

securities. The proposed rule was published in the Federal Register on December 18, 1995 (60 FR 65214) and comments were to be received on or before February 16, 1996.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before March 18, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to: Government Securities Regulations Staff, Bureau of the Public Debt, Department of the Treasury, Room 515, 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20239-0001. Comments received will be available for public inspection and copying at the Treasury Department Library, Room 5030, Main Treasury Building, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Ken Papaj (Director) or Don Hammond (Assistant Director) at 202-219-3632.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Government Securities Act Amendments of 1993 granted Treasury the authority to prescribe large position recordkeeping and reporting rules for certain Treasury securities. A proposed rule was published December 18, 1995, that would require persons holding, maintaining or controlling large positions in to-be-issued or recently-issued Treasury securities to keep records and file reports, in response to a request from Treasury, of such large positions.

The Department has received a request for a 30 day extension of the comment period from a trade association representing approximately 300 government securities brokers and dealers (Public Securities Association, PSA). The PSA has requested the extension to permit the association additional time to resolve technical questions and solicit comments from its Primary Dealers Committee, Government Operations Committee, Funding Division and legal and compliance staffs. Given the limited additional time requested and a desire to provide market participants and other interested parties ample time to develop constructive comments, the Department agrees to extend the comment period until Monday, March 18, 1996.

Dated: February 6, 1996.

Darcy Bradbury,

*Assistant Secretary, Financial Markets.*

[FR Doc. 96-2863 Filed 2-8-96; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-39-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR PART 165

[CGD13-95-055]

#### Safety Zone Regulations; Fort Vancouver Fourth of July Fireworks Display, Columbia River, Vancouver, WA

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard proposes to adopt permanent safety zone regulations for the annual Fort Vancouver fourth of July Fireworks display in Vancouver, Washington. This event is held each year on the fourth of July on the waters of the Columbia River. In the past, the Coast Guard has established a temporary safety zone each year to protect the safety of life on the navigable waters during this event. However, because the event occurs annually, the coast Guard is proposing to adopt a permanent description of the event and permanent regulations to better inform the boating public.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 9, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be mailed to U.S. Coast Guard Group Portland, 6767 N. Basin Ave., Portland, OR 97217-3992. Comments may also be hand-delivered to this address. The comments and other materials referenced in this notice will be available for inspection and copying at the above address at the Waterways Management Branch, in the Mt. St. Helens Building. Normal office hours are between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

LTJG Chuck Roskam, Waterways Management Branch Chief, U.S. Coast Guard MSO/Group Portland, OR (Telephone: (503) 240-9327).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Request for Comments

The Coast Guard encourages interested persons to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, and arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify this rulemaking (CGD13-95-055), specify the section of this proposal to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Two copies of each comment should be provided in an unbound format. All comments should be on paper no larger than 8½ by 11 inches and should be suitable for

copying and electronic filing. Persons wanting acknowledgment of receipt of their comments should enclose stamped, self-addressed postcards or envelopes.

The proposed regulations may be changed in light of comments received. All comments received during the comment period will be considered before final action is taken on this proposal.

The Coast Guard plans no public hearing. Persons may request a public hearing by writing to Coast Guard Group Portland at the address under **ADDRESSES**. The request should include the reasons why a hearing would be beneficial. If the Coast Guard determines that the opportunity for oral presentation will aid this rulemaking, it will hold a public hearing at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Drafting Information

The principal persons involved in drafting this document are LTJG C.A. Roskam, Project Officer, U.S. Coast Guard MSO/Group Portland, and LCDR J.C. Odell, Project Attorney, Thirteenth Coast Guard District Legal Office.

Background and Purpose

The Coast Guard is proposing to adopt permanent safety zone regulations for the annual Fort Vancouver Fourth of July Fireworks Display in Vancouver, Washington. This event is held on the waters of Columbia River each year on July fourth from 10 p.m. to 10:30 p.m. In the past, the Coast Guard has established a temporary safety zone each year to protect the safety of life on the navigable waters during the event. However, because the event occurs annually, the Coast Guard is proposing to adopt a permanent description of the event and permanent regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) to better inform the boating public. The Coast Guard, through this action, intends to promote the safety of spectators and participants in this event. The Fort Vancouver Fourth of July Fireworks Display is being held as part of the celebration for the Fourth of July Independence Day in Vancouver, Washington.

This event is sponsored by the Fort Vancouver Fourth of July Committee. The fireworks display is conducted from a barge located just offshore on the Columbia River. This one day event attracts a large number of spectators gathered on the waters near the fireworks display. Spectators who approach the fireworks barge at close range during the event may be struck by

falling debris from the overhead fireworks display.

#### Discussion of Proposed Regulation

To promote the safety of both the spectators and the participants of this event, the proposed regulation would establish a permanent safety zone which would become effective each year during the event. Entry into this safety zone and the area surrounding the event would be prohibited. This safety zone would be enforced by representatives of the Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon. The Captain of the Port may be assisted by other federal agencies.

#### Regulatory Evaluation

This proposal is not a significant action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979).

The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. The safety zone established by the proposed regulation would encompass only one mile of the Columbia River adjacent to Vancouver, Washington. Entry into the safety zone would be restricted each year for only three hours on the day of the event. These restrictions would have little effect on maritime commerce in the area.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposal will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" may include (1) small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields and (2) governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. Because it expects the impact of this proposal to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposal, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. If however, you think that your business or organization qualifies as a small entity and that this proposal will have a significant economic impact on your

business or organization, please submit a comment (see **ADDRESSES**) explaining why you think it qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposal will economically affect it.

#### Collection of Information

This proposal contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this action in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this proposal does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

#### Environment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this proposed regulation and concluded that, under paragraph 2.B.2 of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B (as revised by 59 FR 38654; July 29, 1994), this proposed regulation is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. Appropriate environmental analysis of the Fort Vancouver Fourth of July Fireworks Display will be conducted in conjunction with the marine event permitting process each year. Any environmental documentation required under the National Environmental Policy Act will be completed prior to the issuance of a marine event permit for this event.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reports and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

#### Proposed Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 165 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

#### **PART 165—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. A new section 165.1308 is added to read as follows:

#### **§ 165.1308 Columbia River, Vancouver, WA**

(a) *Location.* The following area is a safety zone: All waters of the Columbia River at Vancouver, Washington, bounded by a line commencing at the

northern base of the Interstate 5 highway bridge at latitude 45°37'17", longitude 122°40'22"; thence south along the Interstate 5 highway bridge to latitude 45°37'03"N, longitude 122°40'32"W; thence to latitude 45°36'28"N, longitude 122°38'35"W; thence to Ryan's Point at latitude 45°36'42"N, longitude 122°38'35"W; thence along the Washington shoreline to the point of origin. [Datum: NAD 83].

(b) *Effective dates:* This section is effective annually on July fourth from 9 p.m. to 11 p.m. unless otherwise specified by Federal Register notice.

(c) *Regulation.* In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Portland, Oregon.

Dated: January 23, 1996.

C.E. Bills,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard Captain of the Port.

[FR Doc. 96–1809 Filed 2–8–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 102–13–7212b; FRL–5398–7]

### **Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP), which concern the control of oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from boilers, steam generators, and process heaters.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rules is to regulate emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these as noncontroversial revision amendments and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct