

agreement between K N Interstate and K N Energy, Inc. (K N) and will be used by K N to provide natural gas to a new rural distribution lateral which will be used to provide natural gas service to new direct retail customers, all as more fully set for in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, K N Interstate indicates that K N, as a local distribution company, has requested the addition of a new delivery point under its existing transportation service agreement with K N Interstate. This proposed delivery point would be located on K N Interstate's main transportation system in the northwest quarter of Section 32 or the northeast quarter of Section 31, Township 2 North, Range 47 West in Yuma County, Colorado. The exact location has not yet been determined and is dependent upon the acquisition of right-of-way for the tap site. The proposed delivery point will facilitate the delivery of natural gas by K N Interstate to K N for sale to new direct retail customers located along a new rural distribution lateral to be constructed by K N.

K N Interstate further indicates that the quantities of gas to be delivered through this proposed point will be approximately 3,400 Mcf on a peak day and 105 MMcf annually. K N Interstate states that (1) the volumes of gas which will be delivered at this proposed delivery point will be within the current maximum transportation quantities set forth in its transportation service agreement with K N; (2) the addition of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by its existing FERC Gas Tariff; and (3) the addition of the proposed delivery point will not have any adverse impact, on a daily or annual basis, upon its existing customers.

The cost of the facilities installed by K N Interstate will be reimbursed by K N.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity is deemed to be authorized effective on the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-2305 Filed 2-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-159-000]

Shell Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

January 30, 1996.

Take notice that on January 29, 1996, Shell Gas Pipeline Company (Shell), 200 North Dairy Ashford, Houston, Texas 77079, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP96-159-000 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to construct and operate a natural gas pipeline and appurtenant facilities, offshore Louisiana, and for a blanket transportation certificate pursuant to Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations, all as more fully set forth in the application which is open to the public for inspection.

Shell proposes to construct and operate approximately 45 miles of 30-inch diameter pipe and related facilities which would deliver natural gas from a West Delta Block 143 to the Venice Gas Processing Plant in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana. Shell states that the gas and condensate would be separated at Venice, where the gas would then be delivered either as processed or unprocessed gas to one or more interstate pipelines downstream of the Venice Plant. Shell also states that the proposed facilities would cost approximately \$75,000,000 to construct.

Shell asserts that it has filed the instant proposal under protest and requests that the Commission affirm that neither issuance of the requested certificate nor the operations described in the proposal would subject any of Shell's other facilities or operations to the Commission's jurisdiction under the NGA. Shell also asserts that it has requested authorization conditioned upon the ultimate resolution of Shell's petition for a declaratory order in Docket No. CP96-9-000, wherein Shell has requested that the proposed pipeline be declared a nonjurisdictional gathering line.¹

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said

¹ This application does not cover the pipeline facilities extending from the Mars Field to West Delta Block 143 and the related interconnection facilities with Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation at West Delta Block 143 because those facilities were previously determined to be nonjurisdictional gathering facilities. [Shell Gas Pipeline Co., 69 FERC ¶ 61,271 (1994)]

application should on or before February 6, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Shell to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-2306 Filed 2-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Notice of Amendment of License

January 30, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application: Amendment of License.*

b. *Project No.:* 2114-044, 045.

c. *Date Filed:* January 11, 1996.

d. *Applicant:* Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant, County, Washington.

e. *Name of Project:* Priest Rapids Project.

f. *Location:* On the Columbia River in Grant County, Washington.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)-825(r).