

Road, Old Saybrook, Connecticut, without a permit as required by 33 U.S.C. 1344. Defendants Maia and Kathryn Chiat, successors in title to the property, will perform certain removal and restoration work and will apply to the Army Corps of Engineers for a permit to maintain the fill remaining after the restoration work is completed.

The United States Attorney's Office will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to John B. Hughes, Esq., Assistant U.S. Attorney, District of Connecticut, P.O. Box 1824, New Haven, Connecticut 06508, and should refer to *United States v. Martin H. Frimberger, Citicorp Mortgage, Inc. and Maia and Kathryn Chiat*, Civil No. 3:90CV136 (DJS) (D. Conn.).

The Complaint and proposed Consent Decree in this case may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, 450 Main Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06103.

Letitia J. Grishaw,  
Chief, Environmental Defense Section,  
Environmental & Natural Resources Division.  
[FR Doc. 96-11655 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Department of Justice policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Final (Consent) Judgment in *United States v. Seminole Fertilizer Corp.*, Case No. 96-735-CIV-T-24B (M.D. Fla.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida on April 15, 1996.

The proposed Final (Consent) Judgment concerns alleged violations of section 301(a) and 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and 1344. Specifically, Defendant Seminole Fertilizer Corporation exceeded the terms of a permit issued by the Corps of Engineers under Clean Water Act section 404 in connection with Seminole's phosphate mining operations. As a result of the alleged violation, fill material was unlawfully discharged into approximately 15.0 unpermitted acres of wetlands. The proposed Final (Consent) Judgment would require Seminole Fertilizer Corporation to complete an on-site mitigation project and to pay a \$40,000 civil penalty.

The United States Attorney's Office will receive written comments relating to the proposed Final (Consent)

Judgment for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Michael A. Cauley, Assistant U.S. Attorney, Middle District of Florida, 500 Zack Street, Room 400, Tampa, FL 33602, and should refer to *United States v. Seminole Fertilizer Corp.*, Case No. 96-735-CIV-T-24B (M.D. Fla.).

The Complaint and proposed Final (Consent) Judgment in this case may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, 611 North Florida Avenue, Tampa, Florida 33602.

Letitia J. Grishaw,  
Chief, Environmental Defense Section,  
Environmental & Natural Resources Division.  
[FR Doc. 96-11656 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Water Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States of America v. Robert V. Spiller*, CV-96-1010 (W.D. La.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana on April 23, 1996. The proposed decree concerns alleged violations of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311, as a result of the discharge of fill materials onto approximately 7.2 acres of wetlands by Roger V. Spiller ("Spiller"), near New Iberia, Louisiana.

The Consent Decree provides for the payment of a \$2,000.00 civil penalty to the United States and requires partial restoration of the violation site in accord with a partial restoration plan approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA").

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the consent decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Attention: John A. Sheehan, Environmental Defense Section, P.O. Box 23986, Washington, D.C. 20026-3986, and should refer to *United States v. Spiller*, DJ Reference No. 90-5-1-1-4132.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Offices of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Louisiana, 600 Jefferson Street, Suite 1000, Lafayette, Louisiana 70501; the offices of Region VI of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202, and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G

Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.75 for a copy of the consent decree with attachments.

Letitia J. Grisaw,  
Chief, Environmental Defense Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division,  
United States Department of Justice.  
[FR Doc. 96-11654 Filed 5-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### Antitrust Division

##### United States of America v. Woman's Hospital Foundation & Woman's Physician Health Organization; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and a Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in *United States of America v. Woman's Hospital Foundation & Woman's Physician Health Organization*, Civil No. 96-389-BM2.

The complaint alleges that defendants entered into an agreement that unreasonably restrained competition among physicians in the Baton Rouge, Louisiana area, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The complaint also alleges that Woman's Hospital Foundation willfully attempted to maintain and maintained its monopoly in inpatient obstetrical services in the Baton Rouge, Louisiana area, in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 2.

The proposed Final Judgment, agreed to by the defendants, prohibits defendants' unlawful agreement and the additional acts of Woman's Hospital Foundation that gave rise to the violations of Section 2.

Public comment on the proposed Final Judgment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Gail Kursh, Chief; Health Care Task Force; United States Department of Justice; Antitrust Division; 325 7th Street, N.W.; Room