

As described at 43 CFR 1610.7-2a, ACECs are areas containing resources, values, systems, processes or hazards that meet "relevance" and "importance" criteria. Some of the values for which ACEC designation is being considered include scenic, cultural, riparian, aquatic, and rare and endemic plants. The public is invited to nominate or recommend areas for ACEC consideration as well as to comment on possible changes.

DATES: The second modification intended by this amendment notice is that the public is invited to submit written comments on possible ACEC nominations or changes through March 21, 1996. Comments should be delivered or mailed to Terry Humphrey at the address below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Humphrey, Taos Resource Area, 226 Cruz Alta Road, Taos, NM 87571; phone (505) 758-8851.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amended notice does not change any other provision of the original Notice of Intent.

Dated: February 7, 1996.

Sue E. Richardson,

Acting District Manager, Albuquerque District.

[FR Doc. 96-3682 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-FB-P

National Park Service

60 Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Information Collection; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 3507) and 5 CFR Part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service invites public comments on a proposed information collection request (ICR). Comments are invited on: (1) the need for the information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The Primary Purpose of the Proposed ICR: To identify characteristics, use

patterns, perceptions and preferences of visitors within Glacier National Park and Isle Royale National Park. Results will be used by managers in ongoing planning and management to improve services, protect resources and better serve the visitors.

DATES: Public comments will be accepted for sixty days from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to David W. Lime, Ph.D., Senior Research Associate, Cooperative Park Studies Unit, Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, 115 Green Hall, 1530 N. Cleveland Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Copies of the proposed ICR requirement can be obtained from David W. Lime, Ph.D., Senior Research Associate, Cooperative Park Studies Unit, Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, 115 Green Hall, 1530 N. Cleveland Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Lime, (612) 624-2250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Glacier National Park Visitor Use Study

Form: None

OMB Number:

Expiration date:

Type of request: Visitor use survey

Description of need: Park planning and management

Description of respondents: Individuals who visit the park

Estimated annual reporting burden: 106 burden hours

Estimated average burden hours per response: 20 minutes

Estimated average number of respondents: 400

Estimated frequency of response: Once

Title: Isle Royale National Park Visitor Use Study

Form: None

OMB Number:

Expiration date:

Type of request: Visitor use survey

Description of need: Park planning and management

Description of respondents: Individuals who visit the park

Estimated annual reporting burden: 106 burden hours

Estimated average burden hours per response: 20 minutes

Estimated average number of respondents: 400

Dated: February 10, 1996.

Terry N. Tesar,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, Audit and Accountability Team Office, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 96-3611 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

General Management Plan, Manzanar National Historic Site; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102 (2) (C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190 as amended), the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement assessing the potential impacts of the proposed General Management Plan for Manzanar National Historic Site, Inyo County, California. Once approved, the plan will guide the management of the historic site over the next 15 years.

The Draft General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (DGMP/EIS) presents a proposal and two alternatives for the management, use, and development of Manzanar National Historic Site. The proposed plan, Alternative C: Enhanced Visitor Experience, provides for acquisition of the camp from the current owner and protection of historic and prehistoric resources through a program of resource management and law enforcement. Features include conversion of the historic camp auditorium to an interpretive center and the creation of a network of wayside exhibits throughout the mile-square camp, accessible to visitors by a tour route around the periphery of the camp. A shuttle system would be operated during heavy use periods. Minor boundary additions, encompassing historic resources, would be proposed over and above the legislatively authorized boundary. Reconstruction of a limited number of representative structures would provide additional interpretive features. National Park Service support for the annual spring Manzanar Pilgrimage, organized by the Manzanar Committee, would continue.

Alternative A: No Action, would continue the current situation at Manzanar. Lands would not be acquired, resources would not be protected, and no additional steps would be taken to accommodate visitor interest and use. NPS support for the annual Manzanar Pilgrimage would continue.

Alternative B: Minimum Requirements, would be similar to Alternative C in terms of resource