

Total Responses: 34,282.
Average Time Per Response: 76.75
Minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours:
43,858.

Activity	Total respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time per response (minutes)	Estimated total burden (hours)
Wage Initiations	20,003	Annually	20,003	82	27,337
Initiation updates	212	Annually	212	20	71
Wage updates	1,785	Some annually, some quarterly	1,785	20	595
Benefit tests	756	Annually	756	262	3,301
FY96 OCSP surveys	325	Annually	325	120	650
AK-HI-SJ survey	901	Annually	901	82	1,231
Service Contract Act survey	6,200	Annually	6,200	82	8,473
Quality Assurance	4,100	Annually	4,100	32	2,200
Totals			34,282		43,858

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): \$0.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they also will become a matter of public record.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 10th day of April, 1996.

Peter T. Spolarich,

Chief, Division of Management Systems,
Bureau of Labor Statistics.

[FR Doc. 96-9352 Filed 4-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Special Emphasis Panel in Graduate Education; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Graduate Education (#57).

Date and Time: May 1-2, 1996; 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Carolyn Lyons Piper, Assistant Program Director, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Room 907, Arlington, VA 22230; Telephone: (703) 306-1696.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to the NATO Postdoctoral Fellowship Program (NATO).

Agenda: Review and evaluate NATO proposals.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information

concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within exemptions 4 and 6 of 5 U.S.C. 552b.(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: April 10, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-9279 Filed 4-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414]

Duke Power Company, et al.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-35 and NPF-52 issued to the Duke Power Company (the licensee) for operation of the Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in York County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendments would change the containment hydrogen mitigation system Technical Specifications (TS) to provide that, if neither the Train A or Train B igniter is operable in any one containment region, then there is an allowance of 7 days to restore one hydrogen ignitor to OPERABLE status, or be in Hot Shutdown within the next 6 hours. This would be consistent with the guidance of the Standard TS for Westinghouse plants, NUREG-1431. The current TS does not provide for inoperable ignitors on the two redundant trains being in the same containment region. Other administrative and editorial changes

were proposed to TS 3/4.6.4.3 to provide consistency of format and text with the Standard TS (NUREG-1431). Associated changes were also proposed for the Bases. A recent performance of the 92-day ignitor surveillance test determined that one ignitor in Train B did not energize and had failed. The area of the containment covered by this ignitor cannot be accessed during power operation for repairs due to the radiation levels in this area.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Criterion 1

The requested amendments will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. No impact upon accident probabilities will be created, since the HIS [Hydrogen Ignition System] System is not an accident initiating system. In addition, allowance for a single location in the containment to be without an operable ignitor, is afforded by the low probability of the occurrence of a degraded core event that would generate hydrogen in amounts