

revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations: Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.  
California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 92123-1095.  
San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite #200, Fresno, CA 93721.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, B-23, Goleta, CA 93117.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Helen Liu, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns the following rules

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) Rule 4602—Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Operations, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) Rule 325—Crude Oil Production and Separation, SBCAPCD Rule 326—Storage of Reactive Organic Compound Liquids, and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1124—Aerospace Assembly and Component Manufacturing Operations. California Air Resources Board submitted the rules to EPA on the following dates: October 13, 1995, March 29, 1994, March 29, 1994, and February 24, 1995, respectively. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 18, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-11206 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-W**

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[OH93-1-7290b; FRL-5467-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Ohio

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve the Particulate Matter contingency measures State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Ohio on July 17, 1995. This submittal addresses the Federal Clean Air Act requirement to submit contingency measures for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM) for the areas designated as nonattainment for the PM National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Contingency measures are emission reductions which are to be implemented, with no further action, in the event that an area fails to meet air quality standards. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated

in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and EPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3299.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: April 19, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-11201 Filed 5-03-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[UT18-1-6778b; FRL-5500-2]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Utah; Emission Statement Regulation, Ozone Nonattainment Area Designation, Definitions

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing approval of the revision to the Utah State Implementation Plan (SIP) that was submitted by the Governor of Utah on November 12, 1993, for the purpose of implementing an emission statement program for stationary sources within the Salt Lake and Davis Counties (SLDC) ozone nonattainment area. The emission statement inventory regulation, Utah Air

Conservation Regulation (UACR) R307-1-3.5.4., was submitted by the State to satisfy the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended in 1990, requirements for an emission statement program to be part of the SIP for Utah. EPA's approval will serve to make the emission statement inventory regulation federally enforceable. In addition, EPA is proposing to approve other minor changes involving definitions in UACR R307-1-1. and the ozone nonattainment area designation definition in UACR R307-1-3.3.3.

In the Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Richard R. Long, Director, Air Program (8P2-A), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, Air Program, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tim Russ, Air Program (8P2-A), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, Telephone number: (303) 312-6479.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Dated: September 29, 1995.  
Jack W. McGraw,  
*Acting Regional Administrator.*

Editorial note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register May 1, 1996.

[FR Doc. 96-11199 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 140-10-7261b; FRL-5457-1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Placer County Air Pollution Control District and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed Rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP), which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from the storage and transfer of organic liquids and tank degassing operations.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as noncontroversial revision amendments and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to these rules. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by June 5, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation reports of the rules are

available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Placer County Air Pollution Control District, 11464 B Avenue, Auburn, CA 95603.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, Rule Development Section, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Duane F. James, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1191, email: james.duane@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns the Placer County Air Pollution Control District's Rule 212, "Storage of Organic Liquids," and Rule 215, "Transfer of Gasoline into Tank Trucks, Trailers and Railroad Tank Cars at Loading Facilities," and the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District's Rule 74.26, "Crude Oil Storage Tank Degassing Operations," and Rule 74.27, "Gasoline and ROC Liquid Storage Tank Degassing Operations." These rules were submitted to EPA on January 24, 1995 (Rules 215, 74.26, and 74.27) and October 13, 1995 (Rule 212), by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: March 11, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-11195 Filed 5-03-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-W

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[IL129-1-7046b; FRL-5464-9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) proposes to approve a State revision to the Illinois sulfur dioxide State Implementation Plan (SO<sub>2</sub> SIP), submitted on March 14, 1995. This revision revises the SO<sub>2</sub> emission limitations applicable to Madison