Published FR 03–15–96—Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 960159, FINAL EIS, FAA, WI, Dane County Regional Airport, Air Carrier Runway 3–21 Construction and Operation and Associated Actions, Airport Layout Plan Approval and Funding, Dane County, WI, Due: June 03, 1996, Contact: John Dougherty (612) 725–4362. Published FR 04–12–96— Review Period Extended.

Dated: April 30, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–11131 Filed 5–2–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[ER-FRL-5469-2]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 15, 1996 Through April 19, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-D39036-DE Rating EC2, Delaware Coast from Cape Henlopen to Fenwick Island Feasbility Study, Rehoboth Beach and Dewey Beach Project, Storm Damage Reduction, Sussex County, DE.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the need for updated information on the biological recovery of the borrow areas and the criteria used in selection of the preferred plan of beach restoration for storm damage.

ERP No. D–COE–E36174–FL Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Florida's Everglades, Stormwater Treatment Areas Construction Project, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Implementation, Lake Okeechobee, Palm Beach and Hendry Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the performance capabilities of the proposed stormwater treatment areas, and requested additional information concerning impacts to wetlands and water quality.

ERP No. D–COE–E40764–00 Rating LO/EC2 Fort Campbell Rail Connector,

Construction between the Government-Owned Line Railroad and CSX Line, Hopkinsville and Clarkville, Christian Co., KY and Montgomery and Stewart Counties, TN.

Summary: EPA had no objection to Alternative 3, but expressed concerns with the other alternatives presented in the draft EIS. In particular, EPA was concerned how their implementation would affect wetland/wildlife habitat, and requested additional information.

ERP No. D-COE-G39029-LA Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Marsh Management Project, Hydrologic Manipulation, COE Section 10 and 404 Permit Issuance, Coastal Wetland of Louisiana a part of the Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act (CWPPRA) River Basins, LA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over the proposal and requested additional information. Information needed in the Final EIS include: 1) the development and full consideration of the document's objectives, 2) clarification in the development of future scenarios of marsh management projects, and 3) consideration of cumulative and secondary impacts.

ERP No. D-FRC-C02000-PR Rating EC2, Eco Ele'ctrica Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Import Terminal and Electric Cogeneration Project Construction and Operation, Permits and Approvals, Guayanilla Bay, PR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the project's potential impacts to water quality, aquatic resources, public safety, and existing site contamination. EPA also requested that additional information be provided in the final EIS to address these issues.

ERP No. D–FRC–E05047–GA Rating EC2, North Georgia Hydroelectric Project, (FERC. No. 2354–018) Issuance of Relicensing, Savannah River Basin, Tallulah, Tugalo and Chattooga Rivers, GA and SC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns with the proposed project, and requested additional information.

ERP No. D–IBR–K39039–NV Rating EC2, Southern Nevada Water Authority Treatment and Transmission Facility, Construction and Operation, Issuance of Permits, Right-of-Way Grants and Modification of existing Water Delivery/ Service Contracts, Clark County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts of wastwater return flows on water quality in Las Vegas Bay and Lake Mead and on wetlands habitat in Las Vegas Wash. EPA requested additional consideration of water conservation measures.

ERP No. D–SCS–K36115–HI Rating EC2, Upcountry Maui Watershed, Implementation, To Address Agricultural Water Shortage, COE Section 404 Permit, Makawao District, Island of Maui, Maui County, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to wildlife and riparian habitat due to construction of new reservoirs to provide new irrigation. EPA recommended that the FEIS include a more complete description of the environmental impacts of the action, mitigation measures and alternatives.

ERP No. DS-COE-C36062-00 Rating EC2, Passaic River Basin Flood Control Plan, Implementation, Updated Information to extend tunnel outlet from Upstream Terminus to Newark Bay, Passaic, Bergen, Morris, Essex and Hudson Counties, NJ and Rockland and Orange Counties, NY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the feasibility of the proposed wetland mitigation, construction related water quality impacts, as well as local economic impacts. EPA has requested that additional information be provided in the final supplemental EIS to address these issues.

FINAL EISs

ERP No. FS-COE-G32051-TX Galveston Bay Area Navigation Improvements, Houston Ship and Galveston Channels, Additional Information, Funding and Implementation, Galveston and Harris Counties, TX. *Summary:* EPA had no objections to the recommended plan.

ne recommended plan.

Dated: April 30, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–11132 Filed 5–2–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

[FRL-5466-8]

Science Advisory Board; Notice of Public Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given that various committees and subcommittees of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will meet on the dates and times described below. All times noted are Eastern Time. All meetings are open to the public. Due to limited space, seating at meetings will be on a first-come basis. For further information concerning specific