Closed Session

6. Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 12958, dealing with the U.S. export control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The General Session of the meeting will be open to the public and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Committee members, the Committee suggests that you forward your public presentation materials two weeks prior to the meeting to the following address: Ms. Lee Ann Carpenter, TAC Unit/OAS/EA Room 3886C, Bureau of Export Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on December 22, 1994, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, that the series of meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee and of any Subcommittee thereof, dealing with the classified materials listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(c)(1) shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in section 10(a)(1) and (a)(3), of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The remaining series of meetings or portions thereof will be open to the public.

A copy of the Notice of Determination to close meetings or portions of meetings of the Committee is available for public inspection and copying in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6020, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC. For further information or copies of the minutes call (202) 482–2583.

Dated: April 2, 1996

Lee Ann Carpenter,

Director, Technical Advisory Committee Unit.

[FR Doc. 96–8519 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE, 3510–DT–M

Foreign—Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 812]

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status, Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc. (Consumer Electronic Products), Indianapolis, Indiana Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act ''To provide for the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,'' as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the Indianapolis Airport Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 72, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the consumer electronics products warehouse/distribution facilities of Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc., located at sites in Indianapolis, Bloomington and Marion, Indiana, was filed by the Board on October 31, 1995, and notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (FTZ Docket 67–95, 60 FR 56567, 11/9/95); and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 72L) at the Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc., facilities in Indianapolis, Bloomington and Marion, Indiana, at the locations described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of March 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–8512 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

[A–427–030]

Large Power Transformers From France; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review; large power transformers from France.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty finding on large power transformers (LPTs) from France in response to a request by respondent, Jeumont Schneider Transformateurs (JST). This review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have not been made below normal value (NV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elisabeth Urfer or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4733.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Treasury Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping finding on LPTs from France on June 14, 1972 (37 FR 11772). On June 6, 1995, we published in the Federal Register (60 FR 29821) a notice of opportunity to

request an administrative review of the antidumping finding on LPTs from France covering the period June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1)(1995), JST requested that we conduct an administrative review of its sales. We published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on July 14, 1995 (60 FR 36260). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of LPTs; that is, all types of transformers rated 10,000 kVA (kilovolt-amperes) or above, by whatever name designated, used in the generation, transmission, distribution, and utilization of electric power. The term ''transformers'' includes, but is not limited to, shunt reactors, autotransformers, rectifier transformers, and power rectifier transformers. Not included are combination units, commonly known as rectiformers, if the entire integrated assembly is imported in the same shipment and entered on the same entry and the assembly has been ordered and invoiced as a unit, without a separate price for the transformer portion of the assembly. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8504.22.00, 8504.23.00, 8504.34.33, 8504.40.00, and 8504.50.00. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of transformers, JST, and the period June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

Export Price

For sales made by JST we calculated an export price, in accordance with section 772(a) of the Act, because the subject merchandise was sold to unrelated purchasers in the United States prior to importation into the United States.

We calculated export price based on the delivered price to unrelated purchasers. We made deductions for international freight, foreign inland freight, U.S. inland freight, and U.S. duties.

Normal Value

We preliminarily determine that the use of constructed value (CV) is warranted to calculate NV for JST, in accordance with section 773(a) of the Act. While the home market is viable, the particular market situation in this case, which requires that the subject merchandise be built to each customer's specifications, does not permit proper price-to price comparisons in either the home market or a third country.

Petitioner and JST agree that CV should be used to calculate NV. Petitioner notes that because LPTs are built to each purchaser's specifications, the likelihood of finding identical merchandise in the home and U.S. markets is remote, and that changing a single specification in an LPT frequently results in literally hundreds of changes to the other physical attributes of the unit. We have reviewed the specifications submitted, as well as information regarding the relationship of certain specifications to costs and have determined that the particular market situation does not permit proper price-to-price comparisons in this case. We have therefore based NV on CV. In addition, we note that in past proceedings involving large, custom-built capital equipment, including prior reviews of this finding, we have normally resorted to CV. (*See, e.g., Large Power Transformers from France; Final Result of Antidumping Administrative Review,* 60 FR 62808, December 7, 1995; and *Mechanical Transfer Presses From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 58 FR 68117, December 23, 1993.)

CV consists of the cost of materials and fabrication, general expenses, profit, and packing. We used packing costs for merchandise exported to the United States. We made a circumstance-of-sale adjustment by deducting from CV home market direct selling expenses, *i.e.*, warranties and commissions, and adding to CV U.S. direct selling expenses, *i.e.*, commissions, selling expenses, and credit. We also made a circumstance-of-sale adjustment for JST's exchange rate guarantee.

JST reported an aggregate profit figure based on its home market sales. JST urges the Department to use this figure for profit, particularly if the Department uses JST's SG&A figures for 1994, because SG&A and profit are both derived from JST's financial statements, and are, therefore, linked. While we have used JST's actual SG&A figures, we question the appropriateness of using JST's submitted profit figure, for the reasons explained in the proprietary memo to the *Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.* Instead, we have calculated a profit figure based on JST's parent company, Schneider SA as facts otherwise available. However, there may be other profit figures that would be more appropriate for use in the calculation of CV. As examples, we could calculate JST's profit based only on above-cost sales if we had cost data for home market sales, or there may be other relevant information in JST's books and records. Therefore, we are inviting comment on this issue for the final results.

Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of our comparison of export price to normal value, we preliminarily determine that no dumping margin exists for sales of LPTs made to the United States by JST during the period June 1, 1994 to May 31, 1995.

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish a notice of final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Upon completion of this review, the Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of LPTs from France entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(c) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be 24 percent, the rate established in the first notice of final results of

administrative review published by the Department (47 FR 10268, March 10, 1982).

These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Paul L. Joffe,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: March 29, 1996.

[FR Doc. 96–8510 Filed 4–5–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A–201–504]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On February 9, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued preliminary results of a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware (POS cooking ware) from Mexico (61 FR 4957). The review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Esmaltaciones San Ignacio, S.A. (San Ignacio) to the United States during the period January 1, 1995 through June 30, 1995.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results and no comments were received. Therefore, the final results remain unchanged from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled ''Final Results of Review.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 8, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laura Merchant or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On February 9, 1996, the Department issued preliminary results (61 FR 4957) of its new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from Mexico (51 FR 43415, December 2, 1986). The preliminary results indicated that San Ignacio sold subject merchandise at not less than normal value during the POR. We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results.

The Department has now conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Act and section 353.22 of its regulations.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of POS cooking ware, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses.

This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 7323.94.00. Kitchenware currently entering under HTS item number 7323.94.00.30 is not subject to the order. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Final Results of Review

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received no comments. The final results remain unchanged from the preliminary results as the Department used the same methodology described in the preliminary results. As a result of our comparison of the export price (EP) and normal value (NV), we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin
San Ignacio	0.00

The results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination and for future deposits of estimated duties. The posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and section 353.22(h)(4) of the Department's regulations, will no longer be permitted for this firm. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be zero percent; (2) for exporters not covered in this review, but covered in previous reviews or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered by this review, or the original LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters not previously reviewed will continue to be 29.52 percent, the ''All Others'' rate made effective by the final results of review published on January 9, 1995 (see *Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 60 FR 2378) and as amended on February 8, 1995 (60 FR 7521). This is the ''All Others'' rate from the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this