

6. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (N1-101-94-1). Changes in retention period for bank examination working papers.

7. Patent and Trademark Office (N1-241-95-1). Financial, budget, personnel, and other administrative and housekeeping records.

8. Postal Rate Commission (N1-458-96-2). Informal dockets and duplicate copies of rate case dockets.

9. Surface Transportation Board (N1-134-96-1). Confidential rail contracts, including summaries, and government rate tender files.

10. U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (N1-220-96-5). Unidentified photographs, videotape and duplicate copies of correspondence.

11. Department of State, Bureau of Administration (N1-59-96-2). Records relating to the information management training program.

Dated: February 27, 1996.

James W. Moore,

Assistant Archivist for Records Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-5470 Filed 3-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-498]

Houston Lighting and Power Company; City Public Service Board of San Antonio Central Power and Light Company; City of Austin, Texas; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-76, issued to Houston Lighting & Power Company, et al., (the licensee) for operation of the South Texas Project, Unit 1, located in Matagorda County, Texas.

The proposed amendment would include the addition of Technical Specification (TS) 3.10.8 which would allow a one-time only extension of the standby diesel generator (SDG) allowed outage time for a cumulative 21 days on "A" train SDG. In addition, it would also allow a one-time only extension of the allowed outage time on "A" train essential cooling water loop for a cumulative 7 days. This one-time only change would become effective on April 10, 1996, and expire on May 15, 1996.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The Standby Diesel Generators are not accident initiators, therefore the increase in Allowed Outage Times for this system does not increase the probability of an accident previously evaluated. The three train design of the South Texas Project ensures that even during the seven days the Essential Cooling Water loop is inoperable there are still two complete trains available to mitigate the consequences of any accident. If the Essential Cooling Water loop is not inoperable during the 21 days the Standby Diesel Generator is inoperable, the Standby Diesel Generator's Engineered Safety Features bus and equipment in the train will be operable. This ensures that all three redundant safety trains of the South Texas Project design are operable. In addition the Emergency Transformer will be available to supply the Engineered Safety Features bus normally supplied by the inoperable Standby Diesel Generator. These actions will ensure that the changes do not involve a significant increase in the consequences of previously evaluated accidents.

2. The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes affect only the magnitude of the Standby Diesel Generator and Essential Cooling Water Allowed Outage Times once per fuel cycle as identified by the marked-up Technical Specification. As indicated above, the proposed change does not involve the alteration of any equipment nor does it allow modes of operation beyond those currently allowed. Therefore, implementation of these proposed changes does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed changes result in no significant increase in core damage or large early release frequencies.

Three sets of PSA [probabilistic safety assessment] results have been presented to the NRC for the South Texas Project. One submitted in 1989 from the initial Level 1

PSA of internal and external events with a mean annual average CDF [core damage frequency] estimate of 1.7×10^{-4} , a second one submitted in 1992 to meet the IPE requirements from the Level 2 PSA/IPE with a CDF estimate of 4.4×10^{-5} , and an update of the PSA that was reported in the August 1993 Technical Specifications submittal with a variety of CDF estimates for different assumptions regarding the rolling maintenance profile and different combinations of modified Technical Specifications. The South Texas Project PSA was updated in March of 1995 to include the NRC approved Risk-Based Technical Specifications, Plant Specific Data and incorporate the Emergency Transformer into the model. This update resulted in a CDF estimate of 2.07×10^{-5} . When the requested changes are modeled along with the compensatory actions, the resulting CDF estimate is 2.30×10^{-5} . While this is slightly higher (approx. 11%) than the updated results, it is still significantly lower (approx. 46%) than the previous Risk-Based Evaluation of Technical Specification submitted in 1993. Therefore, it is concluded that there is no significant reduction in the margin of safety.

Based on the above evaluation, Houston Lighting & Power has concluded that these changes do not involve any significant hazards considerations.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 8, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Wharton County Junior College, J. M. Hodges Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton, TX 77488. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's

property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the

Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-800-248-5100 (in Missouri 1-800-342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to William D. Beckner, Director, Project Directorate IV-1: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Jack R. Newman, Esq., Newman & Holtzinger, P.C., 1615 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 29, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Wharton County Junior College, J.M. Hodges Learning Center, 911 Boling Highway, Wharton, TX 77488.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of March, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 George Kalman,
*Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate
 IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office
 of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*
 [FR Doc. 96-5498 Filed 3-7-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-263]

Northern States Power Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-22 issued to the Northern States Power Company for operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant located in Wright County, Minnesota.

The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification (TS) Section 4.7, "Surveillance Requirements for Primary Containment Automatic Isolation Valves." Specifically, the proposed amendment would revise the replacement frequency of the seat seals for the drywell and suppression chamber purge and vent valves from every 5 years to every six operating cycles.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed amendment will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

An evaluation of the operational performance of the 18-inch purge and vent valves has concluded that a change in the seat seal replacement frequency specified in Monticello Technical Specification surveillance requirement 4.7.D.4 will have no adverse impact on the seat leakage performance of these primary containment isolation valves, no adverse impact on the testing performed in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, and thus no adverse impact on the containment isolation function of these primary containment isolation valves. The material of which the T-shaped elastomer seat is comprised of has been found to withstand normal and accident thermal exposures for the design life of the plant based on a thermal aging analysis. Radiation effects will not have an adverse impact on the elastomer seat material. Therefore, this amendment will not cause a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated for the Monticello plant.

The proposed amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously analyzed.

The proposed change to the Technical Specifications for the Primary Containment Purge and Vent valves does not alter the function of these components or their interrelationships with other systems. Therefore, this amendment will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously analyzed.

The proposed amendment will not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The operating experience of these valves has demonstrated that the testing performed in accordance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix J, provides a high level of confidence in the ability of these valves to perform their safety function with respect to valve leak tightness. The proposed criteria for seat seal replacement provides added assurance that these containment isolation valves will perform the required safety function of containment isolation. The proposed amendment will not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would

result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 8, 1996, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Minneapolis Public Library, Technology and Science Department, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request