

criteria for implementing specific mitigation actions without further review, or with limited site-specific analysis tiered to the Program EIS.

Process to Date

BPA has funded, over the last several years, a number of small demonstration projects under a "model" watershed program. The model watersheds include the Grand Ronde and its subbasins in Oregon, the Tucannon, Pataha, and Asotin in Washington, and the Lemhi, Pahsimeroi, and East Fork Salmon in Idaho. To date, BPA has categorically excluded these model watershed demonstration projects under NEPA. However, with the culmination of planning for many of the model watersheds and potential for expansion of the watershed program to include additional watersheds beyond the model watersheds, BPA has decided to prepare an EIS to discuss the potential cumulative impacts, both positive and negative, of a larger-scope watershed program.

Alternatives Proposed for Consideration

Alternatives to be considered in the BPA Watershed Management Program EIS would include alternative standards and guidelines for each management issue addressed. The EIS will also consider a No Action alternative, *i.e.*, program implementation without defined program-wide standards and guidelines.

Identification of Environmental Issues

The environmental issues associated with fish mitigation activities include changes in land use, vegetation patterns, fish and wildlife populations, recreational opportunities, and water use and quality.

Further information is available from BPA at the address above.

Issued in Portland, Oregon, on March 14, 1996.

Randall W. Hardy,

Administrator and Chief Executive Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-7269 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6540-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG96-31-000]

AEP Resources Gippsland Power, L.L.C.; Notice of Surrender of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status

March 20, 1996.

Take notice that on March 15, 1996, pursuant to § 365.7 of the Commission's

regulations, 18 CFR 365.7, AEP Resources Gippsland Power, L.L.C. filed notification that it surrenders its status as an exempt wholesale generator under section 32(a)(1) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7205 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-177-000]

Boundary Gas, Inc.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 20, 1996.

Take notice that on March 15, 1996, Boundary Gas, Inc. (Boundary) tendered for filing, as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to become effective April 1, 1996:

First Revised Sheet No. 5
First Revised Sheet No. 6
First Revised Sheet No. 7
First Revised Sheet No. 8
First Revised Sheet No. 13
First Revised Sheet No. 22
First Revised Sheet No. 23
First Revised Sheet No. 24

Boundary states that the purpose of this filing is to accommodate the needs of one of its Repurchasers, National Fuel Gas Distribution Corporation (National Fuel), which wishes to receive all of its Boundary volumes at a different delivery point from the one originally specified in Boundary's Phase 2 Gas Sales Agreement, which is incorporated into Boundary's FERC Gas Tariff. No other changes are being made to the tariff, and no other Boundary Repurchaser will be affected by this change.

Boundary states that copies of this filing were served upon all customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are

available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-7201 Filed 3-25-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER96-345-001]

Indeck Pepperell Power Associates, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Order

March 20, 1996.

On November 13, 1995, as completed on December 26, 1995, Indeck Pepperell Power Associates, Inc. (Indeck Pepperell) filed a request for authorization to sell energy and capacity at market-based rates from the Indeck Pepperell Power Plant, a 38 MW cogeneration facility, located in Pepperell, Massachusetts. In their filing, Indeck Pepperell requested certain waivers and authorizations. In particular, Indeck Pepperell requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liabilities by Indeck Pepperell. On March 19, 1996, the Commission issued an Order On Rehearing Conditionally Accepting For Filing Market-Based Rates, And Granting Requests For Waivers And Authorizations (Order), in the above-docketed proceeding.

The Commission's March 19, 1996 Order granted the request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (D), (E), and (G):

(D) Within 30 days of the date of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by Indeck Pepperell should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214 (1995).

(E) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in Ordering Paragraph (D) above, Indeck Pepperell is hereby authorized to issue securities and to assume obligations or liabilities as guarantor, endorser, surety or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.