

published pursuant to section 206.3 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: March 22, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7492 Filed 3-27-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**[Inv. No. 337-TA-386]**

**Notice of Investigation**

In the Matter of Certain Global Positioning System Coarse Acquisition Code Receivers and Products Containing Same.

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on February 21, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Trimble Navigation, 645 North Mary Avenue, P.O. Box 3642, Sunnyvale, California 94088-3642. Letters supplementing the complaint were filed on March 5 and March 12, 1996. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain global positioning system coarse acquisition code receivers and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 7 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,754,465. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent exclusion order and a permanent cease and desist order.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-1802. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kent Stevens, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2579.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.10.

**Scope of Investigation**

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 22, 1996, Ordered That—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain global positioning system coarse acquisition code receivers or products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 or 7 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,754,465, and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Trimble Navigation, 645 North Mary Avenue, P.O. Box 3642, Sunnyvale, California 94088-3642.

(b) The respondent is the following company alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: NovAtel Communications Ltd., 1020 64th Avenue N.E., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T3J 1S1.

(c) Kent Stevens, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401-L, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 C.F.R. § 210.13. Pursuant to sections 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, 19 C.F.R. §§ 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and notice will not be

granted unless good cause therefore is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: March 25, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7570 Filed 3-27-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**[Docket No. 95-7]**

**Stanley Karpo, D.P.M.; Revocation of Registration**

On September 19, 1994, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, (then titled Director, Office of Diversion Control), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Stanley Karpo, D.P.M., (Respondent) of Norristown, Pennsylvania, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, AK5172515, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a), and deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, in relevant part, the Order to Show Cause alleged that the Respondent had been excluded from participation in a program pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1320a-7(a), as evidenced by, but not limited to, the following:

(a) Between 1986 and 1989, [the Respondent] submitted 219 fraudulent claims for \$32,317.00, to Medicare for medical services not provided.

(b) On July 22, 1991, in the Court of Common Pleas for Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, [the Respondent] pled guilty to 23 counts of Medicaid fraud, and two counts of theft by deception. On October 15, 1991, [the Respondent was] sentenced to a period