increase of 3.58 percent over the income guidelines for the previous period.

FCS POVERTY INCOME GUIDELINES FOR ELDERLY IN CSFP—48 STATES AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

[Effective July 1, 1996–June 30, 1997; 130 Percent of Poverty Income Guidelines]

Family size	Annual	Month	Week
1	10,062	839	194
2	13,468	1,123	259
3	16,874	1,407	325
4	20,280	1,690	390
5	23,686	1,974	456
6	27,092	2,258	521
7	30,498	2,542	587
8	33,904	2,826	652
For each additional family member add	+3,406	+284	+66

Dated May 2, 1996.

William E Ludwig,

Administrator.

[FR Doc 96–12186 Filed 5–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

Food Safety and Inspection Service

[Docket No. 96–019N]

Location of Proposed Technical Service Center; Opportunity To Present Alternative Sites for Consideration

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is considering creating a Technical Service Center to provide technical assistance, advice, and guidance for FSIS Field Operations personnel and the regulated meat, poultry, and egg products industries. FSIS is providing interested parties the opportunity to present recommended sites for its proposed Technical Service Center.

DATES: Requests to make a presentation to the Agency must be received by June 14, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Mr. O.V. Cummings, Director, Administrative Services Division, Food Safety and Inspection Service, 14th and Independence Ave., SW, Washington, D.C. 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. O.V. Cummings or Mr. Glen Durst at the above address or at (202) 720–3551, Fax (202) 205–7392.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FSIS has submitted a proposed reorganization plan to the Secretary of Agriculture for approval. The plan establishes a Technical Service Center to provide technical assistance, advice, and guidance for FSIS Field Operations personnel and the regulated meat, poultry, and egg products industries. The Center would house approximately 100 FSIS employees to provide guidance on the enforcement and application of FSIS domestic and import regulations, policies, and systems.

Approximately half of the employees that would be assigned to the Center are currently located in the Washington, D.C. area, with the remainder of the employees in the five Regional Offices or other locations. Locating the Center in the Washington D.C. area would result in the lowest initial cost to the Agency because there would be no relocation costs for employees already assigned to the Washington D.C. area. In addition, locating the Center in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area would enhance FSIS's ability to coordinate Agency functions with the Center.

However, locating the Center in a rural area could serve the Agency well in the long term. Experience shows that it is easier to recruit employees in areas where the cost of living is relatively low. In addition, locating the Center in the middle of the country would make it easier to serve field personnel and industry because the time difference between the Center and offices located on the east and west coast would not be so great.

FSIS invites recommendations regarding the location of the Technical Service Center. Persons interested in proposing sites for the Center should address issues of initial costs, other costs, and benefits associated with a particular, recommended location. The proposed locations should, at a minimum, meet the following criteria:

- Offer an adequate selection of moderate to middle income housing.
- Be within reasonable driving distance to a major airport because there will be occasional travel to and from the 18 proposed district offices and Washington, D.C.
- Have nearby hotel/motel accommodations.
- Have available office space to support the Center staff (approximately 20,000 square feet).

The presentations may be given in person, on videotape, or by conference call. Written recommendations should be sent to Mr. O.V. Cummings (See **ADDRESSES**).

The Agency will contact respondents to schedule the presentations to be given at FSIS headquarters. Presenters from outside the Washington D.C. area who wish to make presentations at FSIS headquarters must make their own travel arrangements and pay their own travel expenses.

Done at Washington, DC, on May 9, 1996.

Michael R. Taylor,

Acting Under Secretary for Food Safety.

[FR Doc. 96–12136 Filed 5–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: Patent and Trademark Office.

Title: Disclosure Document Program.

Form Number(s): PTO/SB/95.

Agency Approval Number: 0651–0030.

Type of Request: Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

Burden: 5,400 hours.

Number of Respondents: 27,000.

Avg Hours Per Response: .2 hours.

Needs and Uses: The information collected includes the invention disclosure submitted by the inventor and a form which requests the name and address of the inventor. The name and address information is used to notify the inventor of the receipt of the invention disclosure and to inform the inventor of the deposit number which must be used if the applicant desires to reference the invention disclosure in a subsequent application for patent.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, businesses or other for–profit institutions, not–for–profit institutions, and Federal Government.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Maya A. Bernstein, (202) 395–3785.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, Acting DOC Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Maya A. Bernstein, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 10, 1996.

Linda Engelmeier,

Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 96–12179 Filed 5–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–16–F

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Licensing of Private Remote-Sensing Space Systems

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is considering revisions to its regulations for the licensing of private remote sensing space systems under Title II of the Land Remote Sensing Policy Act of 1992, 15 U.S.C. 5601 *et seq.* (1992 Act). To further this consideration, NOAA is sponsoring a public meeting to facilitate an exchange of ideas on significant issues between industry and government. The discussion will focus on those issues highlighted in NOAA's December 4, 1995, Notice of Inquiry (60 FR 62054) and the comments received in response.

DATES: The Public Meeting will be held on June 14, 1996 from 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., with a lunch break from 12:30 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the United States Department of Commerce, Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 4830, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. Parties interested in participating in the public meeting, particularly those that would like to present oral and/or written testimony, should contact Michael Mignono or Kira Alvarez (See **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**). Comments received in response to the December 4, 1995 Notice of Inquiry may be viewed and/or copied by appointment from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. at NOAA, Federal Building 4, Room 3301, Suitland, MD. Copies of NOAA's Discussion Packages may be obtained by contacting Michael Mignogno.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Mignogno, NOAA, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, (301) 457–5210 or Kira Alvarez, NOAA, Office of General Counsel, (301) 713–0053.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NOAA is considering the need to revise its regulations for licensing operators of private remote-sensing space systems. It is holding a public meeting to promote the dialogue between the Government and industry which began with the publication of NOAA's December 4, 1995, Notice of Inquiry. NOAA will use the comments already received and the results of the meeting to determine whether new regulations are necessary and, if so, as a basis for NOAA to draft proposed regulations.

The December 4, 1995 Notice of Inquiry stated that NOAA was particularly interested in comment on issues in four general areas. The Notice also announced the availability of discussion packages concerning these areas. The areas and issues highlighted were as follows:

1. Review Procedures for License Applications.

A. How can the process be improved and modified to provide transparency and predictability?

B. What are the minimum informational requirements for a complete application?

NOAA proposed that the Government abide by more formal administrative time limits and more detailed record keeping in making these determinations on an application.

2. Should NOAA consider a different standard and/or procedures for restricting imaging to preserve national security/foreign policy interests than that established by PDD–23 and included in current licenses, i.e.:

> The Secretary of Commerce may, after consulting with the Secretary of Defense or State, as appropriate, require the licensee to stop imaging an area and/or stop distributing data from an area during any period when national security or foreign policy interests may be compromised.

3. Review of Foreign Agreements.

A. What agreements must be submitted for review? Is the existing focus appropriate, i.e. on agreements that give a foreign party some control over the operation of the system an important role in distributing data?What is the appropriate threshold for strictly financial arrangements.

B. What process should be in place to inform applicants when the Government has identified a concern with a potential foreign agreement?

Major comments received were as follows:

1. *General Issues:* Commentors noted that there is an overall need for clearer definitions and standards in the regulations. Also, several commentors discussed the issue of proprietary information: comments received from the commercial remote sensing industry noted that all proprietary information submitted to NOAA should be protected from disclosure to the public; however, comments received from the news media noted that NOAA license application files should be open to the public, similar to the Federal Communications Commission broadcast license application files.

2. *Review Procedures:* Comments received stated generally that the review period for license applications should be less than 120 days; several methods were proposed to help accomplish this, but most importantly the comments noted that NOAA should alert applicants as soon as possible about any defects that may delay processing the application.

3. *Standards for Restricting Imaging:* Comments received noted that the regulations should clarify the standards as to when the distribution of imagery will be cut-off or limited due to national security and/or foreign policy reasons. The news media indicated in their comments that they would like a ''clear and present danger'' standard incorporated into the regulations, as well as procedural safeguards.

4. *Review of Foreign Agreements:* Several comments suggested a tiered classification system whereby agreements with certain countries would receive less scrutiny than agreements with other countries. One comment suggested only the notification of the agreement to NOAA (but no forwarding of any documentation) for NOAA's certification that the agreement was in compliance with the license.

In an issue related to foreign agreements, industry commentors suggested that the 25 per cent cap on foreign ownership should be raised to 40 per cent.

All comments received on the December 4, 1995 Notice of Inquiry are available for public review by appointment from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. at NOAA, Federal Building 4, Room 3301, Suitland, MD. They may be inspected and any comments may be copied in accordance with regulations published in part 4 of title 15, Code of Federal Regulations. Further information about inspection and copying of records at this facility may be obtained by contacting NOAA (See **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**).

The meeting will consist of panel discussions of the four topics listed above, as well as the issue of foreign investment agreements. Concerning the latter, NOAA would be interested in examples of regulation of foreign investment that have worked successfully in the context of other agencies.

Parties interested in participating in the public meeting, particularly those that would like to present oral and/or