

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-9497 (61 FR 3552, February 1, 1996), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), amendment 39-9526, to read as follows:

96-03-02 R1 Boeing: Amendment 39-9526.  
Docket 96-NM-02-AD. Revises AD 96-03-02, Amendment 39-9497.

*Applicability:* Model 767 series airplanes having line numbers 001 through 609, on which the terminating action described in paragraph (e) of this AD has not been accomplished; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (g) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

*Compliance:* Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent the collapse of the main landing gear (MLG) due to stress corrosion cracking of the aft trunnion of the outer cylinder, accomplish the following:

(a) Perform the inspections described in paragraph III, Accomplishment Instructions, of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995, to detect cracking and corrosion of the aft trunnion of the outer cylinder of the MLG at the time specified in paragraph (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this AD, as applicable. These inspections are to be accomplished in accordance with Figure 1 of that alert service bulletin. Repeat these inspections thereafter at the intervals specified in that alert service bulletin. To determine the category in which an airplane falls, the age of the outer cylinder of the MLG is to be calculated as of the effective date of this AD. For airplanes on which the age of the right MLG differs from the age of the left MLG, an operator may place the airplane into a category that is the higher (numerically) of the two categories to ease its administrative burden, and to simplify the recordkeeping requirements imposed by this AD. Once the category into which an airplane falls is determined, operators must obtain approval from the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, to move that airplane into another category.

Note 2: The broken (dash) lines used in Figure 1 of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995, denote "go to" actions for findings of

discrepancies detected during any of the inspections required by this AD.

Note 3: Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995, refers to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0148, dated December 21, 1995, for procedures to repair the outer cylinder and replace the bushings in the outer cylinder of the MLG with new bushings.

(1) For airplanes identified as Category 3 in paragraph I.C. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995: Perform the initial inspections within 30 days after the effective date of this AD.

(2) For airplanes identified as Category 2 in paragraph I.C. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995: Perform the initial inspections within 90 days after the effective date of this AD.

(3) For airplanes identified as Category 1 in paragraph I.C. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995: Perform the initial inspections prior to the accumulation of 2½ years since the MLG outer cylinder was new or overhauled, or within 150 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.

(b) If no cracking or corrosion is detected, accomplish the follow-on actions described in the Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, November 30, 1995, at the time specified in the alert service bulletin. These follow-on actions are to be accomplished in accordance with that alert service bulletin.

(c) If any cracking is detected, prior to further flight, replace the outer cylinder with a new or serviceable outer cylinder in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995.

(d) If any corrosion is detected, accomplish the follow-on actions at the time specified in the "Corrosion Flowchart," in Figure 1 of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995. The follow-on actions are to be accomplished in accordance with that alert service bulletin.

(e) Repair of the outer cylinder and replacement of the bushings in the aft trunnion and crossbolt of the MLG with new bushings in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0148, dated December 21, 1995, constitute terminating action for the inspection requirements of this AD, and for the requirements of AD 95-19-10, amendment 39-9372, and AD 95-20-51, amendment 39-9398. Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0148, dated December 21, 1995, refers to Component Maintenance Manual (CMM) 32-11-40. Operators should note that, although the CMM specifies plugging the aft trunnion lubrication fitting with a rivet, this AD does not require plugging the lube fitting to terminate the requirement of this AD, AD 95-19-10, or AD 95-20-51.

(f) Accomplishment of the requirements of this AD is considered acceptable for compliance with AD 95-19-10, amendment 39-9372, and AD 95-20-51, amendment 39-9398.

(g) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(h) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(i) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0151, dated November 30, 1995, and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 767-32A0148, dated December 21, 1995. This incorporation by reference was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51, as of February 16, 1996 (61 FR 3552, February 1, 1996). Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(j) This amendment is effective on February 16, 1996.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 22, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 96-4507 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

**14 CFR Part 67**

[Docket No. 27890]

RIN 2120-AF42

**Medical Standards and Certification**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; disposition of comments.

**SUMMARY:** On September 9, 1994, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued an emergency final rule amending the general medical standard for first-, second-, and third-class airman medical certificates. The FAA, in the same document, sought public comment on the final rule. This document disposes of the comments received in response to that rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments submitted in response to this rulemaking may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Rules Docket, room 915-G, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, weekdays (except Federal holidays) between 830 a.m. and 5 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tina Lombard, Aeromedical Standards Branch, (AAM-210), Office of Aviation Medicine, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-9655.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The general medical standard for the three classes of airman medical certificates is detailed in part 67 of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR part 67). A first-class medical certificate is required to exercise the privileges of an airline transport pilot certificate, while second- and third-class medical certificates are required to exercise the privileges of commercial and private pilot certificates, respectively. An applicant who is found to meet the appropriate medical standards is entitled to a medical certificate without restrictions other than the limit of its duration as prescribed in 14 CFR part 67.

An applicant may be ineligible for certification under §§ 67.13(f)(2), 67.15(f)(2), or 67.17(f)(2) if that person has an organic, functional, or structural disease, defect, or limitation that the Federal Air Surgeon finds: (1) makes the applicant unable to safely perform the duties or exercise the privileges of the airman certificate the applicant holds or for which the applicant is applying, or (2) may reasonably be expected within 2 years of Federal Air Surgeon's finding to make the applicant unable to safely perform those duties or exercise those privileges.

Paragraph (f)(2) of §§ 67.13, 67.15, and 67.17 provides the historical basis for denying medical certification in cases where the Federal Air Surgeon has determined that an applicant's medication or other treatment (including prescription, over-the-counter, and nontraditional medication or other treatment remedies) interferes with the applicant's ability to safely perform the duties, or exercise the privileges, of the airman certificate for which the airman is applying or holds.

Notwithstanding the FAA's long-standing medical certification policy and practice regarding medication and other treatment, the U.S. Court of

Appeals for the Seventh Circuit determined that paragraph (f)(2) did not provide a basis for denial of medical certification based on medication alone. *Bullwinkel v. Federal Aviation Administration*, 23 F.3d 167, (7th Cir., *reh'g. denied*). The Seventh Circuit's decision that medication alone was not covered by paragraph (f)(2) raised serious safety concerns within the FAA. As a result of those concerns, the FAA on September 9, 1994, promulgated an emergency final rule that was immediately effective to clarify and codify the FAA's policy regarding an individual who holds, or is applying for, an airman medical certificate in a case where medication or other treatment was found to interfere, or may reasonably be expected to interfere, with that individual's ability to safely perform airman duties (57 FR 46706).

The September 9, 1994, emergency final rule amended paragraph (f) of §§ 67.13, 67.15, and 67.17 by adding to each a new paragraph (3), which sets out the standard for certification where medication or other treatment is involved. Each paragraph (f)(3) made ineligible for unrestricted medical certification any applicant whose medication or other treatment is found by the Federal Air Surgeon to make, or may reasonably be expected to make with 2 years after the finding, that applicant unable to safely perform the duties or exercise the privileges of his or her airman certificate. The final rule did not change the FAA's current and long-standing application of the medical certification standards. Rather, its sole purpose was to expressly codify the agency's practice in light of the *Bullwinkel* decision.

Also, for continuity with the current administration of other medical certification procedures, reference to this emergency final rule was added by revising § 67.25, Delegation of authority, and § 67.27, Denial of medical certificate.

The FAA invited public comment on the final rule and established a 60-day comment period, which closed on November 8, 1994.

**Discussion of Comments**

The FAA received six comments in response to the emergency final rule; four comments opposed and two comments supported the rule. The commenters included five individuals and one association, the Aerospace Medical Association (ASMA).

One commenter states that the FAA was wrong to amend the rules because of a single case. The commenter suggests that a better standard would be to list those drugs in the regulations that

would be considered automatically disqualifying or potentially disqualifying.

One commenter characterizes the rule as a major change and objects to it being issued as a final rule without prior public comment. He suggests that the FAA rescind the final rule and schedule the subject for a notice of proposed rulemaking.

One commenter states that his third-class medical certificate was revoked because he was taking a medication to control symptoms of bipolar disorder. He contends that the matter of disqualification should be based solely on the underlying medical condition. He further contends that medication can control symptoms for approximately 80 percent of people with the disorder. The commenter concludes that patients taking certain medications for bipolar disorder are "effectively cured" of the underlying condition and should be eligible for medical certification.

One commenter states that there was no cause for issuing an emergency rule and that the FAA's policy was shown in court to be contrary to law. He contends that the FAA's choice of rulemaking procedure was improper. Further, he objects that the September 9, 1994, final rule does not specify the names of all disqualifying medication or treatment which the rule encompasses. He states that the rule enables the FAA to make judgments which may be arbitrary or unreasonable. The commenter suggests that this rulemaking action should have been contained in an overall revision of parts 61 and 67.

The ASMA states that it strongly supports the final rule. Further, the ASMA concurs with the dissenting opinion in the *Bullwinkel* case in that the general medical standard of the airman medical standards should be viewed as including all elements of medicine, i.e., medication and other treatments.

One commenter agrees with the FAA's action but expresses concern about the change in the rules without benefit of prior public comment.

**FAA Response**

The FAA's rationale for issuing this emergency final rule is fully set out in the preamble to the rule published at 59 FR 46706 on September 9, 1994.

As stated in the preamble to the final rule, the FAA determined an emergency existed that required immediate action; that determination is unchanged by the comments. A delay could have had an adverse effect on aviation safety. Neither a notice of proposed rulemaking nor incorporation of the amendment into a possible part 67 revision, as proposed

by commenters, was determined to be in the public interest.

As to the commenters' call for a "list" of disqualifying medications, the Federal Air Surgeon has determined that an exhaustive "listing" of specific medications or specific treatments to determine an airman's eligibility is not possible. All the positive and negative effects of any medication or treatment are rarely appreciated when first introduced. In some cases, substantial amounts of time may pass before a particular drug or treatment can be judged with confidence, particularly with its application to individuals in the aviation environment. Because of the continuous changes in the field of medicine and pharmacology, the FAA has determined that publishing a static list of disqualifying medication is not appropriate or practical.

In case where an individual has been determined to have a disqualifying condition and/or use a disqualifying medication or other treatment and requests special issuance of a medical certificate, the Federal Air Surgeon considers not only all relevant scientific data on the particular condition and/or medication or other treatment but also the individual's particular situation and the role that he/she will perform in aviation. The case-by-case review can and does result in instances where the particular condition and/or medication or other treatment precludes the affected individual from receiving even an individually tailored special issuance medical certificate. Conversely, with the availability of new data and experience, some similarly affected individuals may, by adjustments in their medication dosage or other treatment, or restrictions in their privileges, for example, receive special issuance of medical certificates.

Because this careful analysis of each special issuance case is frequently not fully appreciated, the perception exists that many conditions and/or medications or other treatment are always disqualifying. In fact, with the availability of new data and experience, the Federal Air Surgeon has found it safe to issue special medical certificates to the majority of those individuals who historically were always denied. But, as there are literally hundreds of diagnoses, medications, and other treatments, as well as thousands of combinations that frequently change over time, the FAA cannot, as a practical matter, produce a "list" of medications and/or treatments that would be considered disqualifying or, conversely, acceptable for airman medical certification.

While at any point in time there may be treatment and medications that

preclude the special issuance of a medical certificate, the FAA will continue to seek public comment, when appropriate, as it has done recently concerning insulin-using diabetics (see 59 FR 67426, September 29, 1994), to assist the Federal Air Surgeon in formulating policy on the special issuance of medical certificates.

Finally, the *Bullwinkel* decision highlighted a deficit in FAA procedures that the emergency final rule has now corrected; the agency does not view the decision as finding the policy and practice of the FAA to be "contrary to law" as characterized by one commenter. The rule change clarifies and resolves any previous ambiguity in FAA's medical standards regarding medication and/or other treatment.

#### Conclusion

Accordingly, after careful consideration of all the comments submitted, the FAA has determined that no further rulemaking action is warranted.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 23, 1996.

Jon L. Jordan,

*Federal Air Surgeon.*

[FR Doc. 96-4686 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-34]

#### Amendment of Class E Airspace; Winnemucca, NV; Correction

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This action corrects errors in the geographic coordinates of a final rule that was published in the Federal Register on January 10, 1996, Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-34, The Final Rule amended Class E airspace at Winnemucca, NV.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC February 29, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Speer, Airspace Specialist, System Management Branch, AWP-530, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, telephone (310) 725-6533.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### History

Federal Register Document 96-377, Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-34, published on January 10, 1996 (61 FR

693), revised the description of the Class E airspace area at Winnemucca, NV. An error was discovered in the geographic coordinates for the Winnemucca, NV, Class E airspace area. This action corrects that error.

#### Correction to Final Rule

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the geographic coordinates for the Class E airspace area at Winnemucca, NV, as published in the Federal Register on January 10, 1996 (61 FR 693), (Federal Register Document 96-377), are corrected as follows:

#### § 71.1 [Corrected]

On page 694, in the second and third columns, the airspace description for Winnemucca, NV, is corrected to read as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

AWP NV E5 Winnemucca, NV [Corrected]

Winnemucca Municipal Airport, NV.

(lat. 40°53'47" N, long. 117°48'21" W)

Winnemucca NDB

(lat. 40°57'48" N, long. 117°50'29" W)

Battle Mountain VORTAC

(lat. 40°34'09" N, long. 116°55'20" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4.3-mile radius of the Winnemucca Municipal Airport and within 7.8 miles northwest and 4.3 miles east of the Winnemucca NDB 342° and 162° bearings, extended from the 4.3 miles south to 8.7 miles north of the NDB. That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 4.3 miles northeast and 9.6 miles southwest of the Winnemucca NDB 342° and 162° bearings, extending from the southeast edge of V-113 to 9.6 miles southeast of the NDB and within 4.3 miles each side of the 162° bearing from the Winnemucca NDB, extending from 9.6 miles southeast of the NDB to the north edge of V-32 and within 4.3 miles each side of the Battle Mountain VORTAC 296° radial extending from 10.4 miles to 43.4 miles northwest of the Battle Mountain VORTAC and that airspace bounded by a line beginning at lat. 40°33'00" N, long. 117°52'00" W; to lat. 40°37'01" N, long. 117°47'32" W; to lat. 40°33'58" N, long. 117°46'15" W, thence to the point of beginning and that airspace bounded by a line beginning at lat. 41°05'00" N, long. 118°12'30" W; to lat. 41°09'36" N, long. 118°08'50" W; to lat. 41°03'00" N, long. 118°06'00" W, thence to the point of beginning and that airspace bounded by a line beginning at lat. 40°45'38" N, long. 117°39'23" W; to lat. 40°36'30" N, long. 117°15'15" W; to lat. 40°35'00" N, long. 117°34'30" W, thence to the point of beginning.

\* \* \* \* \*