criteria Document Supplement and the Ozone Staff Paper, dated May 1, 1989.

Shy, C.; Lippmann, M.; Stolwijk, J.; and Speizer, F. (1996). Letter to Administrator Carol M. Browner regarding Supplement to the Closure Letter from the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee. March 20, 1996.

Wolff, G.T. (1995a) Letter from George T. Wolff, Chair, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) to Administrator Carol M. Browner. Closure letter by CASAC on the Air Quality Criteria for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants. November 28, 1995.

Wolff, G.T. (1995b) Letter from George T. Wolff, Chair, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) to Administrator Carol M. Browner. Closure letter by CASAC on the Primary Standard Portion of the Staff Paper for Ozone. November 30, 1995.

Wolff, G.T. (1996a) Letter from George T. Wolff, Chair, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) to Administrator Carol M. Browner. Closure letter by CASAC on draft Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter. March 15, 1996.

Wolff, G.T. (1996b) Letter from George T. Wolff, Chair, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) to Administrator Carol M. Browner. Closure letter by CASAC on the Secondary Standard Portion of the Staff Paper for Ozone. April 4, 1996.

[FR Doc. 96-14912 Filed 6-11-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 014-0003b; FRL-5464-5]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Five Local Air Pollution Control Districts

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from graphic arts operations.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with

the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by July 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,
Stationary Source Division, Rule
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,
Sacramento, CA 95812
El Dorado County APCD, 2850 Fairlane
Court, Placerville, CA 95667
Kern County APCD, 2700 M. Street,
Suite 290, Bakersfield, CA 93301
Placer County APCD, 11464 B. Avenue,
Auburn, CA 95603
Santa Barbara County APCD, 26
Castilian Drive, B-23, Goleta, CA
93117
South Coast AQMD, 21865 E. Copley
Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erik H. Beck, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San
Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone:
(415) 744-1190, Internet E-Mail:
beck.erik@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action concerns: El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District (EDCAPCD) Rule 231 "Graphic Arts Operations"; Kern County Air Pollution Control

District (KCAPCD) Rule 410.7, "Graphic Arts"; Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD) Rule 239 "Graphic Arts Operations"; Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 354, "Graphic Arts"; and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1130.1, "Screen Printing Operations". These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on the following dates in respective order: November 30, 1994; May 30, 1991; October 13, 1995; July 13, 1994; and November 18, 1993. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 13, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-14785 Filed 6-11-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-W

40 CFR Part 62

[TN-115-01-9616b; FRL-5519-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Tennessee; Approval of Revisions to Process Emission Standards for Total Reduced Sulfur Emissions From Kraft Mills

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Tennessee for the purpose of revising the current regulations for Total Reduced Sulfur (TRS) from Kraft Mills. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.