

30, 1996, from 8:00–5:00 p.m., at the Omni Durham Hotel, 201 Foster Street, Durham, North Carolina. Seating will be available on a first come, first served basis. To assist EPA in planning the public meeting, persons interested in attending should register with EPA by contacting Susan Cooke at Science Applications International Corporation by telephone at 919–544–2848 or by faxing your name and address to her attention at 919–544–4175.

The public is invited to submit written views and recommendations on new integrated approaches for implementing these programs. Such comments should be submitted (in duplicate) to docket A–95–38. The docket is open for public inspection and copying between 8:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., weekdays, at the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), room M–1500, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William F. Hamilton, Designated Federal Officer for the Subcommittee, at 919–541–5498, or by mail at U.S. EPA, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, MD–12, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711. A copy of the draft meeting agenda can be downloaded from the Ozone/Particulate Matter/Regional Haze FACA Bulletin Board, which is located on the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Technology Transfer Network (OAQPS TTN) or by contacting Denise M. Gerth (919) 541–5550.

Dated: May 6, 1996.

John S. Seitz,

Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. 96–12075 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2131]

Petitions for Reconsideration of Actions in Rulemaking Proceedings

May 9, 1996.

A Petition for reconsideration has been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor ITS, Inc. (202) 857–3800. Opposition to this petition must

be filed by May 29, 1996. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Implementation of Sections 202(f), 202(i) and 301(i) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Cable Television Antitrafficking, Network and MMDS/SMATV Cross-ownership Rules (CS Docket No. 96–56)

Number of Petitions Filed: 1

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–12048 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

[Correction to Report No. 2129]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Actions in Rulemaking Proceedings

May 9, 1996.

Report No. 2129, released May 1, 1996 omitted the below Petition for Reconsideration, therefore this petition is hereby added and the opposition date remains the same.

Subject: Preemption of Local Zoning Regulation of Satellite Earth Stations (IB Docket No. 95–59)

Number of Petitions Filed: 9

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–12049 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Federal Emergency Management Agency

Draft Document: Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants (Criteria for Emergency Planning in an Early Site Permit Application)

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) have jointly prepared guidance for the development, review, and approval of emergency information and plans to be submitted with an early site permit (ESP) application in a proposed Supplement 2 to NUREG–0654/FEMA–REP–1, Rev. 1, entitled, “Criteria for Preparation and Evaluation of

Radiological Emergency Response Plans and Preparedness in Support of Nuclear Power Plants (Criteria for Emergency Planning in an Early Site Permit Application).” This document is available for public review and comment.

The NRC staff's views on how emergency planning will be addressed at each phase of nuclear power plant licensing under 10 CFR Part 52: ESPs, standard design certifications, and combined licenses, are discussed in a Commission paper, “Emergency Planning Under 10 CFR Part 52,” SECY–95–090, issued on April 11, 1995. A draft of this paper was noticed for comment in the Federal Register on May 20, 1994 (59 FR 26530).

For ESP applications, Subpart A to 10 CFR Part 52 states requirements and procedures for issuing an ESP that approves a site for one or more nuclear power plants separate from the filing of an application for a construction permit or a combined license. The application for an ESP must, as a minimum, identify physical characteristics unique to the proposed site, such as limitations on egress from the area surrounding the site, that could pose a significant impediment to the development of emergency plans. In addition, the application must include a description of contacts and arrangements made with local, State, and Federal government agencies that have emergency planning responsibilities. The application *may also* propose major features of the emergency plans, such as the exact size of the emergency planning zones, that can be reviewed and approved by the NRC in consultation with FEMA, *or may* propose complete and integrated emergency plans for the site for review and approval by the NRC in consultation with FEMA.

Proposed Supplement 2 to NUREG–0654/FEMA–REP–1 provides guidance for meeting the mandatory emergency planning requirement and for addressing the two additional emergency planning options of the ESP rule. The proposed supplement recommends an approach for an ESP applicant to identify unique site physical characteristics that could be an impediment to the development of emergency plans. An ESP applicant that chooses the option of proposing major features of the emergency plans will be evaluated against selected and modified planning standards and evaluation criteria from NUREG–0654/FEMA–REP–1 which are given in proposed Supplement 2. An applicant for an ESP that chooses the option of submitting complete and integrated emergency plans for review and approval will be