

supplemented on January 30, 1996, Jane A. Fleming (Petitioner) has requested that the NRC take action with regard to Watts Bar Nuclear Plant. Specifically, the Petitioner requests that the low-power license for Watts Bar be suspended or revoked.

As a basis for her request, the Petitioner asserts that the NRC staff was not fully aware of the licensee's commitments and compliance with these commitments when it issued a low-power license on November 9, 1995. Specifically, the Petitioner asserts that a letter from Stewart D. Ebnetter, Regional Administrator, Region II, to Oliver Kingsley, TVA dated January 12, 1996, which states that open issues regarding the radiation monitoring system for Watts Bar existed when TVA requested the operating license, raises a question as to the conclusion drawn by the NRC staff in the Supplemental Safety Evaluation Report issued in September 1995, that the system meets the acceptance criteria of the NRC's Standard Review Plan and is, therefore, acceptable.

The Petition is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations and has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. By letter dated February 7, 1996, the Petitioner's request that the low-power license immediately be suspended or revoked was denied.

A copy of the Petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20037.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
William T. Russell,
Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-5365 Filed 3-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-282, 50-306]

**Northern States Power Company;
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Receipt of Addendum To Petition for
Director's Decision Under 10 CFR
2.206**

Notice is hereby given that by letter dated February 19, 1996, the Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS) and the Prairie Island Coalition request that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take immediate action with regard to steam generator

tube inspections at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant. The letter was an addendum to an earlier Petition dated June 5, 1995.

The Petitioners request that the NRC not allow Prairie Island Unit 1 to be returned to operation until a full-length inspection of all steam generator tubes is performed using the Zetec Plus Point probe.

As the basis for this request, the Petitioners state that in a briefing before the Commission on January 31, 1996, the Director of the NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation stated that NRC had learned of a few isolated cases of free span cracking in steam generator tubes, that is, cracks not located within the tube support plate or the tube sheet regions.

This addendum to the Petition is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations and has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on the Petition within a reasonable time. By letter dated March 1, 1996, the Director denied the request for immediate action to not allow Prairie Island Unit 1 to be returned to operation.

Copies of the addendum to the Petition and the Director's letter are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room, Minneapolis Public Library, Technology and Science Department, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
William T. Russell,
Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-5364 Filed 3-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and Purpose of information collection: Application for Survivor Insurance Annuities: OMB 3220-0030 Under Section 2(d) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), monthly survivor annuities are payable to surviving widow(er)s, parents, unmarried children, and in certain cases, divorced wives (husbands), mothers (fathers), remarried widow(er)s, and grandchildren of deceased railroad employees. The collection obtains the information required by the RRB to determine entitlement of the annuity applied for.

The RRB currently utilizes Form(s) AA-17 (Application for Widow(ers) Annuity), AA-17b (Applications for Determination of Widow(er) Disability), AA-18 (Application for Mother's/Father's and Child's Annuity), AA-19 (Application for Child's Annuity), AA-19b (Application for Determination of Child Disability), AA-19s (Application for child's Annuity/Full-time Student), and AA-20 (Application for Parent's Annuity) to obtain the necessary information. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is required to obtain benefits.

In order to implement a presumed Electronic Funds Transfer policy, revisions to Forms AA-17, AA-18, AA-19, and AA-20 are being proposed that request information about an applicant's financial institution. Additional changes to Forms AA-17 and AA-20 are being proposed that will expedite Medicare enrollment and reduce jurisdictional problems with other agencies. Modifications proposed to Form AA-19 will allow Form AA-19s to be eliminated. Assorted minor editorial and reformatting changes are also being proposed to all of the forms.

Estimate of Annual Respondent Burden

The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows: