

no additional cost to the Government. Further, MTMC, by requiring the lower of the two weights to be used as the transportation charge, is not modifying the program as it currently operates today. Finally, changing reweigh procedures so that the second weight is also the billing weight may adversely effect the members' entitlement to request reweighs.

7. Observation of Weighing

(93) *Industry:* Unless specifically requested by the PPSO or the customer, the contractor should not have to advise the PPSO or the customer of the time and specific location of every shipment weighing. This is an unnecessary administrative burden on the PPSO, the customer, and the contractor.

Response: MTMC agrees and has changed the language to read that "upon request" the contractor will, prior to weighing, advise the PPSO or the customer of the time and specific location of every shipment weighing. Also the PPSO or the customer will have the right to observe all weighing upon request and will be entitled to notice of the time and location of the weighing with sufficient time to exercise that right.

Gregory D. Showalter,
Army Federal Register, Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 96-8093 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Tender Filing Instructions for the Movement of Foreign Military Sales (FMS) Materiel

AGENCY: Military Traffic Management Command (MTMC), DOD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Military Traffic Management Command's (MTMC) guidance to the carrier industry on how to submit unsolicited transportation rates (voluntary tenders) for the movement of FMS materiel is as follows:

Carriers who voluntarily agree to participate in FMS movements must submit a Standard Tender(s) of Freight Services MT Form 364-R numbered in the 300,000 series (300,000 to 399,999 inclusive) to apply to movement of FMS materiel only; numbered in the 400,000 series (400,000 to 499,000 inclusive) to apply to movement of both FMS and Department of Defense (DOD) materiel; and, numbered according to instructions contained in MTMC Standard Tender Instruction Publication No. 364 to apply to movement of DOD material only. Tender numbers must be consecutively numbered.

DATES: These instructions are effective April 3, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Headquarters, Military Traffic Management Command, ATTN: MTOP-T-SR, Room 629 5611 Columbia Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-5050.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Teresa Schoppert, MTOP-T-SR, (703) 681-3440 or e-mail schoppet@baileys-emh5.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Historically, shipments of FMS have moved using public tariff rates only. The Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-88) abolished the Interstate Commerce Commission, and the Trucking Industry Regulatory Reform Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-311) repealed the requirement that motor carriers (other than carriers of household goods) publish and file a tariff and access rates contained in that tariff. As a result, tariffs are no longer filed by carriers with a regulatory agency, and there is, accordingly, no legal requirement that carries apply a tariff rate to FMS traffic. MTMC will now accomplish movement of FMS materiel by the use of tenders (MT Form 364-R). Carriers wishing to voluntarily offer rates for these movements should follow the guidelines published herein.

Gregory D. Showalter,
Army Federal Register, Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 96-8056 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS), Operation and Maintenance, Arkabutla Lake, Enid Lake, Grenada Lake, and Sardis Lake, MS; Addressing Yalobusha River, Above Grenada Lake

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The purpose of the proposed action is to reduce flood damage potential, sedimentation, and erosion of the Yalobusha River by restoring channel capacity upstream of the Grenada Lake flood control reservoir. The project includes the Yalobusha River within the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Grenada Lake project boundary, near Calhoun City, Mississippi.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Stuart C. McLean (601) 631-5965, CELMK-PD-Q, 2101 North Frontage Road, Vicksburg, Mississippi 39180-5191.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Authority for the work is contained in the Flood Control Act of 1936, as amended by the Flood Control Act of 1946 and subsequent Acts. Specific authorization for Yalobusha River channel maintenance is contained in the SR 104-120, 29 July 1995.

2. The range of alternatives to be considered include no action, acquisition of lands subject to flooding, and various options for restoring channel capacity.

3. a. Significant issues tentatively identified include bottom-land hardwood/wetlands, waterfowl, fisheries, water quality, cultural resources, and socioeconomic conditions. Additional environmental requirements may be identified during the scoping process.

b. The Environmental Protection Agency; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Mississippi Department of Wildlife, Fisheries and Parks; Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality; and Natural Resource Conservation Service will be invited to participate as cooperating agencies.

c. The scoping process is scheduled to begin in March 1996. Public notices, containing a description of the proposed project, will solicit input as to the scope of issues to be addressed in the Draft SEIS. All affected Federal, state, and local agencies and other interested private organizations and parties will be invited to participate.

4. A Draft SEIS will be available for review by the public during FY 97.

Gregory D. Showalter,
Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 96-8052 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-PU-M

Department of the Navy

Notice of Proposed Information Collection; Naval Sea Systems Command

SUMMARY: In compliance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Naval Sea Systems Command announces the proposed reinstatement of a previously approved public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and