

### 15. Wisconsin Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER96-1520-000]

Take notice that on April 8, 1996, Wisconsin Power and Light Company (WP&L), tendered for filing an Agreement dated April 2, 1996, establishing Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc. as a customer under the terms of WP&L's Point-to-Point Transmission Tariff.

WP&L requests an effective date of April 2, 1996 and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. A copy of this filing has been served upon the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

*Comment date:* April 30, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

### 16. Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1521-000]

Take notice that on April 8, 1996, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Companies), filed a Service Agreement between GPU and Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. (MSCG) dated. This Service Agreement specifies that MSCG has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Companies' Energy Transmission Service Tariff accepted by the Commission on September 28, 1995 in Docket No. ER95-791-000 and designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 3.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good causes shown and an effective date of April 2, 1996 for the Service Agreement. GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania and on MSCG.

*Comment date:* April 30, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be

taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-9814 Filed 4-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### Notice of Request for Amendment of Project License

April 16, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Request for Amendment of Project License.

b. *Project No.:* 8278.

c. *Date Filed:* March 18, 1996.

d. *Applicant:* Crystal Springs Hydroelectric, L.P.

e. *Name of Project:* Cedar Draw Creek Project.

f. *Location:* On Cedar Draw Creek in Twin Falls County, Idaho.

g. *File Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Dell Keehn, 11225 S.E. 6th, Suite 100, Bellevue, Washington 98004, (206) 453-0500.

i. *FERC Contact:* Thomas LoVullo, (202) 219-1168.

j. *Comment Date:* May 23, 1996.

k. *Description of Amendments:* (1) Crystal Springs Hydroelectric, L.P. (licensee) requested to install a variable flow turbine (multiple jet, impulse type) in place of one of the project's four fixed-flow turbines. The licensee stated that installation of the variable flow turbine would slightly reduce the generation capacity of the project (from 2,924 kilowatts (kW) to 2,751 kW) but would provide better control and management of water resources. The plant controls would be modified to allow smooth and continuous adjustment of powerhouse flows from a minimum of 5 cubic feet per second (cfs) to a maximum flow of 148 cfs.

The licensee's second amendment request concerned a reduction in the minimum flow requirement from 25 cfs to 10 cfs. The licensee stated that a reduction in the minimum flow would be more comparable with the upstream project's requirement of passing 5 cfs. The licensee stated that the installation of the new turbine equipment would provide more stable flows through the bypass reach of Cedar Draw. With a stabilized flow, stream bank vegetation would be enhanced, stream bank

erosion reduced, water quality improved and possibly the food supply for fish improved. Further, the licensee proposed to mitigate for the reduction in the minimum flow by installing two fish ladders at two man-made barriers in Cedar Draw. The fish ladders would provide approximately 3.2 miles of additional habitat in Cedar Draw by allowing fish passage from the Snake River.

1. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If any agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-9759 Filed 4-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M