

40 CFR Part 52

[WI68-01-7294b; FRL-5461-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Wisconsin; Industrial Adhesives SIP Revision**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted on December 11, 1995 and later supplemented on January 12, 1996. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation to control emissions from industrial adhesives operations in ozone nonattainment areas classified as moderate or worse. In the final rules of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received by May 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. EPA, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the proposed SIP revision and EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the U.S. EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (Please telephone Douglas Aburano at (312) 353-6960 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Aburano, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. EPA, Region 5, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-6960.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: April 5, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-10130 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[FL-64-2-9611b; FRL-5444-5]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans Florida: Approval of Revisions to the Florida SIP**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Florida which includes amendments to the federally enforceable state operating permit program and the SIP regulations for perchloroethylene dry cleaning facilities. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by May 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Joey LeVasseur, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations.

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.
EPA, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.
Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Twin Towers Office

Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Interested persons wanting to examine documents relative to this action should make an appointment with the Region 4 Air Programs Branch at least 24 hours before the visiting day. To schedule the appointment or to request additional information, contact Joey LeVasseur, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 EPA, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 ext. 4215. Reference file FL64-2-9611b.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: January 26, 1995.

Phyllis P. Harris,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-10128 Filed 4-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**47 CFR Chapter I**

[CC Docket No. 96-98, FCC 96-182]

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In enacting the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) Congress sought to establish a pro-competitive, deregulatory national policy framework for the telecommunications industry. In adding new sections 251, 252, and 253 to the Communications Act of 1934, Congress set forth a blueprint for ending monopolies in local telecommunications markets. Section 251(d)(1) of the Act directs the Commission to establish rules to implement the requirements of Section 251. In this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM") the Commission seeks to implement the local competition provisions of the 1996 Act. The Commission's rules that arise from this rulemaking proceeding will serve to promote the procompetitive provisions of the statute. These rules will assist incumbent LECs, telecommunications carriers, state commissions, the Commission, and the courts in defining rights and responsibilities regarding