finish, strength, backing, silvering, thickness, composition, origin, preparation, manufacture, value, or distribution of any mirror.

In addition, these Guides make it an unfair or deceptive act or practice for any member of the industry to sell, offer for sale, or distribute any mirror under any representation or circumstance having the capacity to mislead or deceive purchasers or prospective purchasers with regard to the type or kind of glass contained in any mirror or the type of backing.

B. Issues for Comment

At this time, the Commission solicits written public comments on the following questions:

(1) Is there a continuing need for the Mirror Guides?

(a) What benefits have these Guides provided to purchasers of the products or services affected by them?

(b) Have these Guides imposed costs on purchasers?

(2) What changes, if any, should be made to these Guides to increase their benefits to purchasers?

(a) How would these changes affect the costs that these Guides impose on firms subject to their requirements?

(3) What significant burden or costs, including costs of compliance, have these Guides imposed on firms subject to their requirements?

(a) Have these Guides provided benefits to such firms?

(4) What changes, if any, should be made to these Guides to reduce the burden or costs imposed on firms subject to their requirements?

(a) How would these changes affect the benefits provided by these Guides?

(5) Do these Guides overlap or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws or regulations?

(6) What changes, if any, have been made in the technology used to manufacture the glass used in making mirrors that may address the issues of whether mirrors may be advertised as being ''distortion free'' or ''shatter proof?''

(7) Have efforts been made to standardize the technology used for ''backing'' mirrors?

(8) Since the Mirror Guides were issued, what effects, if any, have changes in relevant technology or economic conditions had on them?

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 41–58.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6255 Filed 3–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750–01–M

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

29 CFR Part 103

Appropriateness of Requested Single Location Bargaining Units in Representation Cases

AGENCY: National Labor Relations Board.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time for filing comments to proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The National Labor Relations Board gives notice that it is extending the time for filing comments on the proposed rulemaking on the appropriateness of requested single location bargaining units in representation cases because of matters raised during the March 7, 1996, hearing and a request for extension.

DATES: The comment period which presently ends at the close of business on March 15, 1996, is extended to the close of business on April 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the proposed rulemaking should be sent to: Office of the Executive Secretary, 1099 14th Street, NW., Room 11600, Washington, DC 20570.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John J. Toner, Executive Secretary, Telephone: (202) 273–1940.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board's notice of proposed rulemaking on the appropriateness of requested single location bargaining units in representation cases was published in the Federal Register on September 28, 1995 (60 FR 50146). The notice provided that all responses to the notice of proposed rulemaking must be received on or before November 27, 1995. On November 20, 1995 the Board extended the time to January 22, 1996. Because of the recent shutdown of operations due to lack of appropriated funds, the Board extended the time to February 8, 1996. In view of public interest, the Board further extended the period for filing responses to the notice of proposed rulemaking until the close of business on Friday, March 15, 1996.

On March 7, 1996, the House Subcommittee on Regulation and Paperwork of the Committee on Small Business of the U.S. House of Representatives conducted an oversight hearing regarding the proposed rule and on March 8, 1996, United Food & Commercial Workers International Union, AFL–CIO, requested the Board to extend the period for filing comments to the proposed rule to April 12, 1996. In light of the matters raised during the March 7 hearing and the request of United Food & Commercial Workers International Union, AFL–CIO for an extension of time, the Board extends the period for filing responses to the notice of proposed rulemaking until April 12, 1996.

Dated, Washington, DC, March 11, 1996.

By direction of the Board.

John J. Toner,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6159 Filed 3–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7545–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 5, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 73, 74, 78, 80, 87, 90, 94, 95, and 97

[ET Docket No. 96–2; RM–8165; FCC 96–12]

Arecibo Coordination Zone

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: By this Notice of Proposed Rule Making (''NPRM''), the Commission proposes to designate the Puerto Rican Islands of Puerto Rico, Desecheo, Mona, Vieques, and Culebra as a Coordination Zone, in order that the Arecibo Radio Astronomy Observatory (Observatory) near Arecibo, Puerto Rico may be notified of certain proposed radio operations. This proposal would require applicants for a new station or for a modification of facilities within the requested Coordination Zone, to simultaneously notify the Observatory of the technical particulars of the proposed operations at the time of filing their applications with the Commission. The NPRM also proposes to require applicants for short-term broadcast auxiliary services within the Coordination Zone to notify the Observatory in advance of their proposed operations, except in emergency situations. In addition, the NPRM proposes to require new amateur beacon and repeater stations within 10 miles of the Observatory to be coordinated. This NPRM would make it possible for the Observatory and applicants to coordinate and share information in order to avoid harmful interference to sensitive, nationally important radio astronomy operations.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 1, 1996 and reply comments must be filed on or before April 16, 1996. Written comments by the public on the proposed and/or modified information collections are due April 1, 1996. Written comments

must be submitted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on the proposed and/or modified information collections on or before May 14, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments and reply comments should be sent to the Office of Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20554, or via the Internet to dconway@fcc.gov, and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB, 725—17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via the Internet to fain__t@al.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Derenge, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418–2451. For additional information concerning the information collections contained in this NPRM contact Dorothy Conway at (202) 418–0217, or via the Internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, ET Docket No. 96–2, adopted January 18, 1996, and released February 8, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 1919 M Street, NW., Room 246, or 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037. This NPRM contains proposed or modified information collections subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). It has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under the PRA. OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on the proposed or modified information collections contained in this proceeding.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This NPRM contains either a proposed or modified information collection. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comment on the information collections contained in this NPRM, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Public and agency comments are due at the same time as other comments on this NPRM; OMB comments are due May 14, 1996. Comments should address: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

OMB Approval Number: N/A.

Title: Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Establish a Radio Astronomy Coordination Zone in Puerto Rico.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New Collection.

Respondents: Small Entities, Individual or households, Business or other for profit, State, Local of Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 500.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1 hour.

Total Annual Burden: 300 hours.

Needs and Uses: The Commission believes that a Coordination Zone would facilitate the ability of the Observatory and Commission applicants to contact each other in order to cooperate to avoid causing interference. The collection would enable the Observatory and applicants to coordinate and share information in order to avoid harmful interference to sensitive, nationally important radio astronomy operations.

List of Subjects

47 CFR Part 5

Radio.

47 CFR Part 21

Communications common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 22

Communications common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 23

Communications common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 24

Communications common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 25

Communications common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 26

Communications common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting, Television broadcasting.

47 CFR Part 74

Radio broadcasting, Television broadcasting.

47 CFR Part 78

Cable television, Radio.

47 CFR Part 80

Marine safety, Radio.

47 CFR Part 87

Defense communications, Radio.

47 CFR Part 90

Common carriers, Radio.

47 CFR Part 94

Radio.

47 CFR Part 95

Radio.

47 CFR Part 97

Civil defense, Radio.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–6205 Filed 3–14–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

---

47 CFR Part 25

[IB Docket No. 95–59; FCC 96–78]

Preemption of Local Zoning Regulations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission has proposed revisions to its rule preempting certain local regulation of satellite earth stations. These revisions are being proposed in response section 207 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. That section directs the Commission to preempt nonfederal restrictions on certain direct-to-home video services, including Direct Broadcast Satellite (DBS) service. In our Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in IB Docket No. 95–59, we tentatively conclude that the final rule adopted in the Report and Order fulfills the Commission's obligation under the new statutory provision as to nonfederal, governmental restrictions on DBS-type satellite earth station antennas, but ask