

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Amtel, Inc., et al.*, DOJ Ref. #90-11-2-475.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 1000 Washington Street, 203 Federal Building, Bay City, Michigan 48707; the Region 5 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96-3395 Filed 2-14-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980

Notice is hereby given that on February 1, 1996, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Estate of Richard R. Christopherson*, Civil Action No. C96-0166C (W.D. Washington), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. This Consent Decree resolves the United States' claims in this action against the Estate of Richard R. Christopherson ("Estate") regarding its liability under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for response costs incurred or to be incurred by the United States in connection with the Advance Electroplating Site in Seattle, Washington.

The Decree requires, *inter alia*, that the Estate reimburse the United States' response costs in the amount of \$100,000 plus interest through the date of payment. In addition, the Decree requires the Estate to take certain steps in an effort to market and sell specified

real property and to pay to the United States, for deposit in the Superfund, eighty percent of the proceeds of any such sale. The Decree grants to the Estate the contribution protection afforded by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2). The Decree also contains a reopener that permits the United States, in certain situations, to institute additional proceedings to require that this defendant perform further response actions or to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Estate of Richard R. Christopherson*, D.O.J. No. 90-11-2-1116A.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600, Seattle, Washington, 98104-3190; the Region 10 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005 (Tel: 202-624-0892). A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$7.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to Consent Decree Library.

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment & Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96-3397 Filed 2-14-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Antitrust Division

United States v. Computer Associates International, Inc. and Legent Corporation, Civ. No. 1:95CV01398 (TPJ) (D. D.C.); Response of the United States to Public Comments Concerning the Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to section 2(d) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(d), the United States publishes below the written comments received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States v. Computer*

Associates International, Inc. and Legent Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:95CV01398 (TPJ), United States District Court for the District of Columbia, together with its response thereto.

Copies of the written comments and the response are available for inspection and copying in Suite 200 of the Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, 325 Seventh Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530 (telephone 202/514-2481) and for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Third Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20001.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations.

Response of the United States to Public Comments

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act ("APPA" or "TUNNEY Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), the United States is filing this Response to public comments it has received relating to the proposed Final Judgment in this civil antitrust proceeding. The United States has carefully reviewed the public comments on the proposed Final Judgment and continues to believe that entry of the proposed Final Judgment will be in the public interest. After the comments and this Response have been published in the Federal Register, under 15 U.S.C. § 16(d), the United States will move the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment.

This action began on July 28, 1995, when the United States filed a Complaint charging that the acquisition of Legent Corporation ("Legent") by Computer Associates International, Inc. ("CA") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. The Complaint alleges that the acquisition would eliminate significant competition between CA and Legent in five markets for systems management software used with mainframe computers that work with the VSE operating system: VSE tape management software; VSE disk management software; VSE security software; VSE job scheduling software; and VSE automated operations software. In addition, the Complaint alleges that the transaction would substantially lessen competition in the market for "cross-platform" systems management software, used in computer installations where a mainframe computer is linked together with other types of computer "platforms" (such as midrange computers or networks of workstations or personal computers).

Simultaneously with filing the Complaint, the United States filed a