

request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one

contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Gail H. Marcus: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to Jay E. Silberg, Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge, 2300 N Street, NW., Washington, DC 20037, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing

Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 17, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jon B. Hopkins,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects-III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-4684 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-309]

Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company, Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-36, issued to Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company (the licensee), for operation of Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station, located in Lincoln County, Maine.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed amendment would allow the use of fuel having an initial composition of natural or slightly enriched uranium dioxide as fuel material, consistent with the limitation of NUREG-1432, "Standard Technical Specifications for Combustion Engineering Plants." Currently, Maine Yankee Technical Specification (TS) 1.3.A, Reactor Core, specifies "The maximum as-fabricated radially-averaged enrichment of any axial enrichment zone within a fuel assembly shall be 3.95 weight percent U-235." The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated August 30, 1995, as supplemented by letter dated January 15, 1996.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed amendment is needed so that the licensee may use fuel having a higher enrichment than currently allowed by its license. Higher

enrichment fuel would allow extended fuel irradiation and thus achieve longer fuel cycles in the future.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revision to the TS. The proposed revision would allow the use of fuel having an initial composition of natural or slightly enriched uranium dioxide as fuel material, consistent with the limitation of NUREG-1432, "Standard Technical Specifications for Combustion Engineering Plants." In effect, the fuel would be limited to a maximum uranium-235 enrichment of 4.5 weight percent, as specified in TS 4.3.1.1 and 4.3.1.2, relating to the spent fuel pool limits for storing new and spent fuel. The safety considerations associated with the use of such fuel have been evaluated by the NRC staff. The staff has concluded that such a change would not adversely affect plant safety. The proposed change has no adverse effect on the probability of any accident. No change is being made in the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation (an enveloping case for the Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station, because fuel burnup remains unchanged) were published and discussed in the staff assessment titled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation," dated July 7, 1988, and published in the Federal Register on August 11, 1988 (53 FR 30355), as corrected on August 24, 1988 (53 FR 32322), in connection with Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant Unit 1: Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact. As indicated therein, the environmental cost contribution of the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summarized in Summary Table S-4 of 10 CFR 51.52(c). Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts of reactor operation with higher enrichment, the proposed action involves features located entirely within the restricted

area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for Maine Yankee Atomic Power Station.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on October 26, 1995, the staff consulted with the Maine State official, Mr. Patrick J. Dostie of the Department of Human Services, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letters dated August 30, 1995, and January 15, 1996, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Wiscasset Public Library, High Street, P.O. Box 367, Wiscasset, ME 04578.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
John A. Zwolinski,
*Deputy Director, Division of Reactor
Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor
Regulation.*
[FR Doc. 96-4682 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-336]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company; Correction

The February 14, 1996, Federal Register contained a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing," for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2. This notice corrects the notice published in the Federal Register on February 14, 1996, (61 FR 5816). The "Date of amendment request: January 26, 1996" is corrected to January 16, 1996.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Guy S. Vissing,
*Senior Project Manager, Northeast Utilities
Project Directorate, Division of Reactor
Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor
Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 96-4685 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-029]

Yankee Atomic Electric Company (License No. DPR-3); Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, has taken action with respect to a Petition, dated January 17, 1996, by Citizens Awareness Network and New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution (Petitioners). The Petitioners requested that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) take action with regard to operation by Yankee Atomic Energy Company (YAEC or Licensee) of its Nuclear Power Station at Rowe, Massachusetts (Yankee Rowe).

Petitioners requested that the NRC comply with *Citizens Awareness Network Inc. v. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Yankee Atomic Electric Company*, 59 F.3d 284 (1st Cir. 1995) (*CAN v. NRC*). Specifically, Petitioners requested that the Commission immediately order:

(1) YAEC not to undertake, and the NRC staff not to approve, further major