

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended [19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)] and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.22).

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14746 Filed 6-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-19-P

[A-455-001]

Electric Golf Carts From Poland; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 25, 1995 the United States Court of International Trade (the CIT) remanded to the Department of Commerce (the Department) the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on electric golf carts from Poland covering the period July 1, 1976 through June 10, 1980. *Melex USA, et al. v. United States*, Court No. 92-04-00298, Slip Op 96-58 (August 25, 1995). In its remand instructions, the CIT ordered that the Department recalculate the antidumping margin by applying the methodologies of the Antidumping Act of 1921, and by using Melex's cost differential data to determine the cost of four-wheel golf carts. On February 12, 1996, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand. On March 22, 1996, the CIT affirmed the Department's results of the remand redetermination. *Melex USA, et al. v. United States*, Court No. 92-04-00298, Slip Op 96-58.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Todd Peterson or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4195/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 25, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on electric golf carts from Poland, (57 FR 10334). As a result of clerical errors, we amended the final results of review on April 29, 1992 (57 FR 18129). The weighted-average margin in the amended final results was 2.91 percent. The review covered two manufacturers/exporters, Melex USA, Inc. and Pezetel, Ltd. (collectively referred to as Melex), and the period July 1, 1976 through June 10, 1980.

On August 25, 1995, the CIT remanded to the Department the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping finding on electric golf carts from Poland, *Melex USA, et al. v. United States*, Court No. 92-04-00298, Slip Op 96-58.

In its remand instructions, the CIT directed the Department to: (1) Apply the methodologies of the Antidumping Act of 1921 (the 1921 Act) to unliquidated entries made prior to the effective dates of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 and the Trade and Tariff Act of 1984; (2) reevaluate the applicability of credit expense as a component of the constructed value calculation in light of the CIT's decision to apply the 1921 Act; and (3) use Melex's four-wheel cost differential data to determine the cost of four-wheel golf cars. Slip Op. at 20.

On February 12, 1996, the Department filed its results of redetermination pursuant to the CIT's remand. As a result of the remand instructions from the CIT, the antidumping margin for Melex on redetermination changed to 0.33 percent.

On March 22, 1996, the CIT affirmed the Department's results of the remand redetermination and dismissed the case. *Melex USA, et al. v. United States*, Court No. 92-04-00298, Slip Op 96-58.

Pursuant to the CIT's order of March 22, 1996, the Department is hereby amending the final results of administrative review. The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all entries made during the period of review. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to collect the antidumping duty applicable. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

This notice is in accordance with section 516A of the Tariff Act of 1930 as amended.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Paul L. Joffe,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-14742 Filed 6-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[C-557-806]

Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. For information on the net subsidy for each reviewed company, as well as for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated in the Preliminary Results of Review section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 11, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Kornfeld or Lorenza Olivas, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: Judy Kornfeld (202) 482-3146, Lorenza Olivas (202) 482-1785 or (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 25, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register (57 FR 38472) the countervailing duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. On August 1, 1995, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (60 FR 39150) of this countervailing duty order. We received a timely request for review, and we initiated the review, covering