

person whose name appears on the official service list. Further, if a party or intercedar files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Site Visit: A project tour is planned for June 4th and 5th. Those who wish to attend contact David Schwall of PacifiCorp at (503) 464-5345 by May 31st to sign up and receive further information and directions. Attendees will meet at the North Umpqua Forest Office located at 2900 Stewart Parkway at 8:00 am.

For Further Information Contact:
Vince Yearick, FERC-OHL (202) 219-3073.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-11592 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 10813-011]

City of Summerville; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

April 30, 1996.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA is for an application to amend the Summerville Hydroelectric Project. The application is to (1) substitute two turbine/generator units for the four units in the license; (2) revise the project boundary to include 9.6 miles of new transmission line in place of the licensed 8-mile transmission line; and (3) delete license article 303. The proposed amendment would not affect project capacity. The licensee requested the amendment because the original proposal was not economically feasible. The DEA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Summerville Hydroelectric Project is located on the Gauley River in Nicholas County, West Virginia.

The DEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the DEA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Copies can also be obtained by calling the project manager listed below.

Please submit any comments within 20 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon

studies, reports or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix Project No. 10813-011 to all comments. For further information, please contact the project manager, Heather Campbell, at (202) 219-3097.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-11593 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5502-3]

Responsiveness Summary to Comments on Proposed De Minimis Settlements, Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, St. Louis, MO

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Publication of the summary of comments on proposed *de minimis* settlements, Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site, St. Louis, Missouri.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has proposed *de minimis* settlements with four potentially responsible parties (PRPs) at the Peerless Industrial Paint Coatings Site in St. Louis, Missouri. These settlements have been proposed pursuant to Section 122(g)(1)(B) of the Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(1)(B) (CERCLA). The comment period for the proposed *de minimis* settlements was open from December 13, 1995 to January 12, 1996. EPA received one comment during the comment period from Boise Cascade Corporation. In addition, EPA received a telephone call and a letter from Boise Cascade Corporation on or about November 16 and November 20, 1995. In its comment letter of January 8, 1996, Boise Cascade Corporation first questions EPA's decision not to inform it and other potentially responsible parties (PRPs) of the negotiation of the *de minimis* settlements until after the settlements were reached. Secondly, it questions the identity of other parties EPA considered to be eligible for a *de minimis* settlements and why any other settlements with *de minimis* parties

were not negotiated. Thirdly, it objects to the *de minimis* settlements because it does not know the basis that EPA used for determining which PRPs were eligible for the *de minimis* settlements, the method for accounting for the orphan share, nor the premiums paid by the *de minimis* parties.

EPA's Response to Boise Cascade Corporation's Comments: No New Information Was Provided

To address Boise Cascade Corporation's first comment, Section 122(i)(1) of CERCLA provides that a public comment period shall be provided in the Federal Register for any settlements reached pursuant to Section 122(g) of CERCLA. There is no statutory requirement that PRPs be notified in advance of the published notice in the Federal Register nor be a participant in EPA's negotiations of *de minimis* settlements, to which they are not a party. After negotiations with the *de minimis* parties are concluded, the public comment period provided by Section 122(i)(1) of CERCLA is the process for EPA to receive comments.

To address the second and third comments, Section 122(g)(1)(A) of CERCLA allows *de minimis* settlements to be offered if the settlements involve a minor portion of the response costs at the Site and the amount and toxicity of the hazardous substances contributed to the Site by the party are minimal. EPA made a settlement offer to those *de minimis* parties that generated 1.665% or less of the hazardous substances that were removed from the Site. The calculation was based upon documentation developed during the removal action which attributed waste by each contributor. The toxicity of all the hazardous substances found at the Site was relatively the same; the hazardous substances at the Site demonstrated the characteristic of ignitability. The information described herein that Boise Cascade Corporation seeks regarding the identity of *de minimis* parties, information determining the eligibility of *de minimis* parties, and premiums paid is public information; Boise Cascade Corporation could have requested such information prior to making its comment.

To address the remaining issues raised in the third comment, EPA has not determined that an orphan share exists. EPA would account for the orphan share during the allocation pilot process that this Site is scheduled to undergo as part of EPA's administrative reforms. The *de minimis* settlements agreements reveal the premiums paid; a premium was calculated on the basis of anticipated future costs and the *de*

minimis parties' contribution of hazardous substances that were removed from the Site. Due to exceptional circumstances, liability of parties and future costs were readily and fairly identifiable.

Boise Cascade Corporation has offered no new information in its comments to cause EPA to alter its decision to enter into the proposed *de minimis* settlements.

Dated: April 29, 1996.

William Rice,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-11479 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[OPPTS-44625; FRL-5365-4]

TSCA Chemical Testing; Receipt of Test Data

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces EPA's receipt of data from a test designed to determine the presence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans in chloranil (CAS No. 118-75-2). These data were submitted pursuant to a final test rule issued by EPA under section 4 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Publication of this notice is in compliance with section 4(d) of TSCA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554-1404, TDD (202) 554-0551; e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4(d) of TSCA requires EPA to publish a notice in the Federal Register reporting the receipt of test data submitted pursuant to test rules promulgated under section 4(a) within 15 days after these data are received by EPA.

I. Test Data Submissions

Test data were submitted by Chugai Boyeki (America) Corporation for their chloranil pursuant to a TSCA section 4 test rule at 40 CFR Part 766 and were received by EPA on March 26, 1996. The submission includes a final report entitled "Analysis of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans in Chloranil." The testing was conducted to determine the presence of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in chloranil, a chemical used in the production of

pigments and dyes, and as a binding agent in the production of tires. EPA promulgated this test rule because of concern that certain organic chemicals may be contaminated by polyhalogenated dibenzo-p-dioxins and/or polyhalogenated dibenzofurans and may present an unreasonable risk of injury to human health or the environment.

EPA has initiated its review and evaluation process for this data submission. At this time, the Agency is unable to provide any determination as to the completeness of the submission.

II. Public Record

EPA has established a public record for this TSCA section 4(d) receipt of data notice (docket number OPPTS-44625). This record includes a copy of the study reported in this notice. The record is available for inspection from 12 noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, in the TSCA Public Docket Office, Rm. B-607 Northeast Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2603.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Test data.

Dated: April 29, 1996.

Charles M. Auer,

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 96-11618 Filed 5-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by FCC For Extension Under Delegated Authority 5 CFR Part 1320 authority, comments requested

May 1, 1996.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number.

Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. The FCC is reviewing the following information collection requirements for possible 3-year extension under delegated authority 5 CFR 1320, authority delegated to the Commission by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before July 8, 1996. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESS: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202-418-0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060-0576.

Title: Application for Renewal of Amateur Radio Station License.

Form No.: FCC 610R.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals.

Number of Respondents: 2,000.

Estimated Time Per Response: 5 minutes.

Total Annual Burden: 168 hours.

Needs and Uses: Amateur Radio Service licensees are required to apply for renewal of their radio station license every ten years. In lieu of filing a "long" form (FCC 610), the Commission allows this "short" form renewal to be filed. This form is computer generated and sent to licensees near the end of their ten year license term. They can renew simply by signing and returning the application as opposed to answering several questions on the "long" form. Commission personnel will use this data to determine eligibility for radio station renewal authorization and to issue a license. Data is also used by