

As described at 43 CFR 1610.7-2a, ACECs are areas containing resources, values, systems, processes or hazards that meet "relevance" and "importance" criteria. Some of the values for which ACEC designation is being considered include scenic, cultural, riparian, aquatic, and rare and endemic plants. The public is invited to nominate or recommend areas for ACEC consideration as well as to comment on possible changes.

DATES: The second modification intended by this amendment notice is that the public is invited to submit written comments on possible ACEC nominations or changes through March 21, 1996. Comments should be delivered or mailed to Terry Humphrey at the address below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Humphrey, Taos Resource Area, 226 Cruz Alta Road, Taos, NM 87571; phone (505) 758-8851.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amended notice does not change any other provision of the original Notice of Intent.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

Sue E. Richardson,

Acting District Manager, Albuquerque District.

[FR Doc. 96-3682 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-P

National Park Service

60 Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Information Collection; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 3507) and 5 CFR Part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service invites public comments on a proposed information collection request (ICR). Comments are invited on: (1) the need for the information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The Primary Purpose of the Proposed ICR: To identify characteristics, use

patterns, perceptions and preferences of visitors within Glacier National Park and Isle Royale National Park. Results will be used by managers in ongoing planning and management to improve services, protect resources and better serve the visitors.

DATES: Public comments will be accepted for sixty days from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to David W. Lime, Ph.D., Senior Research Associate, Cooperative Park Studies Unit, Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, 115 Green Hall, 1530 N. Cleveland Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Copies of the proposed ICR requirement can be obtained from David W. Lime, Ph.D., Senior Research Associate, Cooperative Park Studies Unit, Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, 115 Green Hall, 1530 N. Cleveland Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Lime, (612) 624-2250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Glacier National Park Visitor Use Study

Form: None

OMB Number:

Expiration date:

Type of request: Visitor use survey

Description of need: Park planning and management

Description of respondents: Individuals who visit the park

Estimated annual reporting burden: 106 burden hours

Estimated average burden hours per response: 20 minutes

Estimated average number of respondents: 400

Estimated frequency of response: Once

Title: Isle Royale National Park Visitor Use Study

Form: None

OMB Number:

Expiration date:

Type of request: Visitor use survey

Description of need: Park planning and management

Description of respondents: Individuals who visit the park

Estimated annual reporting burden: 106 burden hours

Estimated average burden hours per response: 20 minutes

Estimated average number of respondents: 400

Dated: February 10, 1996.

Terry N. Tesar,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, Audit and Accountability Team Office, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 96-3611 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

General Management Plan, Manzanar National Historic Site; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102 (2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190 as amended), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement assessing the potential impacts of the proposed General Management Plan for Manzanar National Historic Site, Inyo County, California. Once approved, the plan will guide the management of the historic site over the next 15 years.

The Draft General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (DGMP/EIS) presents a proposal and two alternatives for the management, use, and development of Manzanar National Historic Site. The proposed plan, Alternative C: Enhanced Visitor Experience, provides for acquisition of the camp from the current owner and protection of historic and prehistoric resources through a program of resource management and law enforcement. Features include conversion of the historic camp auditorium to an interpretive center and the creation of a network of wayside exhibits throughout the mile-square camp, accessible to visitors by a tour route around the periphery of the camp. A shuttle system would be operated during heavy use periods. Minor boundary additions, encompassing historic resources, would be proposed over and above the legislatively authorized boundary. Reconstruction of a limited number of representative structures would provide additional interpretive features. National Park Service support for the annual spring Manzanar Pilgrimage, organized by the Manzanar Committee, would continue.

Alternative A: No Action, would continue the current situation at Manzanar. Lands would not be acquired, resources would not be protected, and no additional steps would be taken to accommodate visitor interest and use. NPS support for the annual Manzanar Pilgrimage would continue.

Alternative B: Minimum Requirements, would be similar to Alternative C in terms of resource

management and protection, but would provide fewer visitor services. There would be no reconstruction and the boundary would not be enlarged from that authorized. There would be no shuttle service.

The environmental consequences of the alternatives are fully documented. No significant adverse impacts are anticipated.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments on the DGMP/EIS should be directed to the Superintendent, Manzanar National Historic Site, P.O. Box 426, Independence, California 93526-0426. Comments on the DGMP/EIS must be received by May 3, 1996. Public meetings on the draft plan will be held as follows:

March 12, 7:00 P.M., Bishop City Council Chambers, 301 W. Line St., Bishop, California

March 13, 7:00 P.M., American Legion Hall, 205 S. Edwards St. (U.S. 395), Independence, California

March 15, 7:00 P.M., Gardena Valley Japanese Cultural Institute, 16215 S. Gramercy Place, Gardena, California

March 16, 2:00 P.M., Japanese-American Cultural and Community Center, 244 S. San Pedro St., 2D Floor, Rooms A & B, Los Angeles, California

Inquiries on and requests for copies of the DGMP/EIS should be directed to Manzanar National Historic Site, address as above, or by telephone at (619) 878-2932.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

Stephen S. Crabtree,
Field Director, Pacific West Area.

[FR Doc. 96-3612 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

Bureau of Reclamation

Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Criteria for Evaluating Water Conservation Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of draft decision of evaluation of water conservation plans.

SUMMARY: To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA), the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Conservation Plans (Criteria) dated April 30, 1993. These Criteria were developed based on information provided during public scoping and public review sessions held throughout Reclamation's Mid-Pacific (MP) Region. Reclamation uses these Criteria to evaluate the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project

contractors in the MP Region, including those required by the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982. The Criteria were developed and the plans evaluated for the purpose of promoting the most effective water use reasonably achievable by all MP Region's contractors. Reclamation made a commitment (stated within the Criteria) to publish a notice of its draft determination on the adequacy of each contractor's water conservation plan in the Federal Register and to allow the public a minimum of 30 days to comment on its preliminary determinations. This program is on-going; an updated list will be published to recognize districts as plans are revised to meet the Criteria.

DATES: All public comments must be received by Reclamation by March 21, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Please mail comments to the address provided below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debra Goodman, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-402, Sacramento, CA 95825. To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please write Debra Goodman or telephone at (916) 979-2397.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under provisions of Section 3405(e) of the CVPIA (Title 34 of Public Law 102-575), "The Secretary [of the Interior] shall establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall * * * develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982." Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these criteria will be developed "* * * with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices."

The MP Criteria states that all parties (districts) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts greater than 2,000 acre feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) will prepare water conservation plans which will be evaluated by Reclamation based on the following required information detailed in the steps listed below to develop, implement, monitor and update their water conservation plans. The steps are:

1. Coordinate with other agencies and the public
2. Describe the district

3. Inventory water resources
4. Review the past water conservation plan and activities
5. Identify best management practices to be implemented
6. Develop schedules, budgets and projected results
7. Review, evaluate, and adopt the water conservation plan
8. Implement, monitor and update the water conservation plan

The MP contractors listed below have developed water conservation plans which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined meet the requirements of the Criteria.

- Chowchilla Water District.
- Feather Water District.
- Panoche Water District.

Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (i.e., draft) determinations at this time is invited. Copies of the plans listed above will be available for review at Reclamation's MP Regional Office and MP's area offices. If you wish to review a copy of the plans, please contact Ms. Goodman to find the office nearest you.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

Franklin E. Dimick,
Assistant Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 96-3616 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[AAG/A Order No. 116-96]

Privacy Act of 1974; Modified Systems of Records

Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-130, notice is given that the Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), is modifying the following system of records which was last published in the Federal Register on November 26, 1990 (55 FR 49174) under the title, "Identification Division Records System": The new title is: *Fingerprint Identification Records System (FIRS) (JUSTICE/FBI-009)*.

The FIRS is maintained for law enforcement purposes to collect criminal fingerprints and charge/disposition information for Federal and State offenses and to disclose this information to authorized criminal justice and noncriminal justice agencies. The FBI is modifying FIRS to more specifically identify and define these disclosures.

The FBI is also modifying FIRS to indicate its intention to promulgate some changes with respect to the