

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on August 19, 1994 (59 FR 42787), inviting comments for a proposed rulemaking which would create a regulated area on the Ashley River in Charleston, South Carolina for the Festival on the Fourth celebration. The day long event included personal watercraft demonstrations, aerial demonstration, ski jumping, and kite skiing throughout the day.

The proposed rulemaking would have created a regulated area between Brittle Bank Park and the main river channel. Entry into this regulated area would have been prohibited to all non-participants annually on July 4, from 11 a.m. to 10:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Daylight Time, unless otherwise specified in the Seventh Coast Guard District Local Notice to Mariners. Since the publication of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the event has been down-sized to such an extent that no federal regulatory action is needed. Therefore, this rulemaking is no longer necessary, and the Coast Guard is terminating further rulemaking under docket number [CGD07-94-017].

Dated: April 22, 1996.

P.J. Cardaci,

Captain U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.
[FR Doc. 96-10659 Filed 4-29-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA153-2-7274b; FRL-5459-4]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Ventura County Air Pollution Control District; Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management Division; Placer County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) emissions from natural gas-fired central furnaces, stationary internal combustion engines, and biomass boilers.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of NO_x in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act,

as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by May 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, Rule Development Section, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, Rule Development Section, 8411 Jackson Road, Sacramento, CA 95826.

Placer County Air Quality Management District, Rule Development, 11464 B. Avenue, Auburn, CA 95603.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wendy Colombo, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1202.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns the following district rules:

- Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD), Rule 74.22, Natural Gas-Fired, Central Fan-Type Furnaces;

- Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD), Rule 412, Stationary Internal Combustion Engines Located at Major Stationary Sources; and
- Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD), Rule 233, Biomass Boilers.

The rules were submitted to EPA on February 11, 1994, June 16, 1995, and October 19, 1994, respectively, by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 1, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-10567 Filed 4-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-W

40 CFR Part 52

[CA-095-0006b; FRL-5455-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Placer County Air Pollution Control District, El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District, Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, and Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from organic solvent degreasing, surface preparation and cleanup, motor vehicles and mobile equipment coating operations, wood coating operations, and graphic arts.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of VOCs in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated