

Dated: February 9, 1996.  
 Caroline Anderson,  
 Acting Chief, Branch of Permits, Office of  
 Management Authority.  
 [FR Doc. 96-3376 Filed 2-14-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

#### Information Collection Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposal for the collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for approval under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the proposed collection of information, the related form and explanatory material may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's clearance officer at the phone number listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirements should be made directly to the Bureau clearance officer listed below and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (1029-0090), Washington, DC 20503, telephone 202-395-7340.

*Title:* Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund—Fee Collection and Coal Production Reporting, 30 CFR 870.

*OMB Number:* 1029-0090.

*Abstract:* Section 402 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 requires fees to be paid to the Abandoned Mine Reclamation Fund by coal operators on the basis of coal tonnage produced. This information collection requirement is needed to support verification of the moisture deduction allowance. The information will be used by the regulatory authority during audits to verify that the amount of excess moisture taken by the operator is appropriate.

*Bureau Form Number:* None.

*Frequency:* On Occasion.

*Description of Respondents:* Coal Mine Operators.

*Annual Responses:* None.

*Annual Burden Hours:* 2,100.

*Estimated Recordkeeping Time:* 2 hours.

*Bureau clearance officer:* John A. Trelease (202) 208-2617.

Dated: January 26, 1996.

Gene E. Krueger,  
 Acting Chief, Division of Technology  
 Development and Transfer.  
 [FR Doc. 96-3412 Filed 2-14-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection is a 3-year extension, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub L. 104-13), of the current "generic clearance" (approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control no. 3117-0016) under which the Commission can issue specific questionnaires for the following types of investigations with statutory deadlines: countervailing duty, antidumping, escape clause, market disruption, and "interference with programs of the USDA." Comments concerning the proposed information collection are requested in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d); such comments are described in greater detail in the section of this notice entitled supplementary information.

**DATES:** To be assured of consideration, written comments must be received not later than April 23, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Signed comments should be submitted to Donna R. Koehnke, Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20436.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Copies of the proposed information collection (and related instructions) and draft Paperwork Reduction Act Submission and Supporting Statement to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget may be obtained from either of the following persons: Debra Baker, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3180, or Lynn Featherstone, Director, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3160.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Request for Comments

Comments are solicited as to (1) whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) the quality,

utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimization of the burden of the proposed information collection on those who are to respond (including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses). Comments are also solicited as to whether questionnaires gather adequate information on the burden respondents incur in answering the questionnaire. Historically, the Commission has requested that questionnaire respondents report the actual number of hours required and the cost to them of preparing the reply and completing the form. (This information is compiled by the Commission for each specific questionnaire issued under the "generic clearance" and submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for their review on a quarterly basis. It also forms the basis for the Commission's burden estimates reported below.) Under the proposed information collection, the Commission will request that respondents divide the cost data they report into two components (or wage rate categories), namely costs incurred (1) by managers, accountants, attorneys, and other professional and supervisory personnel and (2) for clerical support.

#### Need for the Proposed Information Collection

The Commission conducts countervailing duty and antidumping investigations under the provisions of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 to determine whether domestic industries are being injured or threatened with injury by reason of imports of the product(s) in question which are being subsidized (countervailing duty cases) or sold at less than fair value (antidumping cases). Escape-clause investigations are conducted by the Commission to determine whether increased imports are a substantial cause of serious injury or threat of serious injury to a domestic industry. If the Commission makes an affirmative determination in escape-clause investigations it is also required to recommend a remedy that will eliminate the injury to the domestic industry. Market disruption investigations are conducted to determine whether imports of an article produced in a Communist country are causing injury to a domestic industry. In addition, the Commission conducts investigations to determine whether imports are interfering with programs of the Department of Agriculture for agricultural commodities or products.