this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(e) and 355.31(e) and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(g) and 355.31(g). Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of these changed circumstances reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Act and sections 353.22(f), 353.25(d), 355.22(h), and 355.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–14738 Filed 6–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–549–502]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Thailand: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision on Remand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with decision on remand.

SUMMARY: On August 26, 1992, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') published in the Federal Register the final results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand. The review covered the period March 1, 1988 through February 28, 1989.

On February 14, 1995, the Court of International Trade (''CIT'') issued an order, in the case of *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd.* v. *United States,* Slip Op. 95–21 (CIT 1995), remanding to the Department the final results of the second administrative review of Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (''Saha Thai''). The Department issued its remand results on May 3, 1995, and its final calculations on June 21, 1995. On August 2, 1995, the CIT affirmed the Department's redetermination (Slip Op. 95–139). Since the CIT's ruling was not appealed, and the CIT decision affirming our redetermination has become final and conclusive within the meaning of section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), we are amending our final results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand with respect to Saha Thai.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alain Letort or Linda Ludwig, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–3793 or telefax (202) 482–1388.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more, but not exceeding 16 inches. These products, which are commonly referred to in the industry as ''standard pipe'' or ''structural tubing,'' are hereinafter designated as ''pipe and tube.'' Pipe and tube is currently classifiable under item numbers 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (''HTS''). These item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written product description remains dispositive.

This review covers shipments made by Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (''Saha Thai'') from Thailand to the United States during the period March 1, 1988 through February 28, 1989.

Background

On August 26, 1992, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') published in the Federal Register the final results of the second administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand (57 FR 38668) (''*Final Results*''). The review covered shipments of this merchandise from Thailand to the United States during the period March 1, 1988, through February 28, 1989, by Saha Thai.

On February 14, 1995, the Court of International Trade (''CIT'') issued an order, in the case of *Saha Thai Steel Pipe Co., Ltd.* v. *United States,* Slip Op. 95–21 (CIT 1995), remanding to the Department the final results of the second antidumping duty administrative review of Saha Thai. The CIT ordered the Department ''to clearly set forth the criteria used in its Final Results and to provide a reasonable explanation for any departure from established criteria if necessary, the facts used, and the conclusions reached in light of those criteria and facts.'' The Department having done so as reported in its remand results dated May 31, 1995, and final calculations dated June 21, 1995 (together referred to as the ''remand results''), the CIT, on August 2, 1995, affirmed the remand results (Slip Op. 95–139).

Amended Final Results of the Review

As a result of our recalculations, we have determined that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.46 percent ad valorem exists for certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes sold by Saha Thai during the period beginning on March 1, 1988 and ending on February 28, 1989. The dumping margin is de minimis.

Because the CIT's ruling affirming our redetermination has become final and conclusive, within the meaning of section 516A(e) of the Act, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service immediately to lift the suspension of liquidation and also to assess antidumping duties on entries subject to this review, as appropriate. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (''APO'') of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended [19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)] and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22).

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–14746 Filed 6–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–19–P

[A–455–001]

Electric Golf Carts From Poland; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 25, 1995 the United States Court of International Trade (the CIT) remanded to the Department of Commerce (the Department) the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on electric golf carts from Poland covering the period July 1, 1976 through June 10, 1980. *Melex USA, et al.* v. *United States,* Court No. 92–04–00298, Slip Op 96–58 (August 25, 1995). In its remand instructions, the CIT ordered that the Department recalculate the antidumping margin by applying the methodologies of the Antidumping Act of 1921, and by using Melex's cost differential data to determine the cost of four-wheel golf carts. On February 12, 1996, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand. On March 22, 1996, the CIT affirmed the Department's results of the remand redetermination. *Melex USA, et al.* v. *United States,* Court No. 92–04–00298, Slip Op 96–58.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Todd Peterson or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4195/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 25, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on electric golf carts from Poland, (57 FR 10334). As a result of clerical errors, we amended the final results of review on April 29, 1992 (57 FR 18129). The weighted-average margin in the amended final results was 2.91 percent. The review covered two manufacturers/exporters, Melex USA, Inc. and Pezetel, Ltd. (collectively referred to as Melex), and the period July 1, 1976 through June 10, 1980.

On August 25, 1995, the CIT remanded to the Department the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on electric golf carts from Poland, *Melex USA, et al.* v. *United States,* Court No. 92–04–00298, Slip Op 96–58.

In its remand instructions, the CIT directed the Department to: (1) Apply the methodologies of the Antidumping Act of 1921 (the 1921 Act) to unliquidated entries made prior to the effective dates of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 and the Trade and Tariff Act of 1984; (2) reevaluate the applicability of credit expense as a component of the constructed value calculation in light of the CIT's decision to apply the 1921 Act; and (3) use Melex's four-wheel cost differential data to determine the cost of four-wheel golf cars. Slip Op. at 20.

On February 12, 1996, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand. As a result of the remand instructions from the CIT, the antidumping margin for Melex on redetermination changed to 0.33 percent.

On March 22, 1996, the CIT affirmed the Department's results of the remand redetermination and dismissed the case. *Melex USA, et al.* v. *United States,* Court No. 92–04–00298, Slip Op 96–58.

Pursuant to the CIT's order of March 22, 1996, the Department is hereby amending the final results of administrative review. The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all entries made during the period of review. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect the antidumping duty applicable. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice is in accordance with section 516A of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–14742 Filed 6–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[C–557–806]

Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. For information on the net subsidy for each reviewed company, as well as for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Kornfeld or Lorenza Olivas, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: Judy Kornfeld (202) 482–3146, Lorenza Olivas (202) 482–1785 or (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 25, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register (57 FR 38472) the countervailing duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. On August 1, 1995, the Department published a notice of ''Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review'' (60 FR 39150) of this countervailing duty order. We received a timely request for review, and we initiated the review, covering