

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC10-32-242 (for Model DC-10 series airplanes), dated November 1, 1995; and McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-32-060 (for Model MD-11 series airplanes), dated November 6, 1995. These service bulletins describe procedures for conducting a visual inspection to identify the serial number of suspect lock link bolts, and the replacement of those bolts with new bolts having different serial numbers. Replacing a suspect bolt will minimize the possibility of a bolt failure and subsequent NLG collapse.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require a one-time visual inspection to identify the suspect lock link bolts, and the replacement of those bolts with new serviceable bolts. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

There are approximately 565 Model DC-10 and MD-11 series airplanes and KC-10A (military airplanes) of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 334 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately .5 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed one-time inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$10,020, or \$30 per airplane.

If a suspect lock link bolt is found to be installed on an airplane, its removal and replacement would take approximately 3 work hours to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Replacement parts would be supplied by the manufacturer at no charge to operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement action on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$180 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this

proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES."

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 95-NM-254-AD.

Applicability: Model DC-10-10, -15, -30, and -40 series airplanes, and KC-10A airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC10-32-242, dated November 1, 1995; and Model MD-11 series airplanes as listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-32-060, dated November 6, 1995; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not

been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously. To prevent collapse of the nose landing gear as a result of failure of the lock link bolt, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 24 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a visual inspection to determine the serial number of the lock link bolt, part number (P/N) ACG7079-1, installed in the nose landing gear (NLG), in accordance with procedures specified in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC10-32-242, dated November 1, 1995, for Model DC-10 series airplanes; or McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-32-060, dated November 6, 1995, for Model MD-11 series airplanes.

(b) If the serial number of the lock link bolt is not AP001 through AP036 inclusive, or AP200 through AP344 inclusive: No further action is required by this AD.

(c) If the serial number of the lock link bolt is AP001 through AP036 inclusive, or AP200 through AP344 inclusive: Prior to further flight, replace the lock link bolt with a new bolt, P/N ACG7079-1, that does not have one of those serial numbers.

(d) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a lock link bolt, part number (P/N) ACG7079-1, having a serial number of AP001 through AP036 inclusive, or AP200 through AP344 inclusive, on any airplane.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 12, 1996.

James V. Devany,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-6389 Filed 3-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-ASO-7]

Proposed Amendment to Class D and E2 Airspace and Proposed Establishment of Class E4 Airspace; Jackson, TN

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (SNPRM).

SUMMARY: On February 6, 1996, the FAA proposed to amend Class D surface area airspace and establish Class E4 surface area arrival extension at Jackson, TN. This proposal was necessary because the arrival extension, which is currently part of the Class D surface area, is greater than 2 miles and must, by regulation, be designated as Class E4 airspace. However, the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), as published, inadvertently failed to propose an amendment to the Class E2 surface area airspace, which exists when the control tower is closed and approach control service to the surface is provided by Memphis Center. In addition to amending the Class D surface area airspace and establishing Class E4 surface area arrival extension airspace, this SNPRM proposes to amend the Class E2 surface area airspace at Jackson, TN.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 8, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 96-ASO-7, Manager, System Management Branch, ASO-530, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, telephone (404) 305-5586.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benny L. McGlamery, System Management Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5570.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their

comments on this supplemental notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-ASO-7." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for Southern Region, Room 550, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (SNPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Manager, System Management Branch, ASO-530, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A which describes the application procedure.

Background

On February 6, 1996, (61 FR 4381) the FAA proposed to amend Class D surface area airspace and establish Class E4 surface area arrival extension airspace at Jackson, TN. However, the NPRM, as published, inadvertently failed to propose an amendment to the Class E2 surface area airspace, which exists when the control tower is closed and approach control service to the surface is provided by Memphis Center. This SNPRM corrects that oversight.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to amend Class E2 surface area airspace in addition to amending the Class D surface area airspace and establishing the Class E4 surface area arrival extension airspace at Jackson, TN. Class D airspace designations, Class E airspace designations for airspace areas designated as a surface area for an airport and Class E airspace

designations for airspace areas designated as an extension to a Class D surface area are published in Paragraphs 5000, 6002 and 6004, respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9C, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 Class D airspace.
* * * * *

ASO TN D Jackson, TN [Revised]
McKellar-Sipes Regional Airport, TN
(Lat. 35°35'59" N, long. 88°54'56" W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 2900 feet MSL within a 4.2-mile radius of the McKellar-Sipes Regional Airport. This Class D airspace

area is effective during the specific days and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective days and times will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

* * * * *

Paragraph 6002 Class E airspace areas designated as a surface area for an airport.

* * * * *

ASO TN E2 Jackson, TN [Revised]

McKellar-Sipes Regional Airport, TN
(Lat. 35°35'59" N, long. 88°54'56" W)

Within a 4.2-mile radius of the McKellar-Sipes Regional Airport. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific days and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective days and times will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Director.

* * * * *

Paragraph 6004 Class E airspace areas designated as an extension to a Class D surface area.

ASO TN E4 Jackson, TN [New]

McKellar-Sipes Regional Airport, TN
(Lat. 35°35'59" N, long. 88°54'56" W)

McKellar VOR/DME
(Lat. 35°36'13" N, long. 88°54'38" W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within 3.1 miles each side of the McKellar VOR/DME 206° radial, extending from the 4.2-mile radius of the McKellar-Sipes Regional Airport to 7 miles southwest of the VOR/DME. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific days and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective days and times will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

* * * * *

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on
February 23, 1996.

Benny L. McGlamery,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 96-6403 Filed 3-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-ANM-002]

Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace, John Day, Oregon

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would establish the John Day, Oregon, Class E airspace to accommodate a new Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to the John Day State Airport. The area would be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Operations Branch, ANM-530, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 96-ANM-002, 1601 Lind Avenue S.W., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

The official docket may be examined at the same address.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James C. Frala, ANM-532.4, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 96-ANM-002, 1601 Lind Avenue S.W., Renton, Washington, 98055-4056; telephone number: (206) 227-2535.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-ANM-002." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination at the address listed above both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Branch, ANM-530, 1601

Lind Avenue S.W., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to establish Class E airspace at John Day, Oregon, to accommodate a new GPS SIAP to the John Day State Airport. The area would be depicted on aeronautical charts for pilot reference. The coordinates for this airspace docket are based on North American Datum 83.

Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.