

11. *Disclosure to consumer reporting agencies:* This entry is optional for certain debt collection systems of records.

12. *Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system.* This section is divided into four parts.

13. *Storage:* The method(s) used to store the information in the system (e.g., 'automated, maintained in computers and computer output products' or 'manual, maintained in paper files' or 'hybrid, maintained in paper files and in computers') should be stated. Storage does not refer to the container or facility in which the records are kept.

14. *Retrievability:* How records are retrieved from the system (e.g., 'by name,' 'by SSN,' or 'by name and SSN') should be indicated.

15. *Safeguards:* The categories of agency personnel who use the records and those responsible for protecting the records from unauthorized access should be stated. Generally the methods used to protect the records, such as safes, vaults, locked cabinets or rooms, guards, visitor registers, personnel screening, or computer 'fail-safe' systems software should be identified. Safeguards should not be described in such detail as to compromise system security.

16. *Retention and disposal:* Describe how long records are maintained. When appropriate, the length of time records are maintained by the agency in an active status, when they are transferred to a Federal Records Center, how long they are kept at the Federal Records Center, and when they are transferred to the National Archives or destroyed should be stated. If records eventually are destroyed, the method of destruction (e.g., shredding, burning, pulping, etc.) should be stated. If the agency rule is cited, the applicable disposition schedule shall also be identified.

17. *System manager(s) and address.* The title (not the name) and address of the official or officials responsible for managing the system of records should be listed. If the title of the specific official is unknown, such as with a local system, the local director or office head as the system manager should be indicated. For geographically separated or organizationally decentralized activities with which individuals may correspond directly when exercising their rights, the position or title of each category of officials responsible for the system or portion thereof should be listed. Addresses that already are listed in the agency address directory or simply refer to the directory should not be included.

18. *Notification procedures.* (1) Notification procedures describe how an individual can determine if a record in the system pertains to him/her. If the record system has been exempted from the notification requirements of subsection (f)(1) or subsection (e)(4)(G) of the Privacy Act, it should be so stated. If the system has not been exempted, the notice must provide sufficient information to enable an individual to request notification of whether a record in the system pertains to him/her. Merely referring to a DFAS regulation is not sufficient. This section should also include the title (not the name) and address of the official (usually the Program Manager) to whom the request must be directed; any specific information the individual must provide in order for DFAS to respond to the request (e.g., name, SSN, date of birth, etc.); and any description of proof of identity for verification purposes required for personal visits by the requester.

19. *Record access procedures.* This section describes how an individual can review the record and obtain a copy of it. If the system has been exempted from access and publishing access procedures under subsections (d)(1) and (e)(4)(H), respectively, of the Privacy Act, it should be so indicated. If the system has not been exempted, describe the procedures an individual must follow in order to review the record and obtain a copy of it, including any requirements for identity verification. If appropriate, the individual may be referred to the system manager or another DFAS official who shall provide a detailed description of the access procedures. Any addresses already listed in the address directory should not be repeated.

20. *Contesting records procedures.* This section describes how an individual may challenge the denial of access or the contents of a record that pertains to him or her. If the system of record has been exempted from allowing amendments to records or publishing amendment procedures under subsections (d)(1) and (e)(4)(H), respectively, of the Privacy Act, it should be so stated. If the system has not been exempted, this caption describes the procedures an individual must follow in order to challenge the content of a record pertaining to him/her, or explain how he/she can obtain a copy of the procedures (e.g., by contacting the Program Manager or the appropriate DFAS Privacy Act Officer).

21. *Record source categories:* If the system has been exempted from publishing record source categories under subsection (e)(4)(I) of the Privacy

Act, it should be so stated. If the system has not been exempted, this caption must describe where DFAS obtained the information maintained in the system. Describing the record sources in general terms is sufficient; specific individuals, organizations, or institutions need not be identified.

22. *Exemptions claimed for the system.* If no exemption has been established for the system, indicate 'None.' If an exemption has been established, state under which provision of the Privacy Act it is established (e.g., 'Portions of this system of records may be exempt under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2).')

Dated: February 26, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,  
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison  
Officer, Department of Defense.  
[FR Doc. 96-4750 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 5000-04-F

---

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 71-8-6938b; FRL-5424-1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Kern County Air Pollution Control District, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

---

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern the control of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from the operations of stationary gas turbines and the removal of a rule from the SIP that controls NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from steam generators used in the oil production operations.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed

rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by April 1, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95814.

Kern County Air Pollution Control  
District, 2700 M Street, Suite 290,  
Bakersfield, CA 93301.

Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality  
Management District, 8411 Jackson  
Road, Sacramento, CA 95826.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1185.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Kern County Air Pollution Control District's (KCAPCD) Rule 425, Cogeneration Gas Turbine Engines (Oxides of Nitrogen), and Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District's (SMAQMD) Rule 413, Stationary Gas Turbines. The rule being removed from the SIP is KCAPCD Rule 425, Oxides of Nitrogen Emissions from Steam Generators Used in Thermally Enhanced Oil Recovery—Western Kern County Fields. The KCPACD rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on November 18, 1993 and the SMAQMD rule was submitted on June 16, 1995. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 30, 1996.

Felicia Marcus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-4572 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MI44-01-7147b; FRL-5408-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Michigan

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this action, USEPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision for the Wayne County, Michigan, particulate matter nonattainment area. The SIP submittal consists of State Administrative Rule 374 (R 336.1374), effective July 26, 1995, and is intended to satisfy the contingency measures requirement specified in section 172(c)(9) of the Clean Air Act. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, USEPA is approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed action must be received by April 1, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Toxics and Radiation Branch (AT-18J), USEPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christos Panos, Regulation Development Section, Air Toxics and Radiation Branch (AT-18J), USEPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-8328.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules

section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the USEPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (It is recommended that you telephone Christos Panos at (312) 353-8328 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, Air Toxics and Radiation Branch, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671(q).

Dated: December 14, 1995.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

*Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 96-4849 Filed 2-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MD3-1-7132, MD25-2-6170; FRL-5432-5]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Major VOC Source RACT and Minor VOC Source Requirements

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing conditional approval of State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Maryland. These revisions pertain to Maryland's major source volatile organic compound (VOC) reasonably available control technology (RACT) regulation and minor VOC source requirements. The RACT regulation applies to major VOC sources that are not covered by Maryland's category specific VOC RACT regulations. The minor source requirements apply to smaller VOC sources that are not covered by RACT regulations. EPA is proposing approval of these SIP revisions on the condition that the State of Maryland certifies that it has determined and imposed RACT for all the major VOC sources covered by the VOC RACT regulation, and has submitted those enforceable RACT determinations to EPA as SIP revisions. That certification must be made by the Maryland Department of the Environment by no later than one year from the date EPA promulgates final conditional approval of this SIP revision. If the State fails to do so, that final conditional approval will convert to a disapproval. This action is being taken in accordance with the SIP submittal and revision provisions of the Act.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 1, 1996.