

3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-3754 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

A-583-009

Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of amendment to final results of antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On April 19, 1995, and April 25, 1995, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed our results for the following redeterminations on remand of the final

results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on color television receivers, except for video monitors, from Taiwan: *Zenith Electronics v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 92-01-00007 (fourth and sixth reviews); and, *AOC International Ltd. et. al. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 92-06-00367 (seventh review).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: G. Leon McNeill or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 12 and December 13, 1994, the CIT issued orders directing the Department to recalculate the valued-added tax (VAT) according to the methodology employed in *Federal Mogul v. United States*, 834 F. Supp. 1391 (CIT 1993) (*Federal Mogul*) for various companies for the periods April

1, 1987 through March 31, 1988 (fourth review), April 1, 1989 through March 31, 1990 (sixth review), and April 1, 1990 through March 31, 1991 (seventh review). Also, on December 12, 1994, the CIT directed the Department to re-examine its use of the most adverse (first-tier) best information available (BIA) for AOC International, Inc. in the seventh review in light of *Allied Signal Aerospace Co., v. United States*, 996 F. 2d. 1185, (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Pursuant to the instructions of the CIT, the Department recalculated the VAT consistent with the methodology employed in *Federal Mogul*, for various companies for the fourth, sixth and seventh reviews. The Department also reconsidered its use of first-tier BIA for AOC for the seventh review, and determined that the application of first-tier BIA was reasonable. On April 19, 1995, the CIT affirmed our use of first-tier BIA in the seventh review. On April 25, 1995, the CIT affirmed our application of the VAT methodology in the fourth, sixth and seventh reviews. As a result of this application, we have determined that the weighted-average margins for each company are as follows:

Company	Period	Margin (per cent)
Action Electronics Co., Ltd.	04/01/87-03/31/88	0.00
	04/01/89-03/31/90	0.54
	04/01/90-03/31/91	1.22
AOC International, Inc.	04/01/89-03/31/90	0.15
	04/01/90-03/31/91	23.89
	04/01/87-03/31/88	0.09
Proton Electronic Industrial Co., Ltd.	04/01/90-03/31/91	3.70
	04/01/87-03/31/88	0.87
Tatung Company	04/01/89-03/31/90	0.22
	04/01/90-03/31/91	0.19
	04/01/87-03/31/88	0.87

Amended Final Results of Review

Based on our revised calculations, we have amended our final results of reviews for the period April 1, 1987 through March 31, 1988, April 1, 1989 through March 31, 1990, and April 1, 1990 through March 31, 1991. Because AOC filed an appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit concerning the final results for the fourth review, the Department will publish the rate for AOC in that review after the appeal has been resolved and the decision is final and conclusive. The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between U.S. price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement

instructions directly to the Customs Service for each exporter.

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673 (d) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: February 12, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-3756 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-412-803]

Industrial Nitrocellulose From the United Kingdom

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the respondent, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is