(B) DEVICES NOT COVERED UNDER Section 73.1630—Same as paragraph (f)(1)(i)(B) of this section.

(2) Complainant Outside the Blanketing Contour.

(i) Complaint Received Within First Year of Operation—Paragraph (c) of this section.

(A) DEVICES COVERED UNDER Section 73.1630—Licensee/permittee is not financially responsible for resolving interference complaints. However, the licensee/permittee is expected to cooperate with complainants by providing technical assistance in determining the cause of the problem and providing advice on corrective measures.

(B) DEVICES NOT COVERED UNDER Section 73.1630—Same as paragraph (f)(1)(i)(B) of this section.

(ii) Complaint Received After First Year of Operation—Paragraph (e) of this section.

(A) DEVICES COVERED UNDER Section 73.1630—Same as paragraph (f)(1)(i)(B) of this section.

(B) DEVICES NOT COVERED UNDER Section 73.1630—Same as paragraph (f)(1)(i)(B) of this section.

Appendix to § 73.1630—Covered and Non-Covered Devices

I. Devices Covered Under 47 CFR § 73.1630

Receivers, Tuners, and RF Amplifiers

—Radio (stationary or portable)
—TV (stationary or portable)
—Satellite TV
—VCR
—Cable TV head-end
—Fixed radio sites for cellular systems, private radio services, SMR and PCS systems.

II. Devices Not Covered Under 47 CFR § 73.1630

—Malfunctioning or mistuned receivers
—Improperly installed antenna systems
—Antenna booster amplifiers
—Mobile receivers and non-RF devices such as:
—Mobile receivers (i.e. Walkman or Watchman)
—Car radios
—Musical instrument amplifiers
—All Telephones (including hard-wired, cordless, mobile or pocket cellular or PCS)
—Answering machines
—Digital or Analog tape recorders
—CD players
—Phonographs
—Computers

III. Definitions

Mobile Receivers—Devices that do not remain in one fixed location. These devices are excluded due to their inherently transient nature.

Portable Receivers—Capable of being carried, whether operating by electric cord or batteries.

Note: Not all portable receivers are operated in the mobile mode.

[FR Doc. 96–12946 Filed 5–24–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Chapter 1

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Elimination of Nonstatutory Certifications

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Agenda for public meeting.

SUMMARY: By Federal Register notice dated May 14, 1996 (61 FR 24263), the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy and the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council announced a public meeting to discuss implementation of Section 4301(b) for the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104). The notice stated that there would be an interactive meeting between the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council, other Government representatives (from the procurement, legal and Inspector General communities), and industry. The purpose of this notice is to provide a sample of the types of issues/questions that will be posed for discussion and to solicit additional questions/issues from the public. Suggestions thus far include:

1. What are the requirements of Section 4301(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104–106)?

2. What role do certifications required in the FAR play in the Federal procurement process? Is this role one that should be preserved or discontinued? Why?

3. What are the positive aspects of FAR certification requirements? What concerns or issues, if any, do they create for Government? What concerns or issues, if any, do they create for offerors/contractors?

4. What are the negative aspects of FAR certification requirements? What concerns or issues, if any, do they create for Government? What concerns or issues, if any, do they create for offerors/contractors?

5. Do FAR requirements for prime Government contractors to include certification requirements in their subcontract provide benefits for Government or for offerors/contractors? Do such requirements create concerns or issues for Government or offerors/contractors?

6. Identify any existing FAR certification requirements that are especially burdensome to offerors/contractors. Describe the nature of the burden, and indicate whether or not any benefit derived from the requirement outweighs the burden.

7. In implementing the requirements of Section 4301, what criteria should be used to determine whether or not a particular certification, other than those mandated by statute, should be retained or deleted?

8. Do the FAR certification requirements affect whether or not commercial firms, or commercial divisions of firms, are interested in selling supplies or services to the Government? Why or why not?

9. If the FAR certification requirements do provide a benefit to the Federal procurement process that is worth preserving, is there an alternative means by which the same benefit can be provided?

10. Do certifications promote socially useful efforts by companies to assure that they are in compliance with the law? How useful are these certifications in ensuring the integrity of the procurement process?

11. How should we view the suggestion that certifications make it easier to prosecute cases against those the Government suspects of criminal wrongdoing? Do certifications make it ''too easy'' for the Government to win, or does it allow the Government to overcome the proof of burden that would otherwise be almost impossible to meet?

DATES: The public meeting will be conducted at the address shown below from 1:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m., Eastern daylight time, on June 3, 1996.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the White House Conference Center, Truman Room, 726 Jackson Place, NW, Washington, DC 20503. Suggestions for other questions/issues should be sent prior to the meeting to Mike Mutty, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, PDUSD (A&T) DP(DAR), IMD 3D129, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062, or by FAX to (703) 602–0350.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Mutty, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, at (703) 602–0131, FAX (703) 602–0350.

Dated: May 21, 1996.

Edward C. Loeb,

Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division.

[FR Doc. 96–13246 Filed 5–24–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–M