

revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations: Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.  
California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 92123-1095.  
San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite #200, Fresno, CA 93721.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, B-23, Goleta, CA 93117.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Helen Liu, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns the following rules

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) Rule 4602—Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Operations, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 325—Crude Oil Production and Separation, SBCAPCD Rule 326—Storage of Reactive Organic Compound Liquids, and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1124—Aerospace Assembly and Component Manufacturing Operations. California Air Resources Board submitted the rules to EPA on the following dates: October 13, 1995, March 29, 1994, March 29, 1994, and February 24, 1995, respectively. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 18, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-11206 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-W**

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[OH93-1-7290b; FRL-5467-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the Particulate Matter contingency measures State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Ohio on July 17, 1995. This submittal addresses the Federal Clean Air Act requirement to submit contingency measures for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM) for the areas designated as nonattainment for the PM National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Contingency measures are emission reductions which are to be implemented, with no further action, in the event that an area fails to meet air quality standards. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated

in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3299.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: April 19, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-11201 Filed 5-03-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[UT18-1-6778b; FRL-5500-2]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; Emission Statement Regulation, Ozone Nonattainment Area Designation, Definitions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing approval of the revision to the Utah State Implementation Plan (SIP) that was submitted by the Governor of Utah on November 12, 1993, for the purpose of implementing an emission statement program for stationary sources within the Salt Lake and Davis Counties (SLDC) ozone nonattainment area. The emission statement inventory regulation, Utah Air