

management issues associated with the management of the public lands.

Gene Kolkman,
District Manager.

[FR Doc. 96-2166 Filed 2-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

Bureau of Reclamation

Quarterly Status Report of Water Service and Repayment Contract Negotiations

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of proposed contractual actions pending through December 31, 1996, and contract actions that have been completed or discontinued since the last publication of this notice on September 29, 1995. From the date of this publication, future quarterly notices during this calendar year will be limited to modified, new, completed or discontinued contract actions. This annual notice should be used as a point of reference to identify changes in future notices. This notice is one of a variety of means used to inform the public about proposed contractual actions for capital recovery and management of project resources and facilities. Additional Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) announcements of individual contract actions may be published in the Federal Register and in newspapers of general circulation in the areas determined by Reclamation to be affected by the proposed action. Announcements may be in the form of news releases, legal notices, official letters, memorandums, or other forms of written material. Meetings, workshops, and/or hearings may also be used, as appropriate, to provide local publicity. The public participation procedures do not apply to proposed contracts for sale of surplus or interim irrigation water for a term of 1 year or less. Either of the contracting parties may invite the public to observe contract proceedings. All public participation procedures will be coordinated with those involved in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act.

ADDRESSES: The identify of the approving officer and other information pertaining to a specific contract proposal may be obtained by calling or writing the appropriate regional office at the address and telephone number given for each region in the supplementary information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alonzo Knapp, Manager, Reclamation

Law, Contracts, and Repayment Office, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 25007, Denver, Colorado 80225-0007; telephone 303-236-1061 extension 224.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 226 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (96 Stat. 1273) and 43 CFR 426.20 of the rules and regulations published in *52 FR 11954*, Apr. 13, 1987, Reclamation will publish notice of the proposed or amendatory contract actions for any contract for the delivery of project water for authorized uses in newspapers of general circulation in the affected area at least 60 days prior to contract execution. Pursuant to the "Final Revised Public Participation Procedures" for water resource-related contract negotiations, published in *47 FR 7763*, Feb. 22, 1982, a tabulation is provided of all proposed contractual actions in each of the five Reclamation regions. Each proposed action is, or is expected to be, in some stage of the contract negotiation process in 1996. When contract negotiations are completed, and prior to execution, each proposed contract form must be approved by the Secretary of the Interior, or pursuant to delegated or redelegated authority, the Commissioner of Reclamation or one of the regional directors. In some instances, congressional review and approval of a report, water rate, or other terms and conditions of the contract may be involved.

Public participation in and receipt of comments on contract proposals will be facilitated by adherence to the following procedures:

1. Only persons authorized to act on behalf of the contracting entities may negotiate the terms and conditions of a specific contract proposal.

2. Advance notice of meetings or hearings will be furnished to those parties that have made a timely written request for such notice to the appropriate regional or project office of Reclamation.

3. Written correspondence regarding proposed contracts may be made available to the general public pursuant to the terms and procedures of the Freedom of Information Act (80 Stat. 383), as amended.

4. Written comments on a proposed contract or contract action must be submitted to the appropriate regional officials at the locations and within the time limits set forth in the advance public notices.

5. All written comments received and testimony presented at any public hearings will be reviewed and summarized by the appropriate regional office for use by the contract approving authority.

6. Copies of specific proposed contracts may be obtained from the appropriate regional director or his designated public contact as they become available for review and comment.

7. In the event modifications are made in the form of a proposed contract, the appropriate regional director shall determine whether republication of the notice and/or extension of the comment period is necessary.

Factors considered in making such a determination shall include, but are not limited to: (i) The significance of the modification, and (ii) the degree of public interest which has been expressed over the course of the negotiations. As a minimum, the regional director shall furnish revised contracts to all parties who requested the contract in response to the initial public notice.

Acronym Definitions Used Herein

(BCP)	Boulder Canyon Project
(CAP)	Central Arizona Project
(CUP)	Central Utah Project
(CVP)	Central Valley Project
(CRSP)	Colorado River Storage Project
(D&MC)	Drainage and Minor Construction
(FR)	Federal Register
(IDD)	Irrigation and Drainage District
(ID)	Irrigation District
(M&I)	Municipal and Industrial
(O&M)	Operation and Maintenance
(P-SMBP)	Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program
(R&B)	Rehabilitation and Betterment
(PPR)	Present Perfected Right
(RRA)	Reclamation Reform Act
(NEPA)	National Environmental Policy Act
(SRPA)	Small Reclamation Projects Act
(WCUA)	Water Conservation and Utilization Act
(WD)	Water District

Pacific Northwest Region

Bureau of Reclamation, 1150 North Curtis Road, Boise, Idaho 83706-1234, telephone 208-378-5346.

1. Irrigation, M&I, and Miscellaneous Water Users; Columbia Basin, Crooked River, Deschutes, Minidoka, Rathdrum Prairie, Rogue River Basin, and Umatilla Projects; Idaho, Oregon, and Washington: Temporary or interim repayment and water service contracts for irrigation or M&I use to provide up to 10,000 acre-feet of water annually for terms up to 5 years; long-term contracts for similar service for up to 1,000 acre-feet of water annually.

2. Rogue River Basin Water Users, Rogue River Basin Project, Oregon: Water service contracts; \$8 per acre-foot per annum.

3. Willamette Basin Water Users, Willamette Basin Project, Oregon: Water service contracts; \$8 per acre-foot per annum.

4. American Falls Reservoir District Number 2, Burgess Canal Company, Clark and Edwards Canal and Irrigation Company, Craig-Mattson Canal Company, Danskin Ditch Company, Enterprise Canal Company, Ltd., Farmers Friend Irrigation Company, Ltd., Lenroot Canal Company, Liberty Park Canal Company, Long Island Irrigation Company, Parks and Lewisville Irrigation Company, Ltd., Parsons Ditch Company, Peoples Canal and Irrigation Company, Poplar ID, Rigby Canal and Irrigating Company, Rudy Irrigation Canal Company, Ltd., Wearyrick Ditch Company, all in the Minidoka Project, Idaho; Juniper Flat ID, Wapinitia Project, Oregon; Roza ID, Yakima Project, Washington: Amendatory repayment and water service contracts; purpose is to conform to the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (Pub. L. 97-293).

5. Willow Creek Water Users, Willow Creek Project, Oregon: Repayment or water service contracts for a total of up to 3,500 acre-feet of storage space in Willow Creek Reservoir.

6. Bridgeport ID, Chief Joseph Dam Project, Washington: Warren Act contract for the use of an irrigation outlet in Chief Joseph Dam.

7. Ochoco ID and Various Individual Spaceholders, Crooked River Project, Oregon: Repayment contract for reimbursable cost of dam safety repairs to Arthur R. Bowman and Ochoco Dams.

8. Sidney Irrigation Cooperative, Willamette Basin Project, Oregon: Irrigation water service contract for approximately 2,300 acre-feet.

9. Douglas County, Milltown Hill Project, Oregon: SRPA loan repayment contract; proposed combination loan and grant obligation of approximately \$31 million.

10. Palmer Creek Water District Improvement Company, Willamette Basin Project, Oregon: Irrigation water service contract for approximately 13,000 acre-feet.

11. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Boise Project, Idaho: Irrigation water service contract for the use of approximately 200 acre-feet of storage space annually in Anderson Ranch Reservoir. Water to be used on crops for wildlife mitigation purposes.

12. City of Madras, Deschutes Project, Oregon: Renewal or replacement of municipal water service contract for approximately 125 acre-feet annually from the project water supply.

13. Willamette Basin water users, Willamette Basin Project, Oregon: Two water service contracts for the exchange of up to 225 acre-feet of water for diversion above project reservoirs.

14. Lewiston Orchards ID, Lewiston Orchards Project, Idaho: Repayment contract for reimbursable cost of dam safety repairs to Reservoir "A."

15. North Unit ID, Deschutes Project, Oregon: Repayment contract for reimbursable cost of dam safety repairs to Wickiup Dam.

16. Stanfield and Westland Irrigation Districts, Umatilla Project, Oregon: Repayment contracts for reimbursable cost of dam safety repairs to McKay Dam.

17. Fremont-Madison Irrigation District, Minidoka Project, Idaho-Wyoming: Supplemental and amendatory contract providing for the transfer of operation and maintenance for the remaining reserved works of the Upper Snake Storage Division (including Cascade Creek Diversion Dam, Grassy Lake Dam and Reservoir, and Island Park Dam and Reservoir).

18. North Unit Irrigation District, Deschutes Project, Oregon: Warren Act contract with cost of service charge to allow for use of project facilities to convey nonproject water.

19. Hermiston, Stanfield, Westland, and West Extension Irrigation Districts, Umatilla Project, Oregon: Temporary contracts to provide water service for 1996 to lands lying outside of their boundaries.

Mid-Pacific Region

Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825-1898, telephone 916-979-2401.

1. Tuolumne Utility District (formerly Tuolumne Regional WD), CVP, California: Water service contract for up to 9,000 acre-feet from New Melones Reservoir.

2. Irrigation water districts, individual irrigators, M&I and miscellaneous water users, Mid-Pacific Region projects other than CVP: Temporary (interim) water service contracts for available project water for irrigation, M&I, or fish and wildlife purposes providing up to 10,000 acre-feet of water annually for terms up to 5 years; temporary Warren Act contracts for use of project facilities for terms up to 1 year; long-term contracts for similar service for up to 1,000 acre-feet annually. Note. Copies of the standard forms of temporary water service contracts for the various types of service are available upon written request from the Regional Director at the address shown above.

3. Contractors from the American River Division, Buchanan Division,

Cross Valley Canal, Delta Division, Friant Division, Hidden Division, Sacramento River Division, Shasta Division, and Trinity River Division, CVP, California: Renewal of existing long-term water service contracts with contractors whose contracts expire between 1995 and 1998; water quantities for these contracts total in excess of 1.7M acre-feet. These contract actions will be accomplished through interim renewal contracts pursuant to Pub. L. 102-575.

4. Redwood Valley County WD, SRPA, California: District is considering restructuring the repayment schedule pursuant to Pub. L. 100-516 or initiating new legislation to prepay the loan at a discounted rate. Prepayment option under Pub. L. 102-575 has expired.

5. Truckee Carson ID, Newlands Project, Nevada: New contract for the operation and maintenance of Newlands Project facilities and the collection of the unpaid construction costs for the original contract. The United States terminated the original contract, and this was upheld by the U.S. District Court in Nevada on August 17, 1983.

6. Sacramento River water rights contractors, CVP, California: Contract amendment for assignment under voluntary land ownership transfers to provide for the current CVP water rates and update standard contract articles.

7. Naval Air Station and Truckee Carson ID, Newlands Project, Nevada: Amend water service Agreement No. 14-06-400-1024 for the use of project water on Naval Air Station land.

8. El Dorado County Water Agency, San Juan WD, and Sacramento County Water Agency, CVP, California: M&I water service contract aid supplement existing water supply: 15,000 acre-feet for El Dorado County Water Agency 13,000 acre-feet for San Juan WD, and 22,000 acre-feet for Sacramento County Water Agency, authorized by Pub. L. 101-514.

9. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game, Grassland WD, CVP, California: Water service contracts to provide water supplies for refuges within the CVP pursuant to Federal Reclamation Laws; exchange agreements and wheeling contracts to deliver some of the increased refuge water supplies; quantity to be contracted for is approximately 450,000 acre-feet.

10. San Juan Water District, CVP, California: Execute Warren Act contract to replace expiring long-term wheeling contract with San Juan WD and the Placer County Water Agency allowing the Agency to use CVP facilities to deliver its water to the District for use on District land within Placer County.

11. Mountain Gate CSD, CVP, California: Amendment of existing long-term water service contract to include right to renew. This amendment will also conform the contract to current Reclamation law, including Pub. L. 102-575.

12. Pershing County Water Conservation District, Nevada: Repayment contract for Safety of Dams work on Rye Patch Dam.

13. Santa Barbara County Water Agency: Repayment contract for Safety of Dams work on Bradbury Dam.

14. Central Valley Project Service Area, California: Temporary water purchase agreements for acquisition of 20,000 to 200,000 acre-feet of water for fish and wildlife purposes as authorized by the Central Valley Project Improvement Act for terms of up to 3 years.

15. City of Folsom, CVP, California: Amendment of existing water rights conveyance contract to allow delivery of an additional 5,000 acre-feet of water from Folsom Reservoir that has been acquired from the Southern California Water Company.

16. Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Solano Project, California: Amend water service contract to decrease quantity.

17. City of Roseville, CVP, California: Execution of long-term Warren Act contract for conveyance of non-project water provided from the Placer County Water Agency. This contract will allow CVP facilities to be used to deliver non-project water to the City of Roseville for use within their service area.

18. Sacramento Municipal Utility District, CVP, California: Amendment of existing water service contract to allow for additional points of diversion, and assignment of up to 15,000 acre-feet of project water to the Sacramento County Water Agency. The amended contract will conform to current Reclamation law.

Lower Colorado Region:

Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 61470 (Nevada Highway and Park Street), Boulder City, Nevada 89006-1470, telephone 702-293-8536.

1. Milton and Jean Phillips, Kenneth or Ann Easterday, Robert E. Harp, Cameron Brothers Construction Co., Ogram Farms, Bruce Church, Inc., Sturges Farms, Inc., Sunkist Growers, Inc., Clayton Farms, BCP, Arizona: Water service contracts, as recommended by Arizona Department of Water Resources, with agricultural entities located near the Colorado River for up to an additional 15,557 acre-feet per year total.

2. Arizona State Land Department, State of Arizona, BCP, Arizona: Contract for 6,607 acre-feet per year of Colorado River water for agricultural use and related purposes on State-owned land. This contract action reflects an increase in prior contract recommendation in the amount of 6,292 acre-feet per year.

3. Armon Curtis, Arlin Dulin, Jacy Rayner, Glen Curtis, Jamar Produce Corporation, and Ansel T. Hall, BCP, Arizona: Water service contracts; purpose is to amend their contracts to exempt them from the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (Pub. L. 97-293).

4. Cibola Valley IDD, BCP, Arizona: Cibola Valley IDD is looking at the possibility of transferring, leasing, selling, or banking its entitlement of 22,560 acre-feet, for use in Arizona, California, or Nevada.

5. Consolidated Water Co., Havasu Water Co., Quartzsite, McAllister Subdivision, City of Parker, and Arizona State Land Department, BCP, Arizona: Contracts for additional M&I allocations of Colorado River water to entities located along the Colorado River in Arizona for up to 3,759 acre-feet per year as recommended by the Arizona Department of Water Resources.

6. National Park Service for Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Supreme Court Decree in *Arizona v. California*, and BCP in Arizona and Nevada: Memorandum of Understanding for delivery of Colorado River water for the National Park Service's Federal Establishment (PPR) of 500 acre-feet of diversions annually, and the National Park Service's Federal Establishment perfected right pursuant to Executive Order No. 5125 (April 25, 1930).

7. Mohave Valley ID, BCP, Arizona: Amendment of current contract for additional Colorado River water, change in service areas, diversion points, and RRA exemption.

8. Kent Sea Farms, Yuma, AZ: Contract to divert and return 32,000 acre-feet of water per year from and to, respectively, the Main Outlet Drain Extension for one or more fish farms.

9. Miscellaneous PPR entitlement holders, BCP, Arizona and California: New contracts for entitlements to Colorado River water as decreed by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Arizona v. California*, as supplemented or amended, and as required by Section 5 of the BCPA. Miscellaneous PPR holders are listed in the *Arizona v. California* settlement. Also, conversion of PPR entitlements for irrigation water to M&I water entitlements.

10. W.F. West, BCP, California: Miscellaneous PPR contract for 0.8774 acre-feet of domestic water.

11. Julia Soto Zozaya and Steve M. Zozaya, Mohave County, BCP, Arizona: Miscellaneous PPR contract for 720 acre-feet of irrigation water.

12. Holpal Miscellaneous PPR, BCP, AZ: Assign a portion of the PPR to Mr. McNutly.

13. Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, BCP, California: The company intends to transfer its miscellaneous PPR for the diversion of 1,260 acre-feet and consumptive use of 273 acre-feet of Colorado River water to the City of Needles.

14. Federal Establishment PPR entitlement holders, BCP; Individual contracts for administration of Colorado River water entitlements of the Colorado River, Fort Mojave, Quechan, Chemehuevi, and Cocopah Indian Tribes.

15. United States facilities, BCP, Arizona, California, and Nevada: Reservation of Colorado River Water for use at Federal facilities and lands administered by Reclamation.

16. Windsor Beach State Park, Lake Havasu City, AZ: Contract for 130 acre-feet entitlement to Colorado River domestic water.

17. Crystal Beach Water Conservation District, BCP, Arizona: Contract for delivery of 132 acre-feet per year of Colorado River water for domestic use, as recommended by the Arizona Department of Water Resources.

18. Bureau of Land Management, BCP, Arizona: Contract for 1,176 acre-feet per year, for irrigation use, of Arizona's Colorado River water that is not used by higher priority Arizona entitlement holders.

19. Curtis Family Trust et al., BCP, Arizona: Contract for 2,100 acre-feet per year of Colorado River water for agricultural water.

20. Beattie Farms SW, BCP, Arizona: Contract for 1,890 acre-feet per year of unused Arizona entitlement for agricultural use.

21. Section 10 Backwater, BCP, Arizona: Contract for 250 acre-feet per year of unused Arizona entitlement for environmental use until a permanent water supply can be obtained.

22. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lower Colorado River Refuge Complex, BCP, Yuma, Arizona: Proposed agreement to pool existing Arizona refuge water rights, resolve water rights coordination issues, and to provide for nonconsumptive use flow through water.

23. Yuma County Water Users' Association, Yuma Project, Arizona: Supplementary contract to convert irrigation use water to domestic use water within the Valley Division of the Yuma Project.

24. Yuma Mesa Irrigation and Drainage District, Gila Project, Arizona: Amendment to provide for increase in domestic water allocation (from 10,000 to 20,000 acre-feet) within its overall use in the district.

25. Hilander C Irrigation District, Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Project, AZ: Water delivery contract for 4,500 acre-feet.

26. Kent Sea Farms, Yuma, Arizona: Contract to divert and return 32,000 acre-feet of water per year from and to, respectively, the Main Outlet Drain Extension for one or more fish farms.

27. Central Arizona Water Conservation District, CAP, Arizona: Amend or supplement the master repayment contract between the United States to reflect a pending settlement of certain CAP financial and ancillary issues.

28. Maricopa-Stanfield Irrigation & Drainage District, Stanfield, AZ: District has requested the United States to defer payments and restructure its \$78 million distribution system repayment obligation.

29. Agricultural and M&I water users, CAP, Arizona: Water service subcontracts for percentages of available supply reallocated in 1992 for irrigation entities and up to 640,000 acre-feet per year allocated in 1983 for M&I use.

30. Indian and non-Indian agricultural and M&I water users, CAP, AZ: New and amendatory contracts for repayment of Federal expenditures for construction of distribution systems.

31. Gila River Indian Community, CAP, AZ: Master repayment/O&M contract for distribution system to serve up to 77,000 acres.

32. McMicken ID/City of Surprise, AZ: Amend McMicken's CAP subcontract to reduce its entitlement by 4,500 acre-feet and execute a CAP water service subcontract with the City of Surprise for 4,500 acre-feet of CAP water.

33. McMicken ID/Avondale, AZ: Amend McMicken's CAP subcontract to reduce its entitlement by 647 acre-feet and amend Avondale's CAP water service subcontract to increase its entitlement by 647 acre-feet of CAP water.

34. City of Scottsdale and other M&I water subcontractors, CAP, AZ: Subcontract amendments associated with assignment of M&I water service subcontracts from Camp Verde Water System, Inc., Cottonwood Water Works, Inc., Mayer Domestic Water Improvement District, City of Prescott, City of Nogales, Rio Rico Utilities', Inc., and the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe to provide the City of Scottsdale with an

additional 17,823 acre-feet of CAP water.

35. Tohono O'odham Nation, SRPA, AZ: Repayment contract for a \$7.3 million loan for the Schuk Toak District.

36. San Tan Irrigation District, Chandler Heights, AZ, CAP: Amend distribution system repayment Contract No. 6-07-30-W0120 to increase the repayment obligation approximately \$168,000.

37. Chandler Heights Citrus Irrigation District, Chandler Heights, AZ, CAP: Amend distribution system repayment Contract No. 6-07-30-W0119 to increase the repayment obligation approximately \$114,000.

38. Central Arizona Drainage and Irrigation District, Phoenix, Arizona, CAP, Amend distribution system repayment Contract No. 4-07-30-W0048 to reschedule repayment terms pursuant to U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona.

39. Arizona Sierra Utility Company, Phoenix, AZ, CAP: Assignment to the Town of Florence of 407 acre-feet of CAP municipal and industrial water allocation under subcontract from the Central Arizona Water Conservation District.

40. San Diego County Water Authority, San Diego, California, San Diego Project: Title transfer to the Second Barrel, San Diego Aqueduct composed of over 70 miles of pipeline 4.5 to 8 feet in diameter and related facilities and rights of way.

41. Lower Colorado Water Supply Project, California: Water service and repayment contracts with nonagricultural water users in California adjacent to the Colorado River for an aggregate consumptive use of up to 10,000 acre-feet of Colorado River water per year in exchange for an equivalent amount of water to be pumped into the All-American Canal from a well field to be constructed adjacent to the canal.

42. The Southern California Gas Company, BCP, California: Short-term water delivery contract for 125 acre-feet of surplus Colorado River water for domestic and industrial water users near the City of Needles, California.

43. County of San Bernardino, San Sevaine Creek Water Project, SRPA, California: Project and loan repayment contracts are under reformulation.

44. Imperial ID/Coachella Valley WD and/or The Metropolitan WD of Southern California, BCP, California: Contract to fund the Department of the Interior's expenses to conserve All-American Canal seepage water in accordance with Title II of the San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act, dated November 17, 1988.

45. Coachella Valley WD and/or The Metropolitan WD of Southern California, BCP, California: Contract to fund the Department of the Interior's expenses to conserve seepage water from the Coachella Branch of the All-American Canal in accordance with Title II of the San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act, dated November 17, 1988.

46. United States Navy, BCP, Niland, California: Contract for 22 acre-feet of surplus Colorado River water for domestic use delivered through the Coachella Canal.

47. Southern Nevada Water Authority, BCP, Nevada: Contract to use Federal facilities and land to divert water from Lake Mead at non-Federal expense.

48. Colorado River Commissioner of Nevada, Robert B. Griffith Water Project, BCP, Nevada: Amend the repayment contract to provide for funding of additional facilities by Southern Nevada Water Authority to divert, treat, and convey water out of Lake Mead.

Contract Actions Completed

1. Fort Yuma Indian Reservation (Quechan Indian Reservation), Yuma Project, AZ and CA: Surplus water contract to receive Colorado River Water in the states of AZ and CA. The contract may include surplus and unused apportionment entitlements (51,616 acre-feet or 7,743 acres, whichever is less) and wheeling arrangements with Bard ID. Completed by letter dated May 18, 1995.

2. Imperial ID, Lower Colorado Water Supply Project, CA: Contract providing for administration, operation, maintenance, and replacement of the project well field was executed October 13, 1995.

3. Lake Havasu City and Marble Canyon from item 12 above. Lake Havasu City contract was executed October 4, 1995. Execution of the Marble Canyon contract is in progress.

4. Elsinore Valley Municipal WD, Temescal Valley Project, SRPA, CA: Repayment contract for a \$22.3 million loan was executed April 24, 1995.

5. Imperial ID and The Metropolitan WD of Southern California, BCP, California: Temporary contract to store approximately 200,000 acre-feet of water that is expected to be saved over a 2-year period under a test water savings program that involves land fallowing and a modified irrigation plan for alfalfa. Inactive for the foreseeable future.

6. Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority, SRPA, CA: Chino Basin Desalination Program, environmental cleanup to remove salt from

groundwater, \$32 million loan. Contract executed May 1, 1995.

7. Gila River Indian Community/Gila River Farms, CAP, Arizona: Repayment/deferment/O&M contract for distribution system not to exceed \$4 million. Execution of this contract on September 15, 1995 facilitates construction under Pub. L. 93-638 funding and work performance contract.

8. Gila River Farms, SRPA, AZ: Amendatory contract to reschedule payments due in 1991, 1992, and subsequent years in line with payment capacity. Execution is in progress and is expected to be completed by the end of February 1996.

9. Mohave County, BCP, AZ: Assignment, transfer, or reallocation of 18,500 acre-feet of water from the City of Kingman to a new water authority being formed to serve Mohave County. Assignment accomplished and new contract executed with newly formed Mohave County Water Authority on December 8, 1995.

10. Central Arizona Water Conservation District and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, CAP/BCP, Arizona/California: Amendatory Agreement for a demonstration project on underground storage of Colorado River Water in Arizona to increase the project from up to 100,000 acre-feet to 300,000 acre-feet. Action approved May 18, 1995.

11. New Magma Irrigation and Drainage District, Phoenix, Arizona, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT: Amend and Supplement distribution system repayment Contract No. 4-07-30-W0049 to reschedule repayment terms pursuant to U.S. Bankruptcy Court, District of Arizona, Judgement No. B-94-00211-TUC-JMM, June 20, 1995. Execution of restructured repayment contract is in progress.

Upper Colorado Region

Bureau of Reclamation, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138-1102, telephone 801-524-4419.

1. Individual irrigators, M&I, and miscellaneous water users, Utah, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico: Temporary (interim) water service contracts for surplus project water for irrigation or M&I use to provide up to 10,000 acre-feet of water annually for terms up to 10 years; long-term contracts for similar service for up to 1,000 acre-feet of water annually.

(a) The Benevolent and Protective Order of the Elks, Lodge No. 1747, Farmington, New Mexico: Navajo Reservoir water service contract; 20 acre-feet per year for municipal use.

2. Southern Ute Indian Tribe, Animas-La Plata Project, Colorado: Repayment contract for 26,500 acre-feet per year for M&I use and 2,600 acre-feet per year for irrigation use in Phase One and 700 acre-feet in Phase Two; contract terms to be consistent with binding cost sharing agreement and water rights settlement agreement.

3. Ute Mountain Ute Tribe, Animas-Law Plata Project, Colorado and New Mexico: Repayment contract; 6,000 acre-feet per year for M&I use in Colorado; 26,400 acre-feet per year for irrigation use in Colorado; 900 acre-feet per year for irrigation use in New Mexico; contract terms to be consistent with binding cost sharing agreement and water rights settlement agreement.

4. Navajo Indian Tribe, Animas-La Plata Project, New Mexico: Repayment contract for 7,600 acre-feet per year for M&I use.

5. La Plata Conservancy District, Animas-La Plata Project, New Mexico: Repayment contract for 9,900 acre-feet per year for irrigation use.

6. Vermejo Conservancy District, Vermejo Project, New Mexico: Amend contract pursuant to Pub. L. 96-550 to relieve the district of the requirement to make annual payments until the Secretary of the Interior determines that further payments are feasible; the current obligation exceeds \$2 million.

7. San Juan Pueblo, San Juan-Chama Project, New Mexico: Repayment contract for up to 2,000 acre-feet of project water for irrigation purposes.

8. City of El Paso, Rio Grande Project, Texas and New Mexico: Amendment to aid the 1941 and 1962 contracts to expand acreage owned by the City to 3,000 acres; extend terms of water rights assignments; and allow assignments outside City limits under authority of the Public Service Board.

9. The National Park Service, Bureau of Land Management, Colorado Water Conservation Board, Wayne N. Aspinall Unit, CRSP, Colorado: Contract for between 180,000 to 740,000 acre-feet of project water to provide specific river flow patterns in the Gunnison River through the Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Monument.

10. Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District, Wayne N. Aspinall Unit, CRSP, Colorado: Water service contract for 500 acre-feet for 1 year for municipal and domestic use.

11. Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District, Wayne N. Aspinall Unit, CRSP, Colorado: Substitute supply plan for the administration of the Gunnison River.

12. Collbran Conservancy District, Collbran Project, Colorado: Amendatory

contract defining priority of use of project water.

13. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, North Fork Water Conservancy District, Paonia Project, Colorado: Contract for releases to support endangered fish in the Gunnison and Colorado Rivers; water available for releases will come from reserve capacity held by Reclamation as a sediment pool, estimated to be 1,800 acre-feet annually; contract will define the terms and conditions associated with delivery of this water.

14. Rio Grande Water Conservation District, Closed Basin Division, San Luis Valley Project, Colorado: Water service contract for furnishing priority 4 water to third parties; contract will allow District to market priority water, when available, for agricultural, municipal and/or industrial use.

15. Uncompahgre Valley Water Users Association, Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District, Colorado River Water Conservation District, Uncompahgre Project, Colorado: Water management agreement for water stored at Taylor Park Reservoir and the Wayne N. Aspinall Storage Units to improve water management.

16. Florida Water Conservancy District, Florida Project, Colorado: Water service contract to market for municipal and industrial use 114 acre-feet of water rights held by the United States.

17. Salt Lake County Water Conservancy District and Central Utah Water Conservancy District, Central Utah Project, Utah. Contract to provide the Bureau of Reclamation with perpetual use of 7,900 acre-feet of water annually for storage in the Jordanelle Reservoir.

18. Grand Valley Water Users Association, Orchard Mesa Irrigation District, and Public Service Company of Colorado, Grand Valley Project, Colorado. Water service contract for the utilization of project water for cooling purposes for a steam electric generation plant.

19. Public Service Company of New Mexico, Colorado River Storage Project, Navajo Unit, New Mexico. Amendatory water service contract for diversion of 20,200 acre-feet, not to exceed a depletion of 16,200 acre-feet of project water for cooling purposes for a steam electric generation plant.

20. Provo Reservoir Water Users Company, Wasatch Irrigation Company, Timpanogas Irrigation Company, Exchange Irrigation Company, Washington Irrigation Company, and the City of Provo; Central Utah Project, Utah: Water exchange contracts, water rights in several mountain lakes and

reservoirs are being exchanged for equivalent contract water rights in Jordanelle Reservoir.

21. Sanpete County Water Conservancy District, Narrows Project, Utah: Application for a Small Reclamation Project Act loan and grant to construct a dam, reservoir and pipeline to annually supply approximately 5,000 acre-feet of water through a transmountain diversion from Upper Gooseberry Creek in the Price River drainage (Colorado River Basin) to the San Pitch—Sever River (Great Basin).

22. Highland Conservation District, Provo River Project, Utah: Water transfer agreement between District and Highland City involving change of use from irrigation to municipal and industrial.

Great Plains Region

Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 36900, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Montana 59107-6900, telephone 406-247-7730.

1. Individual irrigators, M&I, and miscellaneous water users: Colorado, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wyoming: Temporary (interim) water service contracts for the conveyance, storage, and exchange of surplus project water and nonproject water for irrigation or M&I use to provide up to 10,000 acre-feet of water annually for a term up to 1 year; long-term contracts for similar service for up to 1,000 acre-feet of water annually.

2. Green Mountain Reservoir, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Water service contracts for irrigation, municipal, and industrial; contract negotiations for sale of water from the marketable yield to water users within the Colorado River Basin of Western Colorado.

3. Ruedi Reservoir, Fryingpan-Arkansas Project, Colorado: Repayment contracts; second round contract negotiations for municipal, domestic, and industrial water from the regulatory capacity of Ruedi Reservoir.

4. Cedar Bluff Irrigation District No. 6, Cedar Bluff Unit, P-SMBP, Kansas: In accordance with Section 901 of Pub. L. 102-575, 106 Stat. 4600, terminate the Cedar Bluff Irrigation District's repayment contract and transfer use of the District's portion of the reservoir storage capacity to the State of Kansas for fish, wildlife, recreation, and other purposes.

5. Garrison Diversion Unit, P-SMBP, North Dakota: Renegotiation of the master repayment contract with Garrison Diversion Conservancy District to conform with the Garrison Diversion

Unit Reformulation Act of 1986; negotiation of repayment contracts with irrigators and M&I users.

6. Corn Creek Irrigation District, Glendo Unit, P-SMBP, Wyoming: Repayment contract for 10,350 acre-feet of supplemental irrigation water from Glendo Reservoir pending completion of NEPA review.

7. Foss Reservoir Master Conservancy District, Washita Basin Project, Oklahoma: Amendatory repayment contract for remedial work.

8. Arbuckle Master Conservancy District, Arbuckle Project, Oklahoma: Contract for the repayment of costs of the construction of the Sulphur, Oklahoma, pipeline and pumping plant (if constructed).

9. Tom Green County Water Control and Improvement District No. 1, San Angelo Project, Texas: Pursuant to Section 501 of Pub. L. 101-434, negotiate amendatory contract to increase irrigable acreage within the project.

10. Lakeview Irrigation District, Shoshone Project, Wyoming: New long-term water service contract for up to 3,200 acre-feet of firm water supply annually and up to 11,800 acre-feet of interim water from Buffalo Bill Reservoir. Pursuant to Section 9(e) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (Pub. L. 260).

11. City of Rapid City and Rapid Valley Water Conservancy District, Rapid Valley Unit, P-SMBP, South Dakota: Contract renewal for up to 55,000 acre-feet of storage capacity in Pactola Reservoir.

12. Belle Fourche Irrigation District, Belle Fourche Unit, P-SMBP, South Dakota: Amendment to Contract No. 5-07-60-WR170. The amendment will initiate the repayment period for the rehabilitation and betterment work to begin June 30, 1996. The amendment will also provide an additional \$10.5 million for additional rehabilitation and betterment work.

13. North Platte Project, Pathfinder Irrigation District: Negotiation of contract, Pathfinder Irrigation District: Negotiation of contract regarding Safety of Dams Program modification of Lake Alice Dam.

14. Bostwick Irrigation District in Nebraska and Kansas-Bostwick Irrigation District, Ainsworth Irrigation District, Farwell Irrigation and Sargent Irrigation District, Frenchman-Cambridge Irrigation District, Frenchman Valley Irrigation District, Almena Irrigation District, Webster Irrigation District, and Kirwin Irrigation District, P-SMBP, Kansas and Nebraska: Renewal of existing water service and repayment contracts for irrigation water

supplies, pending completion of NEPA review.

15. Mountain Park Master Conservancy District, Mountain Park Project, Oklahoma: Pursuant to Title IV of Pub. L. 103-434, amend the District's contract to reallocate the project costs to reflect the environmental activities authorized by Title IV and provide for a discounted prepayment of all or a portion of the reimbursable costs allocated for its M&I water supply.

16. Northern Cheyenne Indian Reservation, Montana: In accordance with Section 9 of the Northern Cheyenne Reserved Water Rights Settlement Act of 1992, the United States and the Northern Cheyenne Indian Tribe are proposing to contract for 30,000 acre-feet per year of stored water from Bighorn Reservoir, Yellowtail Unit, Lower Bighorn Division, P-SMBP, Montana. The Tribe will pay the United States both capital and O&M costs associated with each acre-foot of water the Tribe sells from this storage for M&I purposes.

17. Canadian River Municipal Water Authority, Canadian River Project, Texas: Contract for the United States to pay up to 33 percent of the costs of the salinity control project. These costs are to be used for the design and construction management of the project facilities.

18. Angostura Irrigation District, Angostura Unit, P-SMBP, South Dakota: The District's current contract for water service expired on December 31, 1995. The current contract also provided for the District to operate and maintain the dam and reservoir. The proposed contract would provide a continued water supply for the District and the District's continued operation and maintenance of the facility.

19. Shadehill Water User District, Shadehill Unit, P-SMBP, South Dakota: Water service contract expired June 10, 1995. The proposed contract would provide irrigation water to the District pursuant to terms acceptable to both the United States and the District.

20. Enders Dam, Frenchman-Cambridge Division, Frenchman Unit, Nebraska: Repayment contract for proposed Safety of Dams modifications to Enders Dam for repaid of seeping drainage features. Estimated cost of the repairs is \$632,000.

21. Belle Fourche Irrigation District, Belle Fourche Unit, P-SMBP, South Dakota: D&MC contract for rehabilitation work on water control structures, lining additional canals, and rehabilitation of bridges and laterals. Pub. L. 103-434, enacted October 31, 1994, authorized an additional \$10.5 million in Federal funds and \$4 million

in non-Federal cost share for completion of the minor construction.

22. Cities of Loveland and Berthoud, Colorado, Colorado-Big Thompson Project, Colorado: Long-term contracts for conveyance of nonproject M&I water through Colorado-Big Thompson Project facilities pursuant to the Town Sites and Power Development Act of 1906.

Dated: January 24, 1996.

Wayne O. Deason,

Assistant Director, Program Analysis Office.

[FR Doc. 96-2300 Filed 2-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-49-P

National Park Service

60 Day Notice of Intention to Request Clearance of Information Collection; Opportunity for Public Comment

AGENCY: Guadalupe Mountains National Park, National Park Service (NPS).

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and 5 CFR 1320, Reporting and Record Keeping Requirements, the NPS invites public comment on a proposed information collection request (ICR).

Comments are invited on: (1) The need for the information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden estimate; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The primary purpose of the proposed ICR is to compare and contrast any significant differences between park users from three apparently different visitor use seasons of spring, summer, and fall. This proposed collection of information will be accomplished using a mail-back questionnaire focusing on information that is not readily available from registers at visitor centers, trailheads, or camping permits. The range of issues in the questionnaire will assess (1) visitor information sources inside and outside the park, (2) visitor travel flow within the park, (3) visitor evaluation of existing and desired facilities, programs or activities, and (4) visitor perceptions of crowding or solitude in wilderness and developed areas. This data is needed to plan for future management actions that would protect park resources and provide visitor services.

DATES: Written comments will be accepted until April 5, 1996.

SEND COMMENTS TO: Superintendent, Guadalupe Mountains National Park, HC 60 Box 400, Salt Flat, TX 79847-9801.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Armstrong, Resource Management Specialist, at (915) 828-3251 extension 132.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Visitor Use Survey—Guadalupe Mountains National Park.

Form: Not applicable.

OMB Number: To be assigned.

Expiration Date: To be assigned.

Type of Request: Request for new clearance.

Description of Need: To evaluate significant differences in visitor activities and visitor perceptions during three apparently different visitor use seasons of spring, summer and fall. The proposed information to be collected is not available from existing records, sources, or observations.

Description of Respondents:

Individuals or groups of park visitors.

Estimated Annual Reporting Burden: 800 hours.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: 12 minutes.

Estimated Average Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Estimated Frequency of Response: For a 7-day period each during the visitor use seasons of spring, summer, and fall, for a total of 21 days of survey.

Terry N. Tesar,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, Management Services Division, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 96-2282 Filed 2-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

Gettysburg National Military Park Advisory Commission; Meeting

AGENCY: National Park Service.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the date of the seventeenth meeting of the Gettysburg National Military Park Advisory Commission.

Date: The Public meeting will be held on February 15, 1996, from 2:00 p.m.–5:00 p.m.

Location: The meeting will be held at Gettysburg Cyclorama Auditorium, 125 Taneytown Road, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325.

Agenda: Sub-Committee Reports, Facilities Development Planning Process, Deer

Management, Operational Update on Park Activities, and Election of Officers.

For Further Information Contact: John A. Latschar, Superintendent, Gettysburg National Military Park, 97 Taneytown Road, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325.

Supplementary Information: The meeting will be open to the public. Any member of the public may file with the Commission a written statement concerning agenda items. The statement should be addressed to the Advisory Commission, Gettysburg National Military Park, 97 Taneytown Road, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325. Minutes of the meeting will be available for inspection four weeks after the meeting at the permanent headquarters of the Gettysburg National Military Park located at 97 Taneytown Road, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325.

Dated: January 24, 1996.

Warren D. Beach,

Field Director, Northeast Field Area.

[FR Doc. 96-2283 Filed 2-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

U.S. v. First Hawaiian, Inc. and First Interstate of Hawaii, Inc.; Proposed Modification of the Final Judgment, Notice

Please take notice that First Hawaiian, Inc. ("First Hawaiian"), defendant in the above-captioned action, has filed a motion for an order modifying the Final Judgment entered on May 29, 1991 in this antitrust action. Plaintiff, the United States of America, has tentatively consented to the entry of such an order, but it has reserved the right to withdraw its consent within 30 days after the last day of publication of this Notice.

The Complaint in this case was filed on December 28, 1990, and alleged that the acquisition of Defendant First Interstate of Hawaii, Inc. ("First Interstate") by Defendant First Hawaiian would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 18) by substantially lessening competition in business banking services in several geographic markets in the State of Hawaii. After the Complaint was filed, the parties agreed to a stipulated Final Judgment that allowed the acquisition to proceed provided that First Hawaiian, *inter alia*, divested seven branches. The Final Judgment was entered by the Court on May 29, 1991, after an appropriate public notice and comment period under the Tunney Act (15 U.S.C. § 16(b) *et seq.*)

To date, First Hawaiian has divested four branches, but has yet to divest three more: The Kuapa Kai branch located at