hearing on proposed regulations that reflect the new procedures for obtaining an automatic extension of time to file an individual income tax return.

**DATES:** The public hearing originally scheduled for May 8, 1996, beginning at 10:00 a.m. is cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Slaughter of the Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate), (202) 622–7180 (not a tollfree number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under sections 6081 and 6651 of the Internal Revenue Code. A notice of proposed rulemaking by cross reference to temporary regulations and notice of public hearing appearing in the Federal Register for Thursday, January 4, 1996 (61 FR 338), announced that a pubic hearing on the proposed regulations would be held on Wednesday, May 8, 1996, beginning at 10:00 a.m., in the IRS Auditorium, 7400 Corridor, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The public hearing scheduled for Wednesday, May 8, 1996, is cancelled. Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 96–11404 Filed 5–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 095-0008b; FRL-5464-3]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concerns the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions, oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>), and oxides of sulfur (SO<sub>X</sub>) from flare and thermal oxidizers.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOC,  $NO_X$ , and  $SO_X$  in accordance with the goals of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a

direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by June 6, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the rule and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule are also available for inspection at the following locations:

- Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, B– 23, Goleta, CA 93117
- California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1197.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District Rule 359, Flare and Thermal Oxidizers, submitted to EPA on July 13, 1994 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: April 18, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96–11207 Filed 5–6–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# 40 CFR Part 52

[IL-18-7-7024b; FRL-5436-2]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Illinois

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On October 21, 1993, the **Illinois Environmental Protection** Agency (IEPA) submitted to the USEPA volatile organic compound (VOC) rules that were intended to satisfy part of the requirements of section  $182(\hat{b})(2)$  of the Clean Air Act (Act) amendments of 1990. Specifically, these rules provide control requirements for certain major sources not covered by a Control Technique Guideline (CTG) document. These non-CTG VOC rules apply to sources in the East St. Louis ozone nonattainment area which emit (at maximum capacity) 100 tons of VOC per year. These rules therefore provide an environmental benefit due to the imposition of control requirements on sources emitting greater than 100 tons of VOC per year that belong to certain source categories. The USEPA proposes to approve these VOC rules for major non-CTG sources. This action lists the State implementation plan revision that USEPA is proposing to approve and provides an opportunity for public comment. A rationale for approving this request is presented in the final rules section of this Federal Register, where USEPA is approving the revision request as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments the direct final rule will be withdrawn. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time. The final rule on this proposed action will address all comments received. DATES: Comments on this document must be received by June 6, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulatory Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Comments should be strictly limited to the subject matter of this proposal.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J) Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Rosenthal, Air Programs Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, (312) 886–6052, at the Chicago address indicated above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: February 7, 1996. David A. Ullrich, *Acting, Regional Administrator.* [FR Doc. 96–11203 Filed 5–6–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 1

[CC Docket No. 92-237; DA 96-678]

#### **Carrier Identification Codes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

#### **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On April 30, 1996, the Commission released a public notice seeking further comments to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Administration of the North American Numbering Plan), CC Docket No. 92-237 specifically on the issue of the appropriate length of the transition period for the expansion of carrier identification codes (CICs) from three to four digits. The intended effect of this action is to seek further comments because the record on the NPRM is two years old, and significant events have occurred since the record closed

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before May 21, 1996, and reply comments must be filed on or before May 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Ward, (202) 418–2336, Elizabeth Nightingale, (202) 418–2352, or Mary DeLuca, (202) 418–2334, all of the Common Carrier Bureau, Network Services Division.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Released: April 30, 1996.

1. On April 4, 1994, the Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (CC Docket No. 92–237)

addressing various issues relating to administration of the North American Numbering Plan and tentatively concluding, regarding carrier identification codes (CICs), that the industry's plan to expand Feature Group D (FGD) CICs from three to four digits, in the event of exhaust of the three digit codes, was reasonable to ensure that the demand for CICs could be met. Administration of the North American Numbering Plan, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 9 FCC Rcd 2068 (1994) (NPRM)(59 FR 24103 (05/10/94)). The NPRM also tentatively concluded that the transition or permissive dialing period for the expansion, during which both three and four digit CICs would be recognized, should last six years. The pleading cycle in response to the NPRM closed on June 30, 1994.

2. The record on the NPRM is two years old, and significant events have occurred since the record closed: (1) The assignment of exclusively four digit FGD CICs has begun, and in turn the transition period has begun; (2) there has been an unexpected increase in the demand for CICs, due to new uses for the codes recently discovered by the industry; (3) we now expect an even greater demand for CICs, with the anticipated increase in carriers entering the market as a result of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996) (1996 Act); and (4) the local exchange carriers are now obligated to provide dialing parity under Section 251 of the 1996 Act, see 47 U.S.C. 251(b)(3).

3. We seek, therefore, to refresh the record in CC Docket No. 92–237 specifically on the issue of the appropriate length of the transition period. Commenters should limit their comments to updated factual information in light of the recent events described above. We ask that parties neither simply reiterate their previous comments nor raise any new issues, but confine their discussion to how the length of the transition period has been affected, if at all.

4. Comments and reply comments in response to this Notice should be no more than 10 pages, and otherwise in compliance with Sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules. Comments must be filed on or before May 21, 1996, and reply comments must be filed on or before May 28, 1996. Comments and reply comments must be sent to the Office of the Secretary, FCC, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. Two copies should also be sent to the Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau, FCC, Room 235, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. One copy should also be

sent to the Commission's contractor for public service records duplication: ITS, Inc., 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. Copies can also be obtained from ITS at (202) 857– 3800.

5. We will continue to treat this proceeding as non-restricted for purposes of the Commission's *ex parte* rules. See generally 47 CFR §§ 1.1200–1.1216. For further information contact, David Ward (202/418–2336), Elizabeth Nightingale (202/418–2352), or Mary DeLuca (202/418–2334) of the Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau.

Federal Communications Commission Geraldine Matise,

*Chief, Network Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau.* 

[FR Doc. 96–11438 Filed 5–6–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-100; RM-8789]

# Radio Broadcasting Services; Amherst and Lynchburg, VA

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition by Greater Lynchburg Stereo Broadcasters proposing the allotment of Channel 294A to Amherst, Virginia, and the allotment Channel 229A to Lynchburg, Virginia. Channels 294A and 229A can be allotted to Amherst and Lynchburg, respectively, in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements. Channel 294A can be allotted to Amherst with a site restriction of 12.8 kilometers (8.0 miles) northeast to avoid short-spacing conflicts with the licensed site of Station WLQE(FM), Channel 295A, Bedford, Virginia, and with Station WPXX(FM)'s construction permit for Channel 294A at Semora, North Carolina. The coordinates for Channel 294A are 37-40-36 and 78-57-19. Channel 229A can be allotted to Lynchburg without a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 229A at Lynchburg are 37–24–49 and 79–08–33. DATES: Comments must be filed on or before June 24, 1996, and reply comments on or before July 9, 1996.