otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent loss of braking capability and possible brake fire due to failure of the brake pistons, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 9 months after the effective date of this AD, or at the next scheduled or unscheduled brake overhaul, whichever occurs first: Modify ABSC wheel brake assemblies having P/N 5008132–2, –3, –4, –5, –6, or –7, all serial numbers, by accomplishing either paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this AD.

(1) Replace the brake assemblies with modified units having stainless steel pistons, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Fokker Service Bulletin SBF 100–32–092, dated January 11, 1995. Or


(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install an ABSC brake assembly having part number 5008132–2, –3, –4, –5, –6, or –7, on any airplane unless it has been modified in accordance with Fokker Service Bulletin SBF 100–32–092, dated January 11, 1995, or Aircraft Braking Systems Service Bulletin Fo100–32–63, dated January 13, 1995.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

Summary: The Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing receipt of a proposed amendment to the Montana regulatory program (hereinafter, the “Montana program”) under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA). The proposed amendment consists of revisions to the Montana Coal and Uranium Bureau’s rules pertaining to permit renewals, permit requirements, and notice of intent to prospect. The amendment is intended to revise the Montana program to provide additional safeguards, clarify ambiguities and improve operational efficiency.

DATES: Written comments must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.d.t. on May 10, 1996. If requested, a public hearing on the proposed amendment will be held on May 6, 1996. Requests to present oral testimony at the hearing must be received by 4:00 p.m., m.d.t. on April 25, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed or hand delivered to the Casper Field Office Director at the address listed below.

Copies of the Montana program, the proposed amendment, and all written comments received in response to this document will be available for public review at the address listed below during normal business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Each requester may receive one free copy of the proposed amendment by contacting OSM’s Casper Field Office.

Guy Padgett, Casper Field Office, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 100 East “B” Street, Federal Building—Room 2128, Casper, Wyoming 82601–1918

Gary Amestoy, Administrator, Reclamation and Enforcement, Dept. of Environmental Quality, P.O. Box 201601, Helena, Montana 59620, Telephone 406/444–2074

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Guy Padgett, Telephone: 307/261–6500.
2. Executive Order 12778

The Department of the Interior has conducted the reviews required by section 2 of Executive Order 12778 (Civil Justice Reform) and has determined that this rule meets the applicable standards of subsections (a) and (b) of that section. However, these standards are not applicable to the actual language of State regulatory programs and program amendments since each such program is drafted and promulgated by a specific State, not by OSM. Under sections 503 and 505 of SMCREA (30 U.S.C. 1253 and 1255) and the Federal regulations at 30 CFR 730.11, 732.15, and 732.17(h)(10), decisions on proposed State regulatory programs and program amendments submitted by the States must be based solely on a determination of whether the submittal is consistent with SMCREA and its implementing Federal regulations and whether the other requirements of 30 CFR Parts 730, 731, and 732 have been met.

3. National Environmental Policy Act

No environmental impact statement is required for this rule since section 702(d) of SMCREA (30 U.S.C. 1292(d)) provides that agency decisions on proposed State regulatory program provisions do not constitute major Federal actions within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)).

4. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507 et seq.).

5. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department of the Interior has determined that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The State submittal that is the subject of this rule is based upon counterpart Federal regulations for which an economic analysis was prepared and certification made that such regulations would not have a significant economic effect upon a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, this rule will ensure that existing requirements previously promulgated by OSM will be implemented by the State. In making the determination as to whether this rule would have a significant economic impact, the Department relied upon the data and assumptions for the counterpart Federal regulations.

List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 926

Intergovernmental relations, Surface mining, Underground mining.

Dated: April 3, 1996.

Russell F. Price,
Acting Regional Director, Western Regional Coordinating Center.

[FR Doc. 96–8921 Filed 4–9–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–05–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–300420; FRL–5361–2]

Potassium Citrate; Tolerance Exemption

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes that residues of potassium citrate (CAS Reg. No. 866–84–2) be exempted from the requirement of a tolerance when used as an inert ingredient (chelating agent and pH control) in pesticide formulations applied to growing crops, raw agricultural commodities after harvest, and animals. This proposed regulation was requested by Monsanto Company and Zeneca Ag Products pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

DATES: Comments, identified by the docket control number [OPP–300420], must be received on or before May 10, 1996.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person deliver comments to: Rm. 1132, Crystal Mall CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as “Confidential Business Information” (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public docket by EPA without prior notice. The public docket is available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the address given above.