

foreign market value (FMV) since the U.S. sales were purchase price (PP) transactions. However, according to the petitioner, the Department used incorrect amounts for these expenses for certain U.S. sales.

Department's Position: In the preliminary review results, for certain U.S. sales we incorrectly divided per-unit, rather than total, expense amounts by the total quantity sold. Therefore, we agree with Bloomfield, and for these final results we have used the correct expense amounts for these sales.

Comment 2: The petitioner claims that the Department should have included in its analysis home market and U.S. sales of product 1020, and a missing U.S. sale of product 1120.

Department's Position: We agree with the petitioner. These sales were inadvertently omitted from the preliminary analysis. We have included them in these final results.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, we determine that the following margins exist:

Review period	Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
9/1/93-8/31/94	NFM Seeburn .	22.63 *28.35

*No shipments or sales subject to this review; because this firm has never been reviewed, the rate is the all others rate explained in (4) below.

Individual differences between the USP and FMV may vary from the above percentages. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, and will remain in effect until the final results of the next administrative review:

- (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates listed above;
- (2) For previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period;
- (3) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most

recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and

(4) If neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 28.35 percent, the "all others" rate established in the first final results of review published by the Department (52 FR 32957, September 1, 1987).

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.34(d). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR § 353.22.

Dated: February 12, 1996.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 96-3755 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95-087. *Applicant:* Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606. *Instrument:* Field Mapping Equipment for Hall A Quadrupole Magnets. *Manufacturer:* CEA/DSM, France.

Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 54337, October 23, 1995.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the applicant. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated November 30, 1995, that the accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic accessory.

We know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the existing instrument.

Frank W. Creel
Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff
[FR Doc. 96-3752 Filed 2-20-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

Florida International University, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95-092. *Applicant:* Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199. *Instrument:* Elemental Analyzer and Automated Interface Upgrade for IR Mass Spectrometer. *Manufacturer:* Europa Scientific, United Kingdom. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 54338, October 23, 1995.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. *Reasons:* This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated December 4, 1995, that the accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic accessory.