

in the appropriate section of the draft PEIS.

The preferred alternative is a decentralized wastewater collection and treatment system for population centers using an engineered wetlands treatment process. This alternative involves the use of pressure or gravity collection systems to convey wastewater to multiple engineered wetland treatment facilities. Collection and conveyance technologies considered for this option will be the same as those analyzed for the centralized treatment facility option. Treatment alternatives for the engineered wetland treatment process option will include land application (spray irrigation) of treated effluent followed by surface water discharges into a receiving stream or discharge into subsurface absorption basins.

Other project alternatives were identified in feasibility studies conducted for LaGrange County Sewer District. These alternatives, although viable, were not chosen as the preferred alternative. The alternatives are: (1) No Action Alternative. This alternative continues the use of on-site water disposal systems. This option would not address the present public health concerns or the continued degradation of the County's surface and ground water. (2) Centralized Wastewater Collection and Treatment for all County Residents Using Conventional Wastewater Treatment. This option would use either pressure or gravity collection systems to convey wastewater to a centralized treatment facility. Collection and conveyance alternatives analyzed for this option include: small diameter gravity systems; small diameter pressure systems using single connection effluent grinder pumps; and conventional gravity collector lines connected to pressure lines. Activated sludge process alternatives considered for this option included: Oxidation ditches and extended aeration. This option, by far, has the highest unit cost. (3) Centralized Wastewater Collection and Treatment for All County Residents Except for Residents in Remote Locations Using Conventional Activated Sludge Waste Treatment Processes. This alternative involves providing sewage collection and treatment services for all LaGrange County residents except those located in isolated regions. This option would use the same collection and treatment technologies as the option providing wastewater treatment for all of LaGrange County. Cost savings over serving the entire county would be realized because of the high unit cost of serving remote residences.

Public Meeting

A public meeting to solicit review comments will be held on February 23, 1996 at the LaGrange County Office Building, 114 West Michigan Street, LaGrange, IN 46761 at 7:30 pm. The meeting will be conducted by the RUS and the LaGrange County Sewer Board. All Federal and State agencies and other interested parties are invited to participate in the meeting and to offer comments on the DPEIS. Oral statements will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer; however, to ensure accuracy of the record all statements should be submitted in writing. All statements, both oral and in writing, will become part of the public record on this study. All written comments must be postmarked by no later than April 8, 1996 to become part of the public record.

Dated: February 1, 1996.
Wally Beyer,
Administrator.
[FR Doc. 96-2671 Filed 2-7-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Agency Form Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census.
Title: 1996 Race & Ethnic Targeted Test.

Form Number(s): DL-1A, DL-1B, DL-1C, DL-1D, DL-1E, DL-1F, DL-1G, DL-1H and Spanish versions.

Agency Approval Number: None.
Type of Request: New collection.
Burden: 33,893 hours.

Number of Respondents: 118,000.
Avg Hours Per Response: 12½ minutes.

Needs and Uses: The 1996 Race and Ethnic Targeted Test and its associated content reinterview are the principal vehicles for evaluating fundamental changes to the race and ethnic questions for the upcoming 2000 Census of Population and Housing. This test is also crucial for the review of Statistical Policy Directive No. 15 by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and by the Federal Interagency Committee for the Review of Racial and Ethnic Standards. The test encompasses eight different self-enumeration questionnaires mailed to eight panels of

respondents nationwide. Each of the versions is designed to assess one or more changes to the race and ethnic questions proposed by OMB, the Census Bureau Advisory Committees, and other data users and through evaluation of 1990 census data. Spanish versions will also be mailed in areas with high concentration of Spanish-speaking households. A content reinterview will be conducted with a subsample of respondents to assess the accuracy and reliability of the race and ethnic information collected.

Affected Public: Individuals.

Frequency: One-time.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: Maria Gonzalez, (202) 395-7313.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Margaret L. Woody, (202) 482-3630, Department of Commerce, Room 5310, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Maria Gonzalez, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 2, 1996.
Margaret L. Woody,

Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 96-2690 Filed 2-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-F

Bureau of Export Administration

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Ronald J. Hoffman

Order Denying Permission To Apply For Or Use Export Licenses

In the Matter of: Ronald J. Hoffman, 523 Vallejo Street, San Francisco, California 94133.

On April 20, 1992, Ronald J. Hoffman (Hoffman) was convicted in the United States District Court for the Central District of California of violating Section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C.A. § 2778 (1990 & Supp. 1995)) (the AECA), among other crimes. Specifically, Hoffman was convicted of exporting items controlled on the U.S. Munitions list, including technical data directly related to the Strategic Defense Initiative and other missile technology, to Japan, Germany, and South Africa without obtaining the required export license or written approval from the U.S. Department of State and of failing to register as a defense exporter with the