

[Docket No. CP96-308-000]**Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

April 19, 1996.

Take notice that on April 10, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP96-308-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.216 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216 and 157.211) for authorization to abandon an existing obsolete, undersized meter and to construct and operate an upgraded replacement meter at the Mancos Meter Station in Montezuma County, Colorado, under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to upgrade the meter station by removing the existing 2-inch positive displacement meter and appurtenances and installing a new 2-inch positive displacement meter and appurtenances. The maximum design capacity of the meter station will increase from 233 Dth per day to approximately 438 Dth per day at 150 psig. The estimated upgrade cost is \$79,680 (\$69,680 for installation of new facilities and \$10,000 for removal of the old). Northwest states that this proposal will better accommodate existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations at this delivery point to Greeley Gas Company. Northwest has stated that its existing FERC Gas Tariff does not prohibit the proposed upgrading; that there will be no impact on Northwest's system peak day or annual deliveries; and, that there is sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to existing customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If not protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request

shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10136 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-313-000]**Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

April 19, 1996

Take notice that on April 12, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP96-313-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to operate in interstate commerce certain facilities that were previously constructed and operated to effectuate transportation service pursuant to Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA). Williams makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, Williams is proposing to use a delivery facility that was installed in Mitchell County, Kansas, for purposes other than Section 311 transport. It is stated that the subject facilities were constructed to enable Williams to perform NGPA Section 311 transportation to Western Resources, Inc. (WRI) for use by Plum Creek Farms (Plum Creek). The Section 311 facilities consist of a 2-inch tap, metering, regulating and appurtenant facilities. Williams states that it commenced gas delivery to WRI for Plum Creek on December 14, 1995. Williams states that the volumes of gas that will be delivered to WRI for Plum Creek, after approval of the request herein, will not exceed WRI's existing entitlements.

Williams declares that such a change in facility use is not prohibited by its existing tariff, and that Williams has sufficient capacity to accomplish the delivery specified without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers. It is stated that WRI reimbursed Williams the \$4,451 construction cost of the subject facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the

Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene a notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protests filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-10137 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EC96-18-000, et al.]**Great Bay Power Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings**

April 18, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Great Bay Power Corporation

[Docket No. EC96-18-000]

Take notice that on April 11, 1996, Great Bay Power Corporation (Great Bay), submitted an application pursuant to § 203 of the Federal Power Act for authority to effect a "disposition of facilities" that would be deemed to occur as a result of implementation of a proposed holding company structure, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that implementation of the holding company structure proposed would be accomplished through the creation of a holding company (Holding Company) of which Great Bay would be a subsidiary. It is stated that the proposed holding company structure is intended to facilitate the separation of Great Bay's activities as an exempt wholesale generator (EWG), as that term is defined under Section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, from any other business. Such separation will permit Holding Company to engage in business activities through subsidiaries other than Great Bay, which Great Bay is prohibited from engaging in due to its status as an EWG.

Comment date: May 9, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.