

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is approving the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Oklahoma for the purpose of discontinuing the State's tail pipe lead and fuel inlet test in its vehicle antitampering program. The SIP revision also includes minor administrative changes related to Oklahoma antitampering program. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by April 1, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least twenty-four hours before the visiting day.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Multimedia Planning & Permitting Division (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Program, 4545 North Lincoln Blvd., Suite 250, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105-3483.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. James F. Davis, Planning Section (6PD-L), Multimedia Planning & Permitting Division, USEPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-7584.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is located in the final rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: January 12, 1996.  
A. Stanley Meiburg,  
*Acting Regional Administrator (6A).*  
[FR Doc. 96-4568 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 63

[AD-FRL-5431-1]

RIN 2060-AC19

#### National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories: Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants From the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry and Other Processes Subject to the Negotiated Regulation for Equipment Leaks

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to revise certain portions of the "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories: Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants from the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry and Other Processes Subject to the Negotiated Regulation for Equipment Leaks," which was issued as a final rule on April 22, 1994 and June 6, 1994. This rule is commonly known as the Hazardous Organic NESHAP or the HON. This action proposes to revise the date for submittal of those area source certifications and clarifies the wording of the documentation requirements. This action also proposes to extend the April 22, 1996 deadline for submittal of implementation plans for emission points not included in an emissions average to December 31, 1996. Because the revisions merely change the dates for submittal of the area source certifications and implementation plans, the EPA does not anticipate receiving adverse comments. Consequently the revisions are also being issued as a direct final rule in the final rules section of this Federal Register. If no significant adverse comments are timely received, no further action will be taken with respect to this proposal and the direct final rule will become final on the date provided in that action.

**DATES:** *Comments.* Comments must be received on or before April 1, 1996, unless a hearing is requested by March 11, 1996. If a hearing is requested, written comments must be received by April 15, 1996.

*Public hearing.* Anyone requesting a public hearing must contact the EPA no later than March 11, 1996. If a hearing

is held, it will take place on March 15, 1996 beginning at 10:00 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** *Comments.* Comments should be submitted (in duplicate, if possible) to: Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (6102), Attention Docket Number A-90-20 (see docket section below), Room M-1500, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. The EPA requests that a separate copy also be sent to the contact person listed below.

*Public hearing.* If a public hearing is held, it will be held at the EPA's Office of Administration Auditorium, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina. Persons interested in attending the hearing or wishing to present oral testimony should notify Mrs. Kim Teal, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27711, telephone (919) 541-5580.

*Docket.* Docket No. A-90-19, containing the supporting information for the original NESHAP and this action, are available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the EPA's Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, Waterside Mall, Room M-1500, first floor, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460, or by calling (202) 260-7548 or 260-7549. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Janet S. Meyer, Emission Standards Division (MD-13), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, telephone number (919) 541-5254.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** If no significant, adverse comments are timely received, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule and the direct final rule in the final rules section of this Federal Register will automatically go into effect on the date specified in that rule. If significant adverse comments are timely received, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comment received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule. Because the EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposed rule, any parties interested in commenting should do so during this comment period.

For further supplemental information, the detailed rationale, and the rule provisions, see the information provided in the direct final rule in the final rules section of this Federal Register.

## Administrative

### A. Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements of the previously promulgated NESHAP were submitted to and approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). A copy of this Information Collection Request (ICR) document (OMB control number 1414.02) may be obtained from Sandy Farmer, Information Policy Branch (PM-223Y); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 401 M Street, SW; Washington, DC 20460 or by calling (202) 260-2740.

Today's changes to the NESHAP should have no impact on the information collection burden estimates made previously. The change to the area source certification merely revises the date for submission of the certification and clarifies the documentation requirements. The change to the implementation plan requirements merely extends the date for submission of plans from existing sources. These changes do not impose new requirements. Consequently, the ICR has not been revised.

### B. Executive Order 12866 Review

Under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, the EPA must determine whether the proposed regulatory action is "significant" and therefore, subject to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Order defines "significant" regulatory action as one that is likely to lead to a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety in State, local, or tribal governments or communities;
- (2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
- (3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or
- (4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

The HON rule promulgated on April 22, 1994 was considered "significant" under Executive Order 12866 and a regulatory impact analysis (RIA) was prepared. Today's proposed revisions provide more time to submit area source certifications and implementation plans. These proposed revisions do not add any additional control requirements.

Therefore, this regulatory action is considered not significant.

### C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 requires the identification of potentially adverse impacts of Federal regulations upon small business entities. The Act specifically requires the completion of a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis in those instances where small business impacts are possible. Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Because this rulemaking imposes no adverse economic impacts, a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis has not been prepared.

### D. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), the EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, the EPA must select the most cost effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires the EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

The EPA has determined that the action promulgated today does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. Therefore, the requirements of the Unfunded Mandates Act do not apply to this action.

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 21, 1996.  
Carol M. Browner,  
*Administrator.*  
[FR Doc. 96-4442 Filed 2-28-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## 40 CFR Part 82

[FRL-5427-7]

[RIN 2060-AF36]

### Protection of Stratospheric Ozone: Proposal to Temporarily Extend the Existing Requirements

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Through this action EPA is proposing to amend the Clean Air Act section 608 refrigerant recycling regulations to extend the effectiveness of the refrigerant purity requirements of § 82.154(g) and (h), which are currently scheduled to expire on March 18, 1996, until December 31, 1996, or until EPA completes rulemaking to adopt revised refrigerant purity requirements based on industry guidelines, whichever comes first. EPA is proposing to extend the requirements in response to requests from the air-conditioning and refrigeration industry to avoid widespread contamination of the stock of chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) and hydrochlorofluorocarbon (HCFC) refrigerants that could result from the lapse of the purity standard. Such contamination would cause extensive damage to air-conditioning and refrigeration equipment, release of refrigerants, and refrigerant shortages with consequent price increases. Because the revisions merely extend the currently requirements for a limited time, EPA does not anticipate receiving adverse comments. Consequently revisions are also being issued as a direct final rule in the final rules section of today's Federal Register. The reader should review that document and the accompanying regulatory text. If no significant adverse comments are timely received, no further action will be taken with respect to this proposal and the direct final rule will become final on the date provided in that action.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by April 1, 1996. A public hearing, if requested, will be held in Washington, DC. If such a hearing is requested, it will be held on March 18, at 9:00 am, and the comment period would then be extended to April 17, 1996. Anyone who wishes to request a hearing should call Cindy Newberg at 202/233-9729 by March 7, 1996. Interested persons may contact the Stratospheric Protection Hotline at 1-800-296-1996 to learn if a hearing will be held and to obtain the date and location of any hearing. Any hearing will be strictly limited to the subject matter of this proposal.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this proposed action should be addressed to